

## Review

**Cite this article:** Piatkevich KD, Boyden ES (2023). Optogenetic control of neural activity: The biophysics of microbial rhodopsins in neuroscience. *Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033583523000033>

Received: 29 August 2023

Revised: 06 September 2023

Accepted: 11 September 2023

### Keywords:

brain; microbial rhodopsins; neuroengineering; neurons; neurotechnology; optogenetics

### Corresponding authors:

Edward S. Boyden and Kiryl D. Piatkevich;

Emails: [edboyden@mit.edu](mailto:edboyden@mit.edu);

[kiryl.piatkevich@westlake.edu.cn](mailto:kiryl.piatkevich@westlake.edu.cn)

# Optogenetic control of neural activity: The biophysics of microbial rhodopsins in neuroscience

Kiryl D. Piatkevich<sup>1,2,3</sup>  and Edward S. Boyden<sup>4,5</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>2</sup>Westlake Laboratory of Life Sciences and Biomedicine, Hangzhou, China; <sup>3</sup>Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, China; <sup>4</sup>McGovern Institute and Koch Institute, Departments of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Media Arts and Sciences, and Biological Engineering, K. Lisa Yang Center for Bionics and Center for Neurobiological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA and <sup>5</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA

## Abstract

Optogenetics, the use of microbial rhodopsins to make the electrical activity of targeted neurons controllable by light, has swept through neuroscience, enabling thousands of scientists to study how specific neuron types contribute to behaviors and pathologies, and how they might serve as novel therapeutic targets. By activating a set of neurons, one can probe what functions they can initiate or sustain, and by silencing a set of neurons, one can probe the functions they are necessary for. We here review the biophysics of these molecules, asking why they became so useful in neuroscience for the study of brain circuitry. We review the history of the field, including early thinking, early experiments, applications of optogenetics, pre-optogenetics targeted neural control tools, and the history of discovering and characterizing microbial rhodopsins. We then review the biophysical attributes of rhodopsins that make them so useful to neuroscience – their classes and structure, their photocycles, their photocurrent magnitudes and kinetics, their action spectra, and their ion selectivity. Our hope is to convey to the reader how specific biophysical properties of these molecules made them especially useful to neuroscientists for a difficult problem – the control of high-speed electrical activity, with great precision and ease, in the brain.

## Introduction

The brain contains a large diversity of neuron types, and other cell types like glia, which work together in dense, complex networks to implement behavior, cognition, and emotion. Different neuronal cell types change in different ways in different brain diseases and conditions that affect over a billion people around the world, none of which can be fully cured or ameliorated. These kinds of neuron differ in their shapes and sizes, in what genes they express, in how they are wired, and in how they physiologically affect one another. They compute using a diversity of molecular and physical signals, perhaps most prominently millisecond-timescale electrical signals that are generated in neurons in response to chemical inputs at neuron–neuron connections called synapses, and are integrated toward the firing of millisecond-timescale electrical pulses called action potentials, or spikes, which in turn propagate throughout the complex arbors of neurons, causing release of chemicals at other synapses.

Neural electrical recordings, over the first century of modern neuroscience, enabled the observation of neural electrical activity patterns that are associated with specific behaviors, or with specific brain diseases and conditions, both in humans and in animal model organisms such as mice. But observing a pattern of neural activity in a specific set of neurons during a specific brain state or process does not prove that the neural activity observed plays a causal role in the brain state or process – perhaps the neural activity that is causally involved with the state or process is found elsewhere in the brain, or perhaps one subset of the neural activity observed is more important for the state or process than another subset. Therefore, methods of precisely controlling neural activity, so that its impact on a behavior or a disease can be causally assessed, are necessary. If you could turn on the activity of a specific set of neurons, you could figure out whether they can initiate, sustain, or modulate a given behavioral, cognitive, or emotional process, or a given disease state, or potential therapeutic process. If you could turn off a specific set of neurons, you could figure out whether they are needed for such a state or process. Pharmacological modulation of neurons has been very influential in basic and applied neuroscience, but the effects take place over timescales of seconds to minutes or longer, limited by factors such as the rate of diffusion of drugs into and out of the brain, and furthermore, the effects are felt by multiple types of neuron. Brain stimulation through the delivery of electric fields, magnetic fields, ultrasound, and other forms of energy (e.g., heat), while potentially quite fast, are also nonspecific in their mechanism of stimulation, and thus can affect multiple kinds of neuron within a densely packed neural network.

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

The toolbox of optogenetics solves this problem. In optogenetics ('opto' referring to light, and 'genetics' because the toolset is genetically encoded), neuroscientists express genes encoding for microbial rhodopsins, naturally occurring proteins that serve as light-driven ion pumps and channels, found in organisms such as archaea, algae, and bacteria, in genetically targeted neurons so that their electrical activity becomes controllable by light. The word optogenetics is sometimes more broadly used to refer to any genetically encoded tool that enables control of a cellular process with light (Liu and Tucker, 2017); here we focus on optogenetic control of neural electrical activity via the genetic expression, and light activation, of microbial rhodopsins.

Microbial rhodopsins are seven transmembrane proteins that normally respond to sunlight, capturing solar energy in the form of ion gradients, or serving as simple photosensors for organisms to navigate in their environments. These proteins covalently bind the vitamin A variant all-trans-retinal, which serves as the photosensitive moiety. Upon illumination by light of the appropriate color, all-trans-retinal isomerizes to 13-*cis* retinal, and the protein then begins a series of rapid conformational changes that result in the fast transport of specific ions from one side of the membrane to the other. These molecules have closed photocycles – the retinal recovers back to the all-trans form in the dark, without the need for other cell types, or enzymes, to facilitate recovery; thus, the molecules can be light-driven over and over again, as self-contained, autonomous units.

In this review, the first half covers a historical perspective on optogenetics. We first discuss early thinking and perspective on the topic, followed by personal reflections on the early days of optogenetic control of neurons. We follow this with a brief summary of the diversity of applications optogenetics has seen in its first decade and a half. We then review the long path of targeted neural activity control technologies that preceded optogenetics, followed by a review of the history of the discovery and characterization of the microbial rhodopsins themselves. The second half of the review delves into the biophysical properties of rhodopsins that make them such great neural control tools. We review the classes and structure of rhodopsins, their photocycles, their photocurrent magnitudes and kinetics, their action spectra (the colors of light that engage them), and their

ion selectivity. Our hope here is to provide a comprehensive review at a specific interdisciplinary interface between two fields, which yielded great impact – namely, how the biophysics of these rhodopsins led them to be so useful in neuroscience.

## Historical perspective on optogenetics


### Concept of optogenetics and early optogenetics experiments

The need for optogenetics, and the specifications desired for the technology to possess, were enunciated long before the technology was actually invented (see Figure 1 for a timeline of some key dates discussed in the first half of this review). Perhaps, Francis Crick was the first to frame the key specifications that the technology should exhibit. As early as 1979, Crick suggested that a technology 'by which all neurons of just one type could be inactivated, leaving the others more or less unaltered' would accelerate neuroscience discovery (Crick, 1979). Later, in lectures that took place over many years (according to Roger Tsien, who himself explored the topic of optical control of neurons), and that culminated in an influential essay titled 'The impact of molecular biology on neuroscience' (Crick, 1999), Crick stated that a key need would be 'to be able to turn the firing of one or more types of neuron on and off in the alert animal in a rapid manner. The ideal signal would be light, probably at an infrared wavelength to allow the light to penetrate far enough. This seems rather far-fetched but it is conceivable that molecular biologists could engineer a particular cell type to be sensitive to light in this way'.

This was a daunting challenge, as Crick was aware, calling the idea 'far-fetched' even in the same breath that he put forth his call to arms: any such technology, to be useful in neuroscience, would have to meet four independent criteria, outlined in Crick's challenge.

First, the technology should be targetable to a specific neuron 'type', and not others, even densely packed neighboring cells that serve functions radically different from those of the targeted type – suggesting the need for genetic targetability of the technology, or something equivalently powerful and easy-to-use.

Second, the technology should be 'rapid' enough to keep up with high-speed neural codes, ideally matching the speed of the most



**Figure 1.** Timeline of key discoveries and innovations in optogenetics.

**Box 1.** Generalizing Crick's criteria to other kinds of molecular tool.

It is interesting to think about the generalization of Crick's criteria, toward general guidelines for creating a molecular technology of great use in biology. Let us consider two examples – GFP and CRISPR – as genetically encoded tools which have had great impact throughout biology. Both of them, curiously enough, do seem to meet generalized forms of Crick's criteria. First, both are fully genetically encoded and thus can be targeted to different 'types' of cell in the living body – GFP, for imaging, and CRISPR, for targeted genome editing. Second, both of them are capable of precision suitable to address the most fundamental building blocks of their respective domain – GFP can be used to visualize individual cells and even molecules, and CRISPR can be used to alter individual genes and even genomic bases. Third, both technologies are very easy to use and robust. GFP needs no chemical supplementation (other than molecular oxygen, which is typically abundant in biological systems) for use in the living cell or body, making it easier to use than earlier genetically targeted methods of fluorescent biomolecular visualization, which required small molecule chemical administration. CRISPR is genetically programmable via nucleic acids, to target a specific genomic locus, making it easier to use than earlier methods of genome editing that required protein engineering. Finally, each has a clear mechanism of action, being implemented by a single well-understood protein, from a bioorthogonal species quite different from mammalian cells commonly studied in biomedicine. In our modern era of genomic search, directed evolution, and AI-guided molecular design, perhaps the 'generalized Crick criteria' could be coded up, or even automated, to accelerate the search for new molecular tools to advance biology and medicine.

fundamental building blocks of brain activity – such as the individual action potential – implying the need for millisecond-timescale temporal precision.

Third, the technology should be easy enough to use, and robust enough, that it could be applied widely in complex neuroscience experiments, even in the delicate, difficult context of the 'alert animal' (the simplicity of use of green fluorescent protein (GFP), which needs no chemical supplementation to be used in the awake mammalian brain, comes to mind (**Box 1**)).

Fourth, the technology should control neural 'firing' specifically, with a clear mechanism of action, so that there were no concerns about whether an unknown but required intermediary protein or other gene product was present or absent in a given cell type, or whether such an intermediary protein or other gene product could cause side effects, by coupling to unexpected physiological effectors in a given cell type.

Would such a technology be possible to create, and would it truly be useful in everyday neuroscience?

As a student at Stanford in spring 2000, one of us (Boyden) met another student, Karl Deisseroth, and we started brainstorming about how one might control neural activity in specific cell types by equipping targeted neurons with genetically encoded molecules that would transduce different forms of energy, such as magnetic fields, into electrical signals.

Reading old papers (Oesterhelt and Stoekenius, 1971; Schobert and Lanyi, 1982; Hildebrandt *et al.*, 1993; Hoffmann *et al.*, 1994; Nagel *et al.*, 1995; Okuno *et al.*, 1999), I became fascinated by the possibility of expressing microbial rhodopsins in neurons to make them sensitive to light and started requesting clones of such genes from colleagues (for a behind-the-scenes look at these early days, please see Boyden (2011)). I started with the light-driven chloride pump *N. pharaonis* halorhodopsin, because of a curious article suggesting that this protein might pump chloride well at modest salt concentrations (similar to those found in the brain, I noted at the time), in contrast to other microbial rhodopsins that worked best at high salt concentrations (perhaps because at the time, the best-studied

microbial rhodopsins had been isolated from 'halophilic' archaea that live in high salinity environments) (Okuno *et al.*, 1999). That May, I emailed a request for this gene to Janos Lanyi, an opsin pioneer, who forwarded my request to his colleague Richard Needleman, who kindly sent the gene over. I had already headed out for the summer to a neuroscience course at the Marine Biology Laboratory in Woods Hole, Massachusetts. I asked Richard to send the gene to Karl. After returning to Stanford in fall 2000, I found myself rapidly caught up in learning lots of new skills in order to perform my PhD research on motor learning in the cerebellum, conducted in the labs of Jennifer Raymond and Dick Tsien, my PhD coadvisors, and I left the opsin project on the back burner for a while.

In the fall of 2003 and early 2004, Karl, then doing postdoctoral work in Rob Malenka's lab, and I, mid-way through my PhD in Jennifer's and Dick's labs, started discussing genetically targeted neural control again. I had noticed a paper by Nagel *et al.* (2003), describing a light-activated cation channel, channelrhodopsin-2, and showing that this protein could be functionally expressed in oocytes or cultured HEK cells. I emailed Karl to propose that we reach out to Georg to see if they would be willing to share the gene. Georg kindly shared the gene, and we expressed it in cultured mammalian neurons.

There were many ways this experiment could have gone wrong – perhaps the protein could have been toxic to neurons, or perhaps the protein would not have functioned in neurons (perhaps it misfolded, or otherwise was compromised), or perhaps the effects would be too weak to be biologically meaningful. Or perhaps the protein would require the all-trans-retinal chemical cofactor to be supplemented, making usage too complex for everyday use in the alert mammalian brain. But amazingly, and serendipitously, it worked on the very first try!

On August 4, 2004, around 1 o'clock in the morning, working in Dick Tsien's lab, I took a channelrhodopsin-2-expressing cultured mammalian neuron, began to electrophysiologically record it, and shined blue light on it – and to my amazement, it fired action potentials rapidly, precisely, and immediately. That night's experiments confirmed that channelrhodopsin-2 was well-expressed, and functional, in neurons. The protein was well-tolerated enough by neurons, that it could be expressed at high levels, enough to mediate strong depolarizations. Brief pulses of blue light resulted in single, precisely timed action potentials in neurons, and trains of such pulses could result in precisely timed trains of action potentials. Repeatedly stimulating a neuron did not seem to cause a reduction in the opsin's performance, suggesting that such optical control of neurons could be sustained over behaviorally relevant time periods. Serendipity had struck!

Follow-on experiments in the months to come, many performed in the Tsien lab, reinforced the excitement of that first night's experimentation: the molecule was safe, functional, and effective. In August 2005, Karl and I published a paper reporting that the light-gated cation channel channelrhodopsin-2 from *C. reinhardtii*, expressed in cultured mammalian neurons, met all four of the criteria that Crick laid out (Boyden *et al.*, 2005). First, the small gene encoding for this protein could be genetically expressed in targeted neurons, using standard gene delivery and gene expression strategies common in biology. Second, the protein, expressed in neurons, was fast enough to mediate millisecond-timescale action potentials, in response to pulses of blue light. Third, the protein was easy to use in neurons, for example responding to blue light from a standard GFP excitation filter on a conventional microscope.

Most serendipitously, perhaps, the obligate chemical cofactor all-trans-retinal did not need to be supplemented to mammalian

neurons – for whatever reason, mammalian neurons had sufficient background levels of all-trans-retinal to enable the function of microbial rhodopsins, which greatly simplified experiments. This serendipity (**Box 2**) was reminiscent of how GFP spread quickly in biology in part because it required no chemical cofactors to be supplemented for its function (in contrast to some other biologically targeted fluorescent labeling schemes of the time).

Finally, since the protein directly coupled light to ion flux, without the need for another intermediary protein to achieve this coupling in neurons, there were no concerns about such intermediary proteins being potentially lacking in some neuron types, or about such intermediary proteins potentially causing side effects through coupling to unexpected downstream effectors. Thus, channelrhodopsin-2 fully enabled half of Crick's proposed goal, specifically in the domain of neural activation. Several other papers using channelrhodopsin-2 in mouse brain slices, chick spinal cord, the worm *C. elegans*, and the mouse retina, came out in the months following, confirming these four properties of channelrhodopsin-2 in different contexts (Li *et al.*, 2005; Nagel *et al.*, 2005; Bi *et al.*, 2006; Ishizuka *et al.*, 2006).

In 2007, after I started my group at MIT, Xue Han and I showed that the light-driven chloride pump *N. pharaonis* halorhodopsin – the very first microbial opsin clone I requested from colleagues, back in the spring of 2000 – possessed these four properties in the domain of neural silencing (Han and Boyden, 2007). Our paper was followed shortly after, by a paper on the same molecule, from the Deisseroth lab (Zhang *et al.*, 2007). The silencing was not very strong, however, perhaps because the halorhodopsin was not functionally expressed at high enough levels in mammalian neurons. In 2010, my group at MIT showed that a light-driven proton pump from *H. sodomense*, archaerhodopsin-3, could mediate much more powerful neural silencing, with ~100% reduction of neural firing in cortical neurons of awake behaving mice in response to pulses of light (Chow *et al.*, 2010), followed shortly after by a paper from the Deisseroth lab showing that the photocurrents of the *N. pharaonis* halorhodopsin could be improved by adding trafficking signals that boosted neural functional expression (Gradinaru *et al.*, 2010).

These molecules, thus, enabled the other half of Crick's proposed goal, specifically in the domain of neural silencing. They remain popular to this day. We, and many others, have continued to discover new molecules that are more optimal for specialized purposes (discussed at length in the final parts of this review) – as just a few examples, enabling very fast neural control (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014), enabling less invasive neural control (Han *et al.*, 2011; Chuong *et al.*, 2014; Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014), enabling multiplexed neural control (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014), enabling ion-selective neural control (Cho *et al.*, 2019), and enabling very spatially precise neural control (Shemesh *et al.*, 2017), amongst others – which are also helping neuroscientists tackle a great many specialized problems.

In summary, the class of microbial rhodopsins, with little or no modification from their natural state, was able to address a key need in neuroscience, enabling the fast, easy-to-use, and reliable activation and silencing of electrical activity in specific neuron types, in response to light. This was largely due to serendipity: the molecules might not have been fast or strong enough, when embedded in the neuronal milieu, to mediate neural firing, or they may have proven toxic in delicate mammalian neurons, or they may have required chemical supplementation of all-trans-retinal to function in mammalian neurons, greatly complicating experimentation.

In the years since, optogenetic tools have been used in practically every part of neuroscience to study how the activities of specific cell types contribute to behaviors, pathological states, or potential

#### **Box 2.** Optogenetics and the need for chemical supplementation.

As noted earlier (**Box 1**), part of the utility of GFP arose from its ease of use – no chemicals needed to be supplemented for its everyday biological use in cells and organisms. In our original 2005 paper on the first use of microbial rhodopsins to mediate optical activation of neurons, we noted in the Discussion and Methods sections that 'no all-trans retinal was added either to the culture medium or recording solution for any of the experiments described here', expressing surprise that mammalian neurons seemed to do just fine with opsin functionality, even without adding all-trans-retinal. This turned out to be important for the ease of use of optogenetics in everyday neuroscience: if optogenetics required gene delivery to the living mammalian brain, implantation of an optical fiber (say, one that could be connected to an external light source) to target the region of interest with pulses of light, and then, on top of all that, infusion (either continuously or at time of experiment) of all-trans-retinal into the target region of the brain, the experiments would have been much more complicated than if only gene delivery (quite routine in neuroscience) and optical fiber implantation (analogous to ordinary electrode implantation) were required. In what might be regarded as a close call, although mammalian neurons did not require supplementation with all-trans-retinal for microbial rhodopsins to function, optogenetics does not work in the worm *C. elegans* or the fruit fly *D. melanogaster* without all-trans-retinal supplementation; fortunately, for these small animals, all-trans-retinal can be easily supplemented in sufficient quantities by adding it to the environment or to the food (Nagel *et al.*, 2005; Schroll *et al.*, 2006).

therapeutic processes. Because the tools are easy to express in targeted neurons, using standard gene delivery and transgenesis strategies, they are widely used in the major model organisms utilized in neuroscience, including mice, rats, nonhuman primates, flies, fish, and worms. We have distributed these tools as freely as possible to the neuroscience community, for example, working with DNA-repository services like Addgene to distribute plasmids, and viral vector cores at many different institutions to distribute viruses. They have been used by perhaps thousands of researchers in animals to probe literally hundreds of topics related to normal and pathological brain states and processes. It is probably impossible to list all of the papers that utilize optogenetics and still maintain a cohesive review, especially one focused on the biophysics of the rhodopsins in their neuroscience roles, but in the next section we try to give a flavor for the kinds of results people have obtained, using optogenetic tools in neuroscience, before moving onto discussion of the biophysical details of optogenetics and how these properties helped these tools stand out in neuroscience utility.

#### **Application of rhodopsins in neuroscience**

In this section, we give examples of the kind of results scientists have obtained, first in basic science studies of how neurons work together in circuits to generate behavior in a variety of model organisms, and then in studies to probe the nature of brain diseases and to think about new strategies to treat them. Although the promise of optogenetics has paid off hugely in the understanding of the brain, revealing the causal substrates of a great many behaviors and diseases, and pointing in many cases toward potential new treatment strategies, a second major potential impact – direct application of optogenetics in humans, as a therapeutic – is starting to be substantiated by data from human patients with blindness, and may represent a second payoff of optogenetics; we discuss this new direction briefly at the end of this section.

Optogenetic tools have been used in mammals including mice and rats to reveal neural populations and activity patterns that drive parental behaviors (Kohl *et al.*, 2018), that enhance spatial object recognition (Kempadoo *et al.*, 2016), that drive attacks upon

intruders (Lin *et al.*, 2011), that control the timing of breathing (Sherman *et al.*, 2015), that are needed for social memory formation (Oliva *et al.*, 2020), that regulate the formation of social-spatial associations (Murugan *et al.*, 2017), that improve visual perception (Lee *et al.*, 2012), that boost wakefulness (Cho *et al.*, 2017), that control locomotor-like bursting in spinal cord central pattern generators (Hagglund *et al.*, 2013), that control the duration and physiological properties of sleep episodes (Jego *et al.*, 2013), that are necessary for formation of long-term memories (Kitamura *et al.*, 2017), that encode the laterality of sensory inputs (Ketzeff *et al.*, 2017), that contribute to goal-directed attentional processing (Kim *et al.*, 2016), that play a causal role in face gender discrimination (Afriz *et al.*, 2015), that are necessary for driving water consumption in conditions of thirst (Zimmerman *et al.*, 2016), that recapitulate innate responses to odors (Root *et al.*, 2014), that control food intake in conditions of hunger (Nectow *et al.*, 2017), that modulate specific aspects of movement (Gritton *et al.*, 2019), that control memory-guided eye movements (Acker *et al.*, 2016), that induce aversion or preference to a place (Kim *et al.*, 2019), that promote conditioned reward-seeking behavior (Otis *et al.*, 2017), that regulate paternal behavior (Stagkourakis *et al.*, 2020), and that provide signals to the hippocampus to help neurons encode for places (Zhang *et al.*, 2013) – amongst countless other results.

In important small model organisms for neuroscience, optogenetics has proven very useful in defining neural populations and activity patterns that contribute to neural computations and behaviors.

In fruit flies, optogenetics has been used to reveal neural populations and activity patterns that control acquired feeding preferences (Musso *et al.*, 2019), that control chemotactic navigational decision-making (Hernandez-Nunez *et al.*, 2015), that drive or inhibit courtship (Seeholzer *et al.*, 2018), that promote sleep and suppress locomotor activity (Guo *et al.*, 2016), that drive a long-lasting internal state in the female brain that regulates a diverse set of behaviors (Deutsch *et al.*, 2020), that process touch signals in a set of parallel comparisons (Tuthill and Wilson, 2016), that control context-appropriate walking programs (Bidaye *et al.*, 2020), that result in a diversity of complex and novel behavioral sequences (Vogelstein *et al.*, 2014), and that represent the heading direction of a fly-through ring attractor dynamics (Kim *et al.*, 2017), amongst many other discoveries.

In the larval zebrafish, optogenetics revealed neural populations and activity patterns that controlled saccadic eye movements (Schoonheim *et al.*, 2010), that increase sleep (Oikonomou *et al.*, 2019), that control swim turn direction (Dunn *et al.*, 2016), that provide sensory feedback to spinal circuits during fast locomotion (Knafo *et al.*, 2017), that produce a coordinated swimming pattern (Ljunggren *et al.*, 2014), that stop ongoing swimming (Kimura *et al.*, 2013), and that contribute to movement in response to noxious stimuli (Wee *et al.*, 2019), amongst other discoveries.

In the worm *C. elegans*, optogenetics has been used to pinpoint neurons involved with generating locomotor rhythms (Fouad *et al.*, 2018), and to explore how a single neuron can regulate multiple behavioral outputs (Li *et al.*, 2014), how specific neurons mediate the switching of behavioral state in response to oxygen concentrations reflective of surface exposure (Laurent *et al.*, 2015), how interneurons integrate multiple kinds of olfactory input toward a representation of valence (Dobosiewicz *et al.*, 2019), how a single neuron encodes a memory of a chemotactic set point (Luo *et al.*, 2014), how synaptic energy demand regulates the clustering of a glycolytic protein (Jang *et al.*, 2016), how specific neurons contribute oscillatory activity to control backward locomotion (Gao *et al.*, 2018), and how specific interneurons control the locomotory programs for

chemotaxis (Kocabas *et al.*, 2012) – again, amongst a large number of studies from all over the world.

Beyond the most commonly used model organisms in neuroscience, optogenetics has also been applied to the study of neural circuits and behaviors in other species important in neuroscience, including nonhuman primates (Han *et al.*, 2009).

Optogenetics has also been used to study diseases in animal models of brain disorders, pinpointing cell types and neural circuits that could serve as therapeutic targets for treating brain diseases, and even revealing neural activity patterns that could, when induced by brain stimulation technology, potentially serve therapeutic roles. Optogenetic control of neurons has revealed, in animal species and models relevant to human diseases and conditions, neural populations and activity patterns that clean up multiple molecular pathologies associated with Alzheimer's disease (Iaccarino *et al.*, 2016), that wake the brain up from anesthesia (Taylor *et al.*, 2016), that relieve anxiety-like states in stressed mice (Kumar *et al.*, 2013), that control the acquisition of learned fear (Wolff *et al.*, 2014) or the encoding of contextual fear memories (Kheirbek *et al.*, 2013), that control the generalization of fear memories (Xu and Sudhof, 2013), that promote compulsive seeking of sugar (Nieh *et al.*, 2015), that promote spinal cord repair after injury (Llorens-Bobadilla *et al.*, 2020), that restore respiratory diaphragm motor activity after spinal cord injury (Alilain *et al.*, 2008), that drive depression-like behaviors (Yang *et al.*, 2018), that participate in or promote post-stroke motor recovery (Cheng *et al.*, 2014; Wahl *et al.*, 2017), that are dysregulated in states of obesity (Pirzalska *et al.*, 2017; Reed *et al.*, 2019; Beutler *et al.*, 2020), that normalize motor behavior in Huntington's model mice (Fernández-García *et al.*, 2020), that cause long-lasting motor recovery in dopamine-depleted mice (Mastro *et al.*, 2017), that control cocaine-seeking behavior relevant to addiction (Martín-García *et al.*, 2014), that disrupt the role of sleep in consolidating memories (Swift *et al.*, 2018), that inhibit epileptic bursting in hippocampal and cortical brain circuits (Tonnesen *et al.*, 2009), that stop seizures in vivo (Krook-Magnuson *et al.*, 2013), that halt seizures that result from stroke (Paz *et al.*, 2013), that ameliorate Parkinsonian motor symptoms (Yu *et al.*, 2020), that contribute to stem-cell derived reduction of Parkinson's symptoms (Steinbeck *et al.*, 2015), and that overcome developmental limitations on social learning (Nardou *et al.*, 2019) – again, out of a great many clinically informative results from a large number of groups.

The widespread usage of optogenetics in awake-behaving animals has been greatly facilitated by the utility of ordinary laser, LED, fiber optic, and microscopy technology, to deliver light to the brain, effectively, easily, and safely. Many of the mouse studies above, for example, involved implanting an optic fiber into the brain, with one end aimed at a brain region of interest. The brain region of interest will typically have had one cell type of interest made sensitive to light through the expression of an appropriate light-activated pump or channel in the cell type of interest, using standard gene delivery mechanisms (e.g., an AAV virus, containing the gene encoding for a given opsin, perhaps under regulatory sequences to help a specific cell type express the gene selectively, could be stereotactically injected into the region of interest). At the time of a behavioral experiment, the other end of the optic fiber, which emerges from the brain, would be connected to an external LED or laser of the appropriate color, which would then be pulsed by a computer, to drive the neural code according to some experimental goal. For small animals like worms, flies, and fish, they are often simply placed under a standard microscope, which then delivers light of appropriate color and timing, to the brain or body. Transgenic

methods will have been used to enable specific cell types, in the brain or body, to express the rhodopsins.

As optics hardware improves over time – for example, multi-photon, digital micromirror device, and holographic light sculpting hardware, have been making their way more and more into neuroscience in recent years, to facilitate neural imaging – such devices are being adapted for making optogenetic control more and more spatially precise, as well. Reviewing the optical hardware of optogenetics is beyond the scope of this review, which is focused on the chemistry and biophysics of the molecules and how those properties yielded impact on neuroscience. Although our focus in the aforementioned examples has been on the application of optogenetics in the intact brain, often in behaving animals, we note that countless studies *in vitro*, including studies of mechanisms of neural communication, intraneuronal computation, neural plasticity, circuit organization, and circuit dynamics, performed using acute brain slices and other *in vitro* preparations such as cultured primary neurons, as well as in many nonneuronal systems comprising excitable cells such as heart and musculature, have been enabled by optogenetics as well.

Optogenetics has had an enormous impact on the study of the brain, pointing to cell types, neural circuits, and neural codes that causally contribute to a diversity of behaviors, disease states, and potential therapeutic states. In this regard, optogenetic usage is proven and mainstream, and is now routinely used in everyday neuroscience to probe the cellular and circuit mediators of normal and abnormal neural processes. In the last few years, however, a second frontier has begun to gain more attention – the potential for directly using optogenetics in human patients, to treat diseases or restore function. For optogenetics to be used in a human patient, since it would require both a gene therapy to introduce the gene into specific cells in the body, as well as a hardware device for controlled light delivery to target cells, there would need to be a rationale for a specific cell type or neural circuit target to be selected to express the optogenetic molecule; there would need to be optical hardware to deliver light of the appropriate color and power to the region of interest, precisely and safely; and there would need to be preclinical data as well as clinical trials to support both the safety of the molecule in the body (since they evolved in species very different from humans, a lack of toxicity of the gene product, and a lack of immune response against it, ideally over timescales relevant to human disease treatment, would have to be confirmed) as well as the efficacy of the neural modulation in ameliorating the condition or restoring function.

In summer 2021, these goals converged for the first time in a human patient (Sahel *et al.*, 2021), with the first case study being reported of a patient suffering from retinitis pigmentosa, a disease that causes photoreceptor loss and resulting blindness, achieving a partial restoration of functional vision after AAV-mediated delivery of the gene encoding for the light-driven cation channel ChrimsonR (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014) into the eye, targeting normally light-insensitive retinal ganglion cells, to make them light-sensitive. In this way, the retina could convert light into neural signals for relay on to the brain, even though the natural photoreceptors were gone. The patient wore goggles that captured images of the world and projected processed images in the form of patterned light pulses of appropriate color and power, to the retina. In this patient, there were no adverse events reported. Tantalizingly, there was significant restoration of functional vision, including the ability to perceive, reach for, and touch objects, to the point of being able to perform some daily visual activities – perceiving crosswalks and doors on the street and in hallways respectively, and detecting household objects like plates and phones. Perception persisted over

the duration of the study (over 1.5 years of testing). Future studies will be needed, in a larger cohort of patients, both in the context of this disease and in any diseases to be explored in the future, to fully understand the potential of optogenetics in direct treatment of human diseases and in restoration of function.

### *The landscape of pioneering neural control technologies*

In the years before 2005, when the first use of microbial rhodopsins to mediate optical control of neural activity was published, many pioneering scientists and engineers worked on innovative strategies to enable neural control that was more precise than classical pharmacology and electrical stimulation. Each of these techniques met a subset of the four criteria mentioned above, so although none of these techniques spread throughout neuroscience at the time, they validated key aspects of the concept of precision neuron control. In this section, we briefly review the landscape of precision neural control in 2005 and before, going over different classes of technology and what aspects of neural control they enabled. Although many of these classes of tool have improved post-2005 and some are now in widespread use in neuroscience, reviewing these post-2005 improvements and inventions is beyond the scope of this review, which is focused on optogenetics and the biophysics thereof.

One class of methods involved the direct optical stimulation of neurons. Such techniques could be very fast, because they use light as the trigger, but given their reliance on endogenous, sometimes unclear, mechanisms of action, it could be hard to judge how well a given technology could be targeted to different cell types, whether it would be generally easy to use, and whether unknown intermediaries were required that may not be universally available across different cell types, or that could engage pathways that cause side effects. Hints of the possibility of using light to directly control cellular excitability go back over a century; for example, one paper in 1891 reported the excitation of muscle fibers using light (Arsonval, 1891). Following previous biophysical observations (Chalazonitis, 1964), it was shown that shining visible laser light on neurons of *Aplysia* could be used to trigger neural activity with second-timescale latency (Fork, 1971), with unclear mechanism of action (Allègre *et al.*, 1994). Another report showed that two-photon excitation could be used to activate neurons directly in mouse cortical brain slices (Hirase *et al.*, 2002), with millisecond precision, although again the mechanism of action was unclear; one possibility the authors mentioned was the laser-induced formation of microholes in the membrane. Infrared light was also shown to be capable of directly exciting peripheral nerves *in vivo* in frogs and rats, potentially through a thermal effect (Wells *et al.*, 2005).

A second class of methods used small-molecule chemicals to help mediate the conversion of light into a neural activating stimulus. Such techniques could again be very fast but could not be targeted to a specific neuron type, and the requirement for exogenous chemical delivery would require such delivery to occur in the living brain for behavioral use. Optical activation of neurons using light to uncage the neurotransmitter glutamate at sites in rat cortical and hippocampal brain slices (Callaway and Katz, 1993) resulted in millisecond-timescale neural activation of nearby neurons, with a clear mechanism of action since it simulated pulsatile transmitter presence. Another study showed that staining neurons from leeches, frogs, and other species with a specific small molecule dye resulted in laser-elicited action potentials within milliseconds (Farber and Grinvald, 1983), with an unclear mechanism of action, although one possibility the authors mentioned is the transient formation of membrane channels.

A third class of methods used the genetic expression of an ion channel gene, or ion channel modulating gene, to perturb electrical activity in targeted cells. Such a strategy would be limited to a temporal precision associated with the rate of gene expression, but would be easy to use, requiring nothing beyond gene delivery to operate, and would have a clear mechanism of physiological action. Expressing natural or modified potassium channels that hyperpolarize neurons, using standard gene delivery, transgenesis, and/or inducible gene expression strategies, in mammalian neurons and other excitable cells in culture and in vivo, and in *Aplysia*, *Xenopus*, *C. elegans*, and *Drosophila* neurons and other excitable cells, enabled in many cases the electrical quieting or silencing of these cells (Kaang *et al.*, 1992; Jones and Ribera, 1994; Ehrenguber *et al.*, 1997; Johns *et al.*, 1999; Peckol *et al.*, 1999; Sutherland *et al.*, 1999; Nadeau *et al.*, 2000; Baines *et al.*, 2001; Falk *et al.*, 2001; Paradis *et al.*, 2001; White *et al.*, 2001; Burrone *et al.*, 2002; Nitabach *et al.*, 2002; Yu *et al.*, 2004) with a time precision of hours to days and no need for chemical supplementation, although some of these studies noted that long-term expression of such channels could cause various side effects and toxicities, perhaps as a consequence of extremely long duration hyperpolarization. Expressing an appropriately mutated glutamate receptor in specific *C. elegans* neurons caused them to be activated, and for specific behaviors to be elicited (Zheng *et al.*, 1999). Another study showed that tethering to the cell membrane ion channel-blocking toxins that blockade sodium channels, calcium channels, and other channels, could be achieved in a genetically encoded construct; in living zebrafish, such a strategy was used to block cholinergic receptors (Ibañez-Tallon *et al.*, 2004).

A fourth class of methods used a gene that encoded for an ion channel, which could then be actuated by a chemical ('chemogenetics'). A related class of method used a gene that encoded for an ion channel that could be equipped with a chemical and then actuated using light ('photopharmacogenetics'). The time precision of chemogenetics would be related to the adding or removing of the chemical; the time precision of photopharmacogenetics would be related to the timescale of the delivery of light. Cell type targetability would be facilitated by the genetic nature of the ion channel; delivery of a chemical must be achieved for use in the living brain. The mechanism of action would be as clear as the understanding of the nature of the ion channel biology and of the chemical ligand. One study virally delivered the *C. elegans* chloride channel GluCl1 to cultured rat hippocampal neurons and showed that the drug ivermectin could be used to silence their electrical activity (Slimko *et al.*, 2002); the time to achieve silencing was seconds. Another study showed that genetic delivery of a potassium channel engineered to bind a photoswitchable tethered pore blocker (building from earlier studies on using photoswitchable tethered ligands to activate ion channel proteins such as cholinergic receptors (Bartels *et al.*, 1971; Lester *et al.*, 1980)) to cultured hippocampal neurons, followed by the delivery of the photoswitchable tethered pore blocker, enabled these neurons to be activated by light within seconds (Banghart *et al.*, 2004). In another study, expressing the capsaicin-activated cation channel TRPV1 in a specific neuron in *C. elegans*, and exposing the worm to capsaicin, caused behaviors consistent with the activation of the targeted neuron (Tobin *et al.*, 2002). In another study, investigators expressed ion channels that are gated by agonists not naturally found in the nervous system, such as the TRPV1 channel or the P2X2 channel, in cultured hippocampal neurons, and then found that adding the agonists capsaicin or ATP respectively, or optically uncaging caged capsaicin or ATP onto, these neurons resulted within seconds in neural activity (Zemelman *et al.*,

2003); by expressing the P2X2 channel in specific *Drosophila* neurons and injecting caged ATP into the central nervous system, light illumination was able to reveal behaviors triggered by activation of those neurons (Lima and Miesenböck, 2005).

A fifth class of methods used a gene that encodes for a signaling cascade molecule (sometimes with accessory proteins to help it function), most often a G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR), that could couple to downstream physiological effectors (such as endogenous ion channels). The GPCR could then be actuated by a chemical, for example, a ligand that binds the receptor. Alternatively, the GPCR could be equipped with a chemical and then actuated by light. As with the previous class, the time precision would be related to the adding or removing of the chemical, or by the delivery of light; cell type targetability would be facilitated by the genetic nature of the signaling cascade; delivery of a chemical must be achieved for use in the living brain. The mechanism of action could depend on the nature of the cell type being targeted; for signaling cascades downstream of a GPCR, unknown but required intermediary proteins may be present or absent in a given cell type, or such intermediary proteins could cause side effects by coupling to other, unexpected physiological effectors. However, such intermediaries may also amplify the impact of a chemical or optical trigger on neural physiology, increasing the amplitude of an effect. In one study, expression of a modified human kappa opioid GPCR in the mouse heart enabled, upon administration of the drug spiradoline, reduction of heart rate within seconds (Redfern *et al.*, 1999); this GPCR signals through Gi, which in the heart inhibits adenylyl cyclase and activates a membrane potassium channel. By expressing the *Drosophila* allatostatin receptor, which exhibits Gi/o signaling, along with G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium (GIRK) channel subunits that are regulated by Gi/o (required because at the age of the brain being studied, such GIRK channels are not yet expressed), in cultured ferret visual cortex brain slices, neurons could be silenced within minutes of adding the ligand allatostatin (Lechner *et al.*, 2002). Another approach involved equipping cells with the gene for a G-protein coupled rhodopsin and a retinal cofactor. In one such study, frog oocytes received the gene for bovine rhodopsin and then were incubated with 11-*cis*-retinal; illumination caused engagement of the G protein Gt, and caused photocurrents within seconds (Khorana *et al.*, 1988). In another study, investigators expressed G-protein coupled *Drosophila* rhodopsin, arrestin-2, and the Gqalpha subunit of the downstream G protein cascade, in cultured hippocampal neurons, and added an initial dose of all-trans-retinal beyond background levels to reconstitute the rhodopsin (Zemelman *et al.*, 2002); this rhodopsin signaled to available downstream effectors, ultimately opening available cation channels in cells in which they are expressed. Upon illumination, neural activity began within hundreds of milliseconds to tens of seconds. Three studies published almost on the same day showed that expressing human melanopsin in cultured mammalian cells, supplemented with 9-*cis* or 11-*cis* retinaldehyde, resulted, upon illumination, in G-protein-mediated photocurrents within seconds (Melyan *et al.*, 2005; Panda *et al.*, 2005; Qiu *et al.*, 2005).

We have focused our discussion above on pioneering tools that manipulated electrical activity in targeted cells, before 2005. Of course, manipulations of many other biological functions that affect neural signaling, including alteration of synapses or synaptic transmission in targeted cells, as well as ways of lesioning or killing targeted cells, have played major roles in neuroscience, both before and after 2005, but are beyond the scope of this review. In addition, this review is not intending to comprehensively review

nonoptogenetic technologies for controlling targeted neural electrical activity after 2005, since the goal was to outline the landscape at the time, in hopes of exploring what biophysical properties of microbial rhodopsins led to optogenetics taking off. Many non-optogenetic toolsets for controlling targeted neural electrical activity, including novel toolsets (e.g., magnetogenetics, sonogenetics), as well as extensions of the aforementioned ones (e.g., chemogenetics), have exploded in utility and popularity since 2005, in their own right, both because of continued ingenious engineering and resulting improved performance, as well as availability of synergistic tools (e.g., viral gene delivery and the availability of viruses from core facilities has facilitated the deployment and use of a great many such genetically encoded tools throughout neuroscience).

### *The landscape of opsin discovery and application*

We here review the microbial opsin discoveries that preceded the adaptation of microbial rhodopsins for mediating the optical control of neural electrical activity; the section following will review the biophysical properties of rhodopsins that conferred their utility for specific neuroscience experiments. Microbial rhodopsins were first reported in the early 1970s, with the discovery of bacteriorhodopsin, a protein in the halophilic archaeal species *Halobacterium salinarum* (formerly known as *Halobacterium halobium*) that was found to be a rhodopsin-like protein, a membrane protein that bound retinal and that exhibited particular compositional and spectral properties, and that pumped protons outwards across cellular membranes in response to light (Oesterhelt and Stoekenius, 1971, 1973), helping store the energy of sunlight in a chemical gradient for downstream ATP production (Danon and Stoekenius, 1974).

Around a decade later, a second rhodopsin-like protein, a light-driven chloride pump, named halorhodopsin, was discovered in the same species of archaea, where it also contributes to bioenergetic functions (Matsuno-Yagi and Mukohata, 1977; Lindley and MacDonald, 1979; Lanyi and Weber, 1980; Matsuno-Yagi and Mukohata, 1980; Mukohata and Kaji, 1981; Schobert and Lanyi, 1982).

In the early 1980s, a third rhodopsin-like protein was found in *H. salinarum*, which contributes to its phototaxis, and thus was named sensory rhodopsin (Bogomolni and Spudich, 1982; Spudich and Spudich, 1982; Spudich and Bogomolni, 1984); this molecule did not pass ions, but instead triggered a nonionic signal transduction chain to control flagellar movement (Hoff *et al.*, 1997).

In the years since these early discoveries, a search throughout the tree of life for other such rhodopsin-like proteins in microbes has yielded a great many different versions, with different spectral sensitivities, kinetics, ion sensitivities, structures and internal mechanisms, and other properties, from diverse archaea and bacteria, and even eukaryotes such as fungi (Bieszke *et al.*, 1999a, 1999b). Some of these molecules, as noted above, such as a light-driven proton pump from *H. sodomense* (Chow *et al.*, 2010), and a light-driven chloride pump from *N. pharaonis* (Han and Boyden, 2007; Zhang *et al.*, 2007; Gradinaru *et al.*, 2010), have become widespread in neuroscience for light-driven neural silencing.

Curiously, even bacteriorhodopsin, the first microbial opsin to be discovered, could mediate sizable inhibitory photocurrents in cultured neurons, suggesting that perhaps the use of microbial rhodopsins to make neurons controllable by light could have begun years earlier, in principle (Chow *et al.*, 2010).

One of the most important discoveries that contributed to the development of optogenetics, was that specific rhodopsins mediated algal phototaxis, by converting light signals into fast ion

channel currents (Foster *et al.*, 1984; Harz and Hegemann, 1991; Hegemann *et al.*, 1991; Lawson *et al.*, 1991; Takahashi *et al.*, 1991; Sineshchekov *et al.*, 2002). Algal phototaxis had been documented more than 150 years ago by Famintsyn, who described the effect of light intensity on the movement of the unicellular alga *C. reinhardtii* (Deisseroth and Hegemann, 2017; Salomé and Merchant, 2019). One of the genes mediating this response in *C. reinhardtii* was found, upon expression in oocytes, to encode a light-gated proton channel, named channelrhodopsin-1 (Nagel *et al.*, 2002), and the other gene, upon expression in oocytes, HEK293, and BHK cells, was found to encode a nonspecific cation channel, named channelrhodopsin-2 (Nagel *et al.*, 2003). The latter molecule was able to mediate optical neural activation with single-spike precision (Boyden *et al.*, 2005) and is the most widespread molecule for neural activation with light. Since these papers, many new ion pumps and channels of many kinds, discussed in the next section, have been discovered, many with specialized and powerful applications in neuroscience.

In parallel to these discoveries, scientists and engineers were finding that these microbial rhodopsins could be genetically expressed in other organisms, both to achieve bioengineering goals, as well as to facilitate their study. One early study expressed bacteriorhodopsin in *E. coli*, to facilitate its study, although expression was poor (Dunn *et al.*, 1987), and codon optimization and signal sequence addition had to be performed to improve yield (Karnik *et al.*, 1987).

Later studies showed that bacteriorhodopsin could be expressed in eukaryotic cells. One such study expressed bacteriorhodopsin in yeast (Hildebrandt *et al.*, 1989), and found that the protein was able to pump protons across the plasma membrane, out of the cell (Hildebrandt *et al.*, 1993). Targeted expression of bacteriorhodopsin to the mitochondria of yeast enabled them to rely less on sugar for metabolism, equipping the yeast with a rudimentary form of photosynthesis (Hoffmann *et al.*, 1994) – perhaps one of the first applications of microbial rhodopsins to a bioengineering goal.


Regarding vertebrate cells: frog oocytes expressed the gene for bacteriorhodopsin, and exhibited light-driven currents (Nagel *et al.*, 1995); this facilitated the use of voltage clamp and patch clamp methods to characterize the photocurrents. Bacteriorhodopsin could be also expressed in cultured mammalian cells, using the human HEK293 cell line, where it exhibited excellent photocurrents (Geibel *et al.*, 2001); this study also showed that membrane expression could be boosted in these animal cells by appending a targeting sequence. These studies led to many downstream experiments in a variety of cell types, both revealing fundamental biophysical properties of rhodopsins, as well as paving the way for broader and broader application of rhodopsins toward different engineering goals.

## **Structure and biophysics of microbial rhodopsins**

### *Opsin classification and structure*

Microbial rhodopsins, both natural and engineered, exhibit a variety of structural and biophysical properties that help them mediate powerful, specific neural electrical activity control in response to light (Figure 2). In the remainder of this review, we go over the opsin classes and their structural properties, followed by sections on their photocycles, their photocurrent magnitudes and kinetics, their action spectra, and their ion selectivities, both diving into the biophysical mechanisms underlying these properties, and how these properties fit well with urgent neuroscience needs.





**Figure 2.** 3D protein structure and chromophore-protein interactions of rhodopsins. (a, from left to right) 3D protein structures of single subunits and respective conducted ions for the C1C2 cation channelrhodopsin (PDB 3UG9), the GtACR1 anion channelrhodopsin (PDB 6CSM), the archaerhodopsin-2 outward proton pump (PDB 2EI4), and the *N. pharaonis* inward chloride pump (PDB 3A7K). (b) Key residues in the ChR2 channelrhodopsin (PDB 6EID).

Microbial rhodopsins, also called type I rhodopsins (as opposed to the type II rhodopsins found in animals, which are G-protein coupled), are found in bacteria, archaea, algae, and other species, where they mediate light-driven energy conversion or light-driven sensory transduction processes (Govorunova *et al.*, 2017). Based on their biophysical properties, the microbial rhodopsins used in neuroscience for mediating the control of neural electrical activity with light can be divided into four major groups: light-driven outward proton pumps (also referred to as bacteriorhodopsins or BRs), light-driven inward chloride pumps (also referred to as halorhodopsins or HRs), light-activated cation channels (often referred to as channelrhodopsins, ChRs, or more recently cation

channelrhodopsins, CCRs), and light-activated anion channels (often referred to as anion channelrhodopsins or ACRs).

In addition, a fifth group of microbial rhodopsins, represented by recently discovered potassium-selective channelrhodopsins (referred to as potassium channelrhodopsins, KCRs) (Govorunova *et al.*, 2022; Vierock *et al.* 2022), has emerged. Such rhodopsins pass cations, but in contrast to other light-gated cation channels, are outward-passing channels, and thus cause neural silencing effects. KCRs hold great promise for neuroscience applications, and as they are explored, validated, and optimized in different contexts, they may find many powerful uses in neuroscience (Govorunova *et al.*, 2023). For the purposes of this review, which focuses on biophysics

of neural control, we focus on the four major groups of microbial rhodopsins that have been most thoroughly biophysically characterized.

Despite distinct mechanisms of ion transport and varying biophysical characteristics, all microbial rhodopsins share a relatively high overall amino acid similarity (Spudich *et al.*, 2000; Man *et al.*, 2003; Song and Gunner, 2014), ranging from 25–80% homology, as well as a highly conserved overall 3D structure comprising seven  $\alpha$ -helix transmembrane domains (Pebay-Peyroula *et al.*, 1997; Kolbe *et al.*, 2000; Kato *et al.*, 2012). The core of an opsin comprises ~250–320 amino acids, and incorporates the obligate co-factor all-*trans*-retinal, which serves as the photosensitive moiety (Figure 2). Retinal attaches to a specific lysine side chain on the opsin protein, autocatalytically via a protonated Schiff base linkage, forming the functional opsin protein, termed rhodopsin. The N-terminal domain of rhodopsins is exposed to the extracellular space and the C-terminal domain is located intracellularly, and is often fused to a fluorescent protein for opsin expression visualization.


Comparative analysis of channelrhodopsins and ion pumps revealed several distinct structural features of these two classes of optogenetic tool. First, wild-type ChRs, but not wild-type light-driven pumps, harbor an intracellular signaling domain (Nagel *et al.*, 2003) which contributes to subcellular localization and signaling function in native organisms (Mittelmeier *et al.*, 2011). This intracellular domain is not required for photocurrent generation, and is usually removed during biophysical investigations of photocurrent (and perhaps replaced with a fluorescent protein to facilitate visualization during heterologous expression) (Nagel *et al.*, 2002, 2003). Determination of the first crystal structures for the wild-type and chimeric channelrhodopsins, ChR2 and C1C2, respectively, revealed a dimeric oligomerization state (Müller *et al.*, 2011; Kato *et al.*, 2012), which has been seen for other cation and anion ChRs with solved crystal structure, such as C1C2 (with improved resolution) (Volkov *et al.*, 2017), C1Chrimson (Oda *et al.*, 2018), GtACR1 (Kim *et al.*, 2018), and iC++ (Kato *et al.*, 2018); the newly developed red-shifted ChR ChRmine was reported to form trimers (Kishi *et al.*, 2022), perhaps more similar to light-driven ion pumps (Kishi *et al.*, 2022). Indeed, BRs and HRs mostly exist in trimers in native membrane environments (Essen *et al.*, 1998; Sasaki *et al.*, 2009; Shibata *et al.*, 2010, 2018), although it was shown that the functional unit responsible for the ion transport photocycle is the monomeric form (Dencher and Heyn, 1979; Grzesiek and Dencher, 1988). Oligomerization of BRs improves their structural stability and increases incorporation of all-*trans*-

retinal (Dencher *et al.*, 1983; Brouillette *et al.*, 1989); trimer–trimer interactions may also facilitate the full natural photo-reaction pathway (Yamashita *et al.*, 2013). Due to the oligomeric state of rhodopsins, C-terminal fusions to monomeric fluorescent proteins may help minimize disruption of opsin localization and function, in neuroscience contexts.


The high-resolution crystal structures of microbial rhodopsins have provided much insight into ion conduction and transport specificity due to specific amino acid configurations, as well as chromophore-amino acid interactions that regulate the colors of light that best drive opsin function (Figure 2b). For example, crystal structures of bacteriorhodopsins and halorhodopsins revealed molecular details of ion transport pathways and mechanisms, including structures of intermediate states after light absorption, and key amino acids (and key bound water molecules) that bind to, transport, and release ions in a directional fashion along the pathway through the protein that crosses the membrane (Luecke *et al.*, 1998, 1999a, 1999b; Facciotti *et al.*, 2001; Lanyi and Luecke, 2001; Patzelt *et al.*, 2002; Enami *et al.*, 2006; Kouyama *et al.*, 2010; Song and Gunner, 2014; Kouyama *et al.*, 2015; Mous *et al.*, 2022). 3D structures of cation and anion ChRs such as C1C2 (with improved resolution over the first reported structure) (Volkov *et al.*, 2017), the cation channelrhodopsin C1Chrimson (chimera of ChR1 and CsChrimson) (Oda *et al.*, 2018), the natural ACR called GtACR1 (Kim *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2019, 2021), the engineered ACR iC++ (Kato *et al.*, 2018), and the red-shifted engineered cation channelrhodopsin ChRmine (Kishi *et al.*, 2022) revealed molecular determinants of rhodopsins' kinetics, spectral tuning, and ion selectivity. High-resolution structures of rhodopsins are guiding the rational design of novel optogenetic tools with altered biophysical properties, enabling tools customized for specialized needs in neuroscience (Kaneko *et al.*, 2017). In addition, genomic search and molecular mutant screening are enabling the identification of novel rhodopsins and the tuning of properties of rhodopsins, including photocurrent (Figure 3), spectral tuning (Figure 4), light sensitivity (Figure 5), kinetics, and many other features (Figure 6).

### Photocycle


Upon photon absorption, the retinal chromophore of an opsin undergoes isomerization, initiating a series of functional and conformational changes in the protein, also known as the photocycle (Stehfest and Hegemann, 2010; Schneider *et al.*, 2015). These light-induced protein conformational changes result in ion transport across the membrane in which the protein is embedded, measured



**Figure 3.** Photocurrent traces of representative rhodopsins. (a) Photocurrent traces of the ChR2, Chronos, C1V1TT, and Chrimson cation channelrhodopsins showing peak photocurrent ( $I_{\text{peak}}$ ), steady-state photocurrent ( $I_{\text{steady-state}}$ ), and desensitization kinetics ( $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$ ). (b) Photocurrent traces of the Phobos, iC++, GtACR1, and Aurora anion channelrhodopsins (measured in HEK cells). (c) Photocurrent traces of the Mac, ArchT, and Arch outward proton pumps (measured in cultured neurons). (d) Photocurrent traces of the NpHR/Halo and Jaws inward chloride pumps (measured in cultured neurons). Traces are recorded in cultured cells under saturating light powers near respective peak wavelengths of corresponding rhodopsins at holding potential of  $-70$  mV. Data from Chuong *et al.* (2014), Klapoetke *et al.* (2014), Govorunova *et al.* (2015), and Wietek *et al.* (2017).




**Figure 4.** Action spectra of representative rhodopsins. (a) Action spectra of the ChR2, Chronos, C1V1TT, and Chrimson cation channelrhodopsins (measured in HEK cells). (b) Action spectra of the Phobos, iC++, GtACR1, and Aurora anion channelrhodopsins (measured in HEK cells). (c) Action spectra of the Mac, ArchT, and Arch outward proton pumps (measured in cultured neurons). (d) Action spectra of the NpHR and Jaws inward chloride pumps (measured in cultured neurons). Data from Chuong *et al.* (2014), Klapoetke *et al.* (2014), Govorunova *et al.* (2015), and Wietek *et al.* (2017).



**Figure 5.** Light sensitivity of representative rhodopsins. (a–d) Peak (solid line) and steady-state (dashed line) photocurrents across light intensities for (a) ChR2 (measured in cultured neurons), (b) GtACR1 (measured in HEK cells), (c) ArchT (measured in cultured neurons), and (d) Jaws (measured in cultured neurons). Data from Chuong *et al.* (2014), Klapoetke *et al.* (2014), Govorunova *et al.* (2015), and Wietek *et al.* (2017).

electrophysiologically as photocurrent, either by opening an ion-permeable pore in rhodopsin, thus allowing multiple ions to passively cross the membrane (bidirectionally, governed by the voltage across the membrane, the concentration of ions on either side of the membrane, and any rectification or other intrinsic properties of the

rhodopsin) per absorbed photon, or by actively pumping ions, unidirectionally translocating one ion per absorbed photon in a fashion that is less dependent on ion concentration and membrane voltage. The opsin photocycle involves multiple, usually short-lived (e.g., lasting microseconds to milliseconds) intermediate states



**Figure 6.** Biochemical and biophysical properties of representative rhodopsins. (a) Photocurrent traces generated by 5-ms illumination near peak wavelength of indicated rhodopsins expressed in cultured neurons. Traces are normalized to facilitate the comparison of photocurrent kinetics. (b) Traces of photocurrent recovery kinetics for ChR2 measured in cultured neurons. (c) Photocurrent-voltage relationships curves for ChR2, GtACR2, Arch, and Jaws, measured in HEK cells. Data from Chuong *et al.* (2014), Klapoetke *et al.* (2014), Govorunova *et al.* (2015), and Wietek *et al.* (2017).

characterized by different conformations of the retinal chromophore, different protein conformations, and different interactions between the retinal and local amino acids. The intermediates are traditionally named for their absorption peaks, as determined by standard absorption spectroscopy. A halorhodopsin, for example, will typically start out in a state where its absorption peak is in the yellow range (i.e.,  $\sim 580$  nm), and upon illumination it will rapidly change into a conformation that has an absorption peak of 600 nm, followed by conformations with absorption peaks of 520, 640, and 565 nm, followed by a reversion back to a conformation with peak absorption of 580 nm (Essen, 2002).

Microbial rhodopsins have closed photocycles, that is, they end up in the same state as they started, which is one reason they are useful tools in biology. In contrast, the type II rhodopsins of mammalian photoreceptors end in a state that is covalently different from their initial state, requiring significant cellular machinery for their recycling into an active form. It should be noted though that not all conformational changes are associated with a spectroscopic shift, so such descriptions are an approximation, albeit a useful one. The initial transition is extremely fast, taking less than a nanosecond to occur. During the latter conformational changes, key sets of amino acids, which serve as ion binding sites, capable of strong electrostatic interactions with target ions to assist with their transport, will change conformation, causing the ion of interest to be handed off from site to site throughout the protein, with sites changing ion affinity as appropriate, so that the ion eventually traverses the membrane (from the extracellular side to the cytoplasmic side, for a halorhodopsin; in the other direction, for a bacteriorhodopsin). The initial uptake of an ion, and the final release of the ion into the environment, are governed by passive diffusion from/to the environment, so availability of appropriate ions in sufficient concentrations is essential.

### Photocurrent magnitude and kinetics

Whereas light-driven ion pumps transport one ion per photon absorbed, light-driven ion channels can transport multiple ions per photon absorbed. The effectiveness of light in inducing voltage changes in a target neuron is in significant part determined by the number of ions that can be translocated by a rhodopsin across the membrane per unit of time, which is defined as the unitary photocurrent, times the number of functional proteins in the membrane, which is a measure of protein-membrane expression (including successful membrane trafficking, protein folding, and retinal incorporation, amongst other key factors). For a light-gated ion

channel, it is challenging to obtain the unitary photocurrent of a single rhodopsin molecule, because its ion conductance is several orders of magnitude lower than that of the voltage and ligand-gated ion channels typically studied in neuroscience (Baumgarten *et al.*, 1995; Picones *et al.*, 2001; Doering *et al.*, 2005), and below the limit for direct measurements with state-of-the-art methods like single channel patch clamp. Thus, various research groups have used different methods to estimate the ion conductance of a single light-gated ion channel, its unitary conductance (Nagel *et al.*, 2003; Feldbauer *et al.*, 2009; Lin *et al.*, 2009; Kleinlogel *et al.*, 2011; Govorunova *et al.*, 2013). Due to the different methods employed by the various groups, the estimated unitary conductance reported for the same rhodopsin variant can vary, sometimes by an order of magnitude, between reports (Harz *et al.*, 1992; Nagel *et al.*, 2003; Lin *et al.*, 2009). However, over time, general consensuses can emerge; for example, the unitary conductance of ChR2, one of the most widely used cation channelrhodopsins, estimated by stationary noise analysis, is in the range of 30–40 fS (Feldbauer *et al.*, 2009; Govorunova *et al.*, 2013), which corresponds to translocation of 10–14 ions per molecule during one typical photocycle, equating to approximately  $10^3$ – $10^4$  ions per molecule per second. Overall, the unitary conductance of rhodopsins can vary, across molecules, over an order of magnitude or more: for example, the *PsChR* channelrhodopsin has threefold higher ion conductance versus that of ChR2 (Govorunova *et al.*, 2013), and the largest unitary conductance among rhodopsins was perhaps demonstrated by the natural anion channelrhodopsin *GtACR2*, reaching  $\sim 600$  fS (Govorunova *et al.*, 2015).

Despite their low unitary conductances, ChRs can efficiently drive action potentials in neuroscience experiments, since they are only required to depolarize neural membranes above the action potential threshold, which can be quite low. For example, activation of only  $\sim 170,000$  ChR2 molecules (or  $\sim 240$  molecules/ $\mu\text{m}^2$  in a soma of 15  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter) could be sufficient to evoke an action potential, whereas a typical opsin expression level might be 100–500 molecules/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ . In more detail: assuming linearity, and no changes in membrane resistance during depolarization: (1) assume a ballpark neural membrane resistance of 50 M $\Omega$ ; (2) a  $\sim 15$  mV depolarization is sufficient to cross action potential threshold; (3) the voltage or ion driving force experienced by the ChR2 molecule is  $0 - (-60 \text{ mV}) = 60 \text{ mV}$ ; (4) the unitary conductance of ChR2 is 30 fS; (5) then, the current needed to depolarize the neuron by 15 mV would be  $I = 15 \text{ mV} / 50 \text{ M}\Omega = 300 \text{ pA} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ A}$ ; (6) each molecule of ChR2 is capable of carrying  $g \times V = 30 \text{ fS} \times 60 \text{ mV} = 1.8 \text{ fA} = 1.8 \times 10^{-15} \text{ A}$ ; (7)  $N = 300 \text{ pA} / 1.8$

$fA = \sim 170,000$  molecules or  $240$  molecules/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ; (8) since the driving force experienced by ChR2 will be reduced by  $\sim 25\%$  upon  $15$  mV depolarization, we can calculate an estimated bound on the maximum number of ChR2 molecules needed, by increasing the final channel count by  $25\%$ , to  $75$  molecules/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ . Given an estimated surface area of the neuron soma of  $\sim 700$   $\mu\text{m}^2$  (soma of  $15$   $\mu\text{m}$ ), the average expression level of rhodopsins in neurons could be estimated as  $\sim 100$ – $500$  molecules/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ , sufficient for neural control, based on the calculations above. However, it should be taken into account that the maximum photocurrent is achieved at saturating light power, which depends on the light sensitivity of the opsin (considered in more detail below); therefore the actual probability of eliciting a spike will also be determined by the illumination intensity. Similar calculations can be also applied to light-driven pumps, which have higher driving force (indeed, being pumps, they can transport ions even against a gradient), and therefore will provide more constant photocurrent amidst voltage fluctuations; however, the light sensitivity of a pump is typically severalfold lower than that of a channel, and thus typically will require higher illumination intensity.

Due to the difficulty in measuring it, the unitary conductance is often not reported for an opsin when it is being characterized for its performance as a neuroscience tool, but rather the total photocurrent generated by all functional opsin molecules in a single cell, is measured and reported (Lin *et al.*, 2009; Mattis *et al.*, 2011; Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014). Depending on the direction of the photocurrent generated under physiological conditions, rhodopsins can be classified into two major groups. The first group is represented by cation channelrhodopsins (CCRs) that generate inward-directed photocurrents carried by protons and cations, inducing depolarization of membrane potentials at the cell body, at neuron potentials from  $-80$  to  $-60$  mV. One exception to this is the recent discovery of channelrhodopsins with high potassium conductance, which conduct  $\text{K}^+$  outwards upon light gating, and thus have the opposite physiological effect, hyperpolarization rather than depolarization. In this review, we will thus call the older,  $\text{H}^+/\text{Na}^+$ -conducting CCRs depolarizing CCRs, and the new  $\text{K}^+$ -conducting CCR a hyperpolarizing CCR or KCRs (Govorunova *et al.*, 2023). In the second group, anion ChRs and light-driven ion pumps generate outward-directed photocurrents, thus causing inhibition of neural depolarization, or hyperpolarization.

ChRs and light-driven ion pumps exhibit distinct photocurrent profiles, due to their different mechanisms of ion translocation (Figure 3). The illumination of a ChR with a light pulse typically evokes a rapid rise in photocurrent, until it reaches a peak current ( $I_{\text{peak}}$ ), which then decays (in a fashion that can be often modeled by a biexponential decay) to a steady-state photocurrent ( $I_{\text{steady-state}}$ ) in a process denoted inactivation or desensitization. The kinetics of ChR photocurrent can be modeled by a four-state electrophysiological photocycle model (Nikolic *et al.*, 2009). After rhodopsins are in the desensitized state, evoked photocurrents will be below the peak photocurrent, unless the ChR is allowed to recover in the dark, which can take several seconds or longer, depending on the opsin under consideration (Figure 6). Due to the need for prolonged illumination in many optogenetic applications, for example in the study of neural dynamics and behavior, an important parameter defining the performance of a rhodopsin from a neuroscience perspective is the ability to generate stable photocurrent responses over behaviorally relevant timescales. Photocurrent stability depends on steady-state/peak photocurrent ratio ( $I_{\text{steady-state}}/I_{\text{peak}}$ ), desensitization kinetics ( $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$ ), and recovery kinetics from desensitization in darkness ( $\tau_{\text{recovery}}$ ; Figures 3 and 6). The steady-

state/peak photocurrent ratio represents the amount of photocurrent that persists during extended illumination, while  $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$  and  $\tau_{\text{recovery}}$  correspond, respectively, to the rate of reduction and the rate of recovery of photocurrent to  $I_{\text{peak}}$  when in the dark. Based upon these parameters, a rhodopsin possessing higher  $I_{\text{steady-state}}/I_{\text{peak}}$ , slower  $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$ , and faster  $\tau_{\text{recovery}}$ , will generate more stable photocurrent during extended illumination periods, and would thus likely be more preferable – all else being equal – for a typical optogenetic experiment.

For example, based on photocurrent measurements performed in mammalian cells, ChR2 exhibits a  $> 70\%$  drop in photocurrent within  $\sim 60$  ms of illumination and requires about 30 seconds in darkness to completely restore its photocurrent (Lin *et al.*, 2009; Lin, 2011; Mattis *et al.*, 2011; Figure 6). As a result, the probability of driving action potentials during a long train of light pulses quickly decreases for ChR2 at modest light power ( $2$  mW/ $\text{mm}^2$ ), because the peak photocurrent rapidly declines and not much steady-state current is being elicited at this low power (Lin *et al.*, 2009; Mattis *et al.*, 2011; Figure 5a). However, it should be noted that the reliability of eliciting spikes during sustained light pulse trains, can be significantly improved at higher light intensities ( $20$  mW/ $\text{mm}^2$ ) due to the higher contribution of steady-state photocurrent at these higher powers (Mattis *et al.*, 2011; Figure 5a). The ChR2 mutant CatCh (Kleinlogel *et al.*, 2011) and the chimera rhodopsins CIVTT (Yizhar *et al.*, 2011) and ChIEF (Lin *et al.*, 2009) exhibit very small desensitizations, of about  $10$ – $20\%$  during typical continuous illumination, and thus show consistent reliability at modest light powers ( $2$  mW/ $\text{mm}^2$ ) (Mattis *et al.*, 2011). Of course, the probability of spike elicitation depends on the overall photocurrent amplitude, and not just the kinetics – thus a depolarizing CCR with a very large photocurrent, even if it has suboptimal kinetics, could still be useful for driving spikes with high probability.

One complicating factor is that all of these emergent properties –  $I_{\text{steady-state}}/I_{\text{peak}}$ ,  $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$ , and the photocurrent magnitude – are generated by a population of rhodopsins, each engaged in a photocycle that is driven by received photons, and once the photocycle has begun, is governed in a stochastic fashion by internal as well as external parameters. Thus, these macroscopically measurable biophysical parameters are affected by the applied light power density and wavelength, which are thus key parameters to take into consideration during opsin selection, especially for in vivo application in mammals, where light absorbance (e.g., by blood) and scattering (e.g., by lipids) mean that different neurons might receive different amounts of light power when light is delivered in typical fashion, for example, from an LED, laser, or optical fiber. Some rules of thumb are useful to consider. For example, in general, at higher light power densities, desensitization rate increases. Thus, rhodopsins with high light sensitivity require lower light power densities and, therefore, will, in general, engage less light-dependent  $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$  augmentation during a typical neuroscience experiment.

As with photocurrent, light sensitivity can be represented as a single molecule characteristic, or as a cumulative property of the entire set of functional rhodopsin molecules in a given neuron. Single-molecule light sensitivity is an intrinsic opsin property, which is determined by light activation efficiency – a product of extinction coefficient (photon absorption cross-section, perhaps in the vicinity of  $\sim 50,000$   $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) (Beckmann and Hegemann, 1991; Muders *et al.*, 2014) and quantum yield (probability of an opsin advancing to the next stage of the photocycle, upon absorption of one photon, which is in the range from  $30$  to  $80\%$  across rhodopsins) (Ernst *et al.*, 2014). However, effective light sensitivity, measured on an ensemble of functional opsin molecules in a cell, is

a much more practical way to characterize the light-dependent performance of rhodopsins. To first order, effective light sensitivity can be quantitatively represented by the light intensity required to achieve half-maximal photocurrent, or effective power density for 50% activation (EDP50; Figure 5). High light sensitivity, corresponding to a lower EDP50 value, facilitates stimulation of larger volumes of tissue for a given light power, and reduces phototoxicity on illuminated cells because less light power is required for a given desired volume of illumination. This property also can enable less invasive modulation of cells, or control of neurons far from a light source. For light-gated ion channels, effective light sensitivity exhibits a strong correlation with the rate of channel closure, measured after a light pulse shuts off (Mattis *et al.*, 2011). A slower channel closure rate means that more ions are transported into or out of the cell per light pulse, all other things equal – and thus corresponds to higher light sensitivity; thus, there is a tradeoff between off kinetics and light sensitivity. Of course, experiment-dependent conditions like the nature of light propagation in a specific biological system, or varying expression levels of opsin proteins, mean that while the biophysical characteristics here discussed are useful in choosing an opsin for an application, some optimization may be required for any given experiment.

Opsin kinetics affects another neuroscience experiment parameter – the temporal precision of optogenetic control. Photocurrent rise and fall rates contribute to the temporal precision of action potential activation or silencing, upon light pulse delivery to an opsin-expressing neurons. For cation ChRs, on kinetics is often designated by a parameter  $\tau_{on}$ , defined as time after a light pulse begins to reach peak photocurrent (Berndt *et al.*, 2011; Mattis *et al.*, 2011) or, in some cases, as time to 90% of the peak (Chater *et al.*, 2010; Klapeotke *et al.*, 2014), when a typically short (2–5 ms) and bright light pulse is delivered, as is common in spike-driving optogenetics experiments (Figure 6a). For ion pumps and anion channelrhodopsins, kinetic parameters are measured under longer light stimulations, typically 1 s or longer. Because overall photocurrent results from the balance between channel opening rate, and desensitization, the time to peak is an emergent property of the applied light power density, and as a result can vary by an order of magnitude from one experimental condition to another, for the same opsin. In general, a higher light power density will result in a shorter time-to-peak.

Another commonly discussed parameter, useful when choosing a depolarizing CCR for a neuroscience experiment, is the temporal precision of the action potentials that result from a light pulse delivery. The time to the peak of an action potential, after light onset, often called the action potential latency of a channelrhodopsin variant, and depends on not only the kinetics of the opsin, but also its photocurrent (Chaigneau *et al.*, 2016; Ronzitti *et al.*, 2016; Shemesh *et al.*, 2017). Another parameter describing the temporal precision of light-evoked action potentials is the jitter, defined as the standard deviation of the action potential latency; a high jitter means that spike trains will be noisier and more unreliable in timing. Due to the desensitization of depolarizing CCRs, latency, as well as jitter, generally increase during trains of light flashes (Chater *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, an opsin with a large and stable photocurrent can support higher temporal precision action potential trains, as has been seen, for example, with the high conductance depolarizing CCR CoChR (Shemesh *et al.*, 2017).

Unlike the rise time, the decay of photocurrent after the termination of a light pulse does not show light intensity dependence. In regard to ChRs, photocurrent generally decays biexponentially following light offset (Figure 6a), whereas for light-driven pumps, the closing kinetics is monoexponential. For convenience, closing

rate, abbreviated as the off kinetic parameter  $\tau_{off}$  is usually reported as a single value, obtained either from a monoexponential fit of the decay, or as the weighted linear combination of the two-time constants defining a bi-exponential curve. For depolarizing CCRs, fast-off kinetics help with the avoiding of sustained depolarization after a light pulse ends; continued depolarization could result in excess spikes for a given light pulse, with usually uncontrolled timing. When light pulses are delivered at high frequencies, and if each light pulse is desired to result in one precisely timed spike, then fast-off kinetics can prevent continued depolarization from one light pulse from interfering with the depolarization caused by the following light pulse. Stimulation of channelrhodopsins with light pulses delivered faster than the channel-closing rate results in an accumulation of open channelrhodopsin molecules, which can result in an enduring plateau of depolarization – which can lead to uncontrolled spiking, or spike failures if endogenous sodium channels inactivate from the sustained depolarization (Mattis *et al.*, 2011; Herman *et al.*, 2014).

More generally, since the physiological range of membrane potentials experienced by neurons is quite wide, ranging typically from  $-80$  mV to  $+10$  mV, but often going to even greater extremes, from below  $-100$  mV to beyond  $+50$  mV, it is important to keep in mind that the photocurrent magnitudes of all rhodopsins exhibit voltage dependence. Within a class of opsin, overall I–V trends will generally follow a specific pattern (Figure 6c), and thus I–V curve shape may not be the most critical selection criterion for choosing a specific opsin from a class of phenotypically similar molecules; nevertheless, the I–V curve does represent a fundamental property important for understanding the biophysical principles of optogenetics. The voltage dependence of a photocurrent is characterized by the reversal potential  $E_{rev}$ , defined as the membrane potential corresponding to zero photocurrent. I–V curves for depolarizing CCRs are typically asymmetric, except for examples like Chrimson (Vierock *et al.*, 2017), showing higher inward conductance at more negative membrane potential values, with a reversal potential close to 0 mV (Feldbauer *et al.*, 2009; Chater *et al.*, 2010; Gradmann *et al.*, 2011). Naturally occurring anion ChRs, as well as newer engineered chloride ChRs, have  $E_{rev}$  similar to that of the chloride anion in a given cell, and exhibit linear current–voltage relationships (Govorunova *et al.*, 2015, 2017; Wietek *et al.*, 2015; Berndt *et al.*, 2016), unlike those for cation ChRs. In contrast to ChRs, the reversal potential of light-driven ion pumps is extremely negative, because pumps dissipate energy in the service of ion transport and thus can go against a concentration gradient; assuming linear current–voltage relationships beyond the physiological range of membrane potentials, one can extrapolate that the reversal potential may fall in the range of  $-300$  to  $-400$  mV (Seki *et al.*, 2007; Chow *et al.*, 2010; Chuong *et al.*, 2014). This property of light-driven pumps means that unnatural distributions of ions can arise from extensive pump use, which in turn could result in artifacts in controlling physiology, as discussed below.

### Action spectrum

Action spectrum, the dependence of photocurrent magnitude on illumination wavelength, defines the optimal wavelength for opsin activation and governs how multiple rhodopsins can be used in the same system, or how an opsin can be used in conjunction with simultaneous neural activity imaging. In addition, with red light going deeper in the brain than other colors of visible light, due to lower levels of absorption, seeking red-shifted rhodopsins has been a priority to enable larger volumes of brain tissue to be illuminated,

with lower light powers. Maxima of spectral responses for rhodopsins published to date span a wide range of wavelengths, from 435 to 605 nm (Figure 4). In addition, action spectrum shapes can vary a lot, with the full width at half maximum ranging from ~100 to ~200 nm, most likely due to the action spectrum reflecting a superposition of data from multiple chromophore states, possessing different absorption properties. Due to the wide action spectra of rhodopsins, compared with the full width at half maximum of GFP-like fluorescent proteins (30–70 nm), spectrally multiplexed optogenetic control is possible for no more than two rhodopsins, each chosen from an extreme of the spectral palette, and typically requires fine-tuning of light intensities, protein expression level, and stimulation pulse duration, for successful independent control of multiple rhodopsins in the same system (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014; Hooks, 2018). Coexpression of blue-driven GtACR2 and red-driven Chrimson enabled sensitive, reliable control of neuronal silencing and spiking, respectively, within the same cell (Vierock *et al.*, 2021). The blue shoulder exhibited by all action spectra also makes it challenging to combine even the furthest red-shifted rhodopsins with optical read-out using common GFP-derived biosensors, because continuous blue light illumination, even at low light powers (e.g., 0.1 mW/mm<sup>2</sup>, as used for GFP imaging), can be integrated by rhodopsins over extended imaging periods, sometimes causing substantial alternations in membrane potential (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014; Trojanowski *et al.*, 2015). Using red and near-infrared sensors in conjunction with blue-light activated rhodopsins avoids this issue, and may yield a more straightforward approach for spectral multiplexing of neural control and imaging (Piatkevich *et al.*, 2018; Piatkevich *et al.*, 2019; Qian *et al.*, 2019, 2020). However, to date, there are relatively few red-shifted sensors of neuronal activity available (Lin and Schnitzer, 2016; Piatkevich *et al.*, 2019).

Although fundamentally and technically more challenging than wide-field one-photon illumination, two-photon activation of individual neurons offers excellent multiplexing capability, because light can be directed to a targeted cell and not others, with high spatial resolution, even in scattering tissue such as in the living mammalian brain (Oron *et al.*, 2012; Papagiakoumou *et al.*, 2020). The two-photon action spectrum is generally not fully predictable, considering just the one-photon action spectrum; however, the two-photon action spectrum maximum is approximately two times that of the one-photon action spectrum maximum. A standard Ti-Sapphire laser, as widely used in two-photon microscopy, was sufficient for both *in vitro* and *in vivo* photostimulation of multiple ChRs with single neuron spatial precision (Rickgauer and Tank, 2009; Andrasfalvy *et al.*, 2010; Packer *et al.*, 2015; Shemesh *et al.*, 2017), as well as engagement of ion pumps (Carrillo-Reid *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2019; Marshel *et al.*, 2019). Recent advances in optical illumination methods enable simultaneous two-photon photostimulation of many neurons within a volume of interest, with single-cell (or even subcellular) resolution, with millisecond timescale precision (Pégaré *et al.*, 2017; Shemesh *et al.*, 2017; Mardinly *et al.*, 2018) in a fashion that can be combined with two-photon imaging of targeted cells (Peterka *et al.*, 2011; Carrillo-Reid *et al.*, 2019; Marshel *et al.*, 2019).

New high-power laser setups are beginning to enable three-photon optogenetics, with the potential for deeper penetration into the brain, although this has been only demonstrated in cultured neurons so far (Rowlands *et al.*, 2016). In general, the properties of the photon-excited state of a rhodopsin do not depend on the way it was excited, so the fundamental biophysical properties of rhodopsins associated with the excited state under two-photon

illumination, such as  $I_{\text{steady-state}}/I_{\text{peak}}$ ,  $\tau_{\text{desensitization}}$ ,  $\tau_{\text{recovery}}$ , and  $\tau_{\text{off}}$  should correspond to those measured under one-photon activation. However, under two-photon excitation, in which light arrives in subpicosecond duration pulses at high (e.g., 500 kHz–80 MHz) repetition rates, rather than the continuous flux of photons seen in one-photon optogenetics, the photocurrent achievable, and the  $\tau_{\text{on}}$ , may depend on the details of the illumination used, including properties of the laser. Since photoactivation is typically spatially multiplexed with two-photon control, for example, a scanning laser might have to be steered to different neurons at different points of time; since photoactivation occurs only during illumination periods, slow channel off-kinetics can be beneficial for accumulating open channelrhodopsins over illumination periods, eventually contributing to higher maximum photocurrent (Packer *et al.*, 2012; Prakash *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless, two-photon activation of the ultrafast depolarizing ChR Chronos (Klapoetke *et al.*, 2014) and of a proton pump with fast photocycle, Arch (Chow *et al.*, 2010), has been shown to be sufficient for optogenetic control of neurons in acute brain slice (Prakash *et al.*, 2012; Ronzitti *et al.*, 2016).

### Ion selectivity

Optogenetic tools transport specific ions, which may exist in different concentrations in different neural states, and which can have different effects on downstream physiology. Thus, it is important to consider the ion selectivity of a given rhodopsin, to understand and to be able to predict the impact of the usage of a given opsin on the biochemical processes of a cell. Rhodopsins exhibiting a diversity of ion selectivities have been discovered and characterized. Some rhodopsins have been engineered for altered ion selectivity, thus expanding the neuroscientist's toolbox. We have discussed, throughout this paper, four major classes of rhodopsins of wide application in neuroscience – cation-conducting channelrhodopsins (also known as just channelrhodopsin, ChRs, or CCRs as used by some authors), which are typically depolarizing except for the recently discovered class of K<sup>+</sup>-conducting channelrhodopsins (Govorunova *et al.*, 2022; Vierock *et al.*, 2022); anion-conducting channelrhodopsins (also referred to as ACRs); inward light-driven chloride pumps; and outward light-driven proton pumps. For each class of rhodopsins, the name indicates the types of ions each rhodopsin is selective for, and CCRs are additionally subcategorized as depolarizing or hyperpolarizing; colloquially, the word 'cation' is sometimes dropped from the phrase cation ChR, since the first ChRs to be used in neuroscience were all cation-conducting and thus sometimes ChR, when used alone, refers to a depolarizing cation ChR2 (Boyden *et al.*, 2005). ACRs derived from ChRs via protein engineering are sometimes referred to as designed or engineered ACRs (dACRs (Kato *et al.*, 2018) or eACRs (Wietek *et al.*, 2017), respectively, for short).

All studied cation ChRs conduct protons and physiologically relevant monovalent and bivalent metal cations, such as sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium, with inward rectification (note the asymmetric I–V curves in Figure 6c). All ChRs are ion-selective, with the following relative conductivities typical: H<sup>+</sup> >> Na<sup>+</sup> > K<sup>+</sup> > Ca<sup>2+</sup> > Mg<sup>2+</sup>, likely because of the differential binding affinity of ions to key amino acid residues within the pore (Schneider *et al.*, 2013). Relative ion conductivities vary across ChR species, and show strong voltage- and pH-dependence (Schneider *et al.*, 2013), meaning that the ion composition of the photocurrent depends on the existing ion gradients across the plasma membrane. For example, for ChR2 at more negative membrane voltages, the sodium photocurrent is several times higher than when measured

at a voltage closer to the reversal potential of sodium, where photocurrent is more carried by protons (Berndt *et al.*, 2010; Schneider *et al.*, 2013). For ChR2, despite its very high selectivity toward protons (the selectivity ratio,  $P_{H^+}/P_{Na^+}$ , is about  $2 \times 10^6$ ) (Nagel *et al.*, 2003; Berndt *et al.*, 2010; Vierock *et al.*, 2017), under physiological conditions common in the brain, where the extracellular concentration of sodium is  $\sim 150$  mM and the pH is  $\sim 7.3$ – $7.4$ , about half of the photocurrent is carried by protons. The rest of the photocurrent is carried mainly by sodium, with a small fraction of calcium and magnesium ions, while the contribution of potassium current is negligible due to its higher concentration inside cells (and such ChRs are inwardly rectifying).

Structure–function relationships for ion selectivity in rhodopsin are still poorly understood, and therefore the rational design of an entirely ion-selective cation channel has been very challenging. There exist multiple engineered and naturally occurred ChR variants with improved sodium, calcium, or magnesium conductance; however, their improved cation selective properties arose as much, or more, from serendipity than from rational design. For example, the first generated point mutant of ChR2, the H134R mutant, sometimes called ChR2<sub>R</sub>, has found widespread application in neuroscience and exhibits a modest increase in sodium current compared to its precursor (Gradmann *et al.*, 2011). Another wild-type channelrhodopsin, PsChR from *Platymonas tetraselmis subcordiformis*, has one of the highest sodium selectivities among all studied wild-type channelrhodopsin ( $P_{H^+}/P_{Na^+} \sim 5 \cdot 10^5$ ) (Govorunova *et al.*, 2013; Duan *et al.*, 2019). The D139H mutation of PsChR further increased  $Na^+$  selectivity, over  $H^+$ , by fivefold. Furthermore, PsChR D139H showed a fivefold larger photocurrent than wild-type PsChR. Interestingly, the single amino acid substitution E143S, in the ion pore of Chrimson (called ChrimsonS), increased sodium selectivity by more than two orders of magnitude, with  $P_{H^+}/P_{Na^+}$  going from  $1.3 \times 10^7$  to  $5.3 \times 10^5$ , thus significantly altering the ion composition of the photocurrent. To put this into context: under physiological conditions, 90% of Chrimson's photocurrent is carried by protons; however, in the case of ChrimsonS, only 20% of the photocurrent consists of protons. This increase in selectivity, however, comes at the cost of reduced photocurrent – by about 2.5-fold. This is one of the reasons opsin engineering is challenging – it is hard to change one property of an opsin completely independently of all other properties of an opsin. In addition, red-shifted channelrhodopsins, such as the C1V1 chimera and its accelerated variants, have increased conductance for calcium and magnesium (Prigge *et al.*, 2012; Schneider *et al.*, 2013), although another channelrhodopsin with very high calcium conductance, named calcium translocating channelrhodopsin (CatCh) and its improved variant CatCh<sup>+</sup>, are mutants of ChR2 (Li *et al.*, 2012; Prigge *et al.*, 2012; Kim *et al.* 2017; Mager *et al.*, 2017).

In terms of the wild-type ChR2, significant conductance of calcium ions occurs only under certain conditions, such as high extracellular calcium concentration, created artificially (Caldwell *et al.*, 2008; Schneider *et al.*, 2013), or under high local intracellular calcium concentration, for example, originating from intracellular Ca stores (Figueiredo *et al.*, 2014). It is common to observe an elevation in intracellular  $[Ca^{2+}]$  upon ChR2 photoactivation in neurons, but this is likely often due primarily to the secondary activation of voltage-gated calcium channels by ChR2-mediated depolarization (Zhang and Oertner, 2007; Li *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, the interpretation of any observed changes in ion concentration, upon optogenetic stimulation, must take into account the endogenous channels and pumps responsible for neural function.

Of course, whether an ion channel or pump results in a depolarizing or hyperpolarizing effect depends on the details of the cell's physiology. As an example, the chloride gradient across the plasma membrane can differ in neurons at various developmental stages (Kaila *et al.*, 2014; Heigele *et al.*, 2016; Raimondo *et al.*, 2017; Sato *et al.*, 2017), across neuronal compartments (Turecek and Trussell, 2001; Price and Trussell, 2006; Szabadics *et al.*, 2006; Khirug *et al.*, 2008; Pugh and Jahr, 2011) and across normal versus pathological conditions (Cohen *et al.*, 2002; Huberfeld *et al.*, 2007; Price *et al.*, 2009; Boulenguez *et al.*, 2010; Tao *et al.*, 2012; Nelson and Valakh, 2015; Tang *et al.*, 2016). For example, under normal conditions the cytoplasmic  $[Cl^-] \sim 4$ – $7$  mM in somata of mature neurons (Bregestovski *et al.*, 2009; Sato *et al.*, 2017) is lower than extracellular  $[Cl^-]$ , and thus activation of anion channelrhodopsins results in inward-directed photocurrent, shunting depolarization of the cell to the reversal potential of chloride, which is usually near the resting membrane potential (Wietek *et al.*, 2015; Berndt *et al.*, 2016; Chung *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). Axons can accumulate three to five times higher  $[Cl^-]$  than in their parent cell bodies, however (Price and Trussell, 2006; Khirug *et al.*, 2008), so that even brief illumination (10 ms) of axons expressing GtACRs, chloride specific channelrhodopsins, could cause presynaptic release (Mahn *et al.*, 2016) and evoke antidromic spikes (Malyshev *et al.*, 2017) in acute brain slices, due to outward chloride photocurrent resulting in light-driven depolarization. It should be noted that selective illumination of somata of the same neuron types efficiently inhibited action potentials (Malyshev *et al.*, 2017).

In contrast, light-driven chloride pumps can hyperpolarize neurons across a wide range of conditions, due to the active transport of chloride ions into cells under illumination, which is largely of the chloride gradient or the membrane potential across the membrane (Han and Boyden, 2007; Gradinaru *et al.*, 2008; Mattis *et al.*, 2011; Chuong *et al.*, 2014). However, even brief activation of chloride pumps in neurons (1–10s) leads to an increase in intracellular chloride concentration, which can cause positive shifts in the GABAergic reversal potential (Raimondo *et al.*, 2012; Alfonsa *et al.*, 2015), which can induce rebound activity. Rebound activity also can be triggered by hyperpolarization-activated  $I_h$  currents (Biel *et al.*, 2009; Tonnesen *et al.*, 2009). Thus, following illumination to photoinhibit cells, increased firing rates have been observed both in acute slice preparations (Raimondo *et al.*, 2012; Alfonsa *et al.*, 2015) and in vivo in mice (Madisen *et al.*, 2012; Chuong *et al.*, 2014) and zebrafish (Arrenberg *et al.*, 2009), thus making it important to characterize how photoactivation of a rhodopsin will change the voltage or firing activity of a particular cell type when using these tools. Similarly, illumination of light-driven proton pumps for extended periods of time could increase spontaneous presynaptic transmitter release, perhaps by facilitating a calcium influx (Mahn *et al.*, 2016).

Although changes in cellular pH driven by light-driven proton pumps are numerically small (e.g., 0.1–0.2 pH units for a typical illumination pattern in a neuron (Chow *et al.*, 2010)), local changes, potentially coupled to the presence of specific pH-sensitive proteins in certain cell types or compartments, may respond to such changes. In short, neural silencing must be carefully thought through, because the long durations over which optogenetic silencers are typically utilized, mean that changes in ion concentrations must be considered, and controlled for. The recent discovery of light-driven potassium channels may offer an alternative to the above reagents, by helping avoid artifacts associated with chloride or protons (Govorunova *et al.*, 2022; Vierock *et al.* 2022). And, other pumps are being discovered, which may have uses in neuroscience.



For example, a light-driven sodium pump, KR2, was discovered in *Krokinobacter eikastus* (Inoue *et al.*, 2013). It has potential as a neural silencer, and in a trafficking-enhanced form which boosted membrane expression and photocurrents, denoted eKR2, showed the ability to reduce firing in stimulated cultured neurons in response to 540 nm light of few mW/mm<sup>2</sup> irradiance, although to our knowledge it has not been utilized in vivo (Grimm *et al.*, 2018). Strategically mutagenizing light-driven sodium pumps can impart potassium pumping capability (Gushchin *et al.*, 2015; Kato *et al.*, 2015), opening up yet another potential future direction.

## Conclusion

In summary, while some of the properties of rhodopsins that helped them meet Crick's criteria for success were out-of-the-blue serendipitous – who would have known, for example, that mammalian neurons spontaneously had enough all-trans-retinal around, to enable opsin proteins to function without chemical supplementation? – some of Crick's criteria were met because of well-understood biophysical properties of rhodopsins. The high speed of operation of rhodopsins arises because of specific properties of their structures, which lend themselves to closed photocycles, favorable kinetics on par with the high speed of neurons, and light sensitivities and action spectra which are well matched to light penetration properties of mammalian brain. The clear mechanisms of action of rhodopsins means that the interpretation of experiments is straightforward, and the presence of alternative choices for some opsin categories (e.g., the neural silencers discussed above) opens up the possibility of experiment-specific customization of reagent use, so that undesired artifacts can be avoided.

Going forward: even as existing opsin toolsets have become widespread in neuroscience, there is much opportunity going forward to apply rhodopsins in even more scientific, and perhaps medical contexts (Sahel *et al.*, 2021); new strategies, such as machine learning-assisted directed evolution and software protein structure prediction may help with further optimization of opsin reagents (Bedbrook *et al.*, 2019; Jumper *et al.*, 2021); and synergistic tools such as neural imaging and closed-loop optogenetic control will enable rhodopsins to be used in more and more complex neuroscience question contexts. In some ways the first chapters of the optogenetics story are complete, but in other ways, the adventure is just beginning.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Shuguang Zhang for comments on this manuscript. We also thank Siranush Babakhanova and Cuixin Lai for helping with preparing images for Figure 2.

**Financial support.** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interest.** E.S.B. is an inventor on multiple patents related to optogenetics. K.D.P. is an inventor on a patent related to optogenetics.

## References

- Acker L, Pino EN, Boyden ES and Desimone R (2016) FEF inactivation with improved optogenetic methods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**(46), E7297–E7306.
- Afraz A, Boyden ES and DiCarlo JJ (2015) Optogenetic and pharmacological suppression of spatial clusters of face neurons reveal their causal role in face gender discrimination. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**, 6730–6735. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423328112>.
- Alfonsa H, Merricks EM, Codadu NK, Cunningham MO, Deisseroth K, Racca C and Trevelyan AJ (2015) The contribution of raised intraneuronal chloride to epileptic network activity. *Journal of Neuroscience* **35**(20), 7715–7726. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4105-14.2015>.
- Alilain WJ, Li X, Horn KP, Dhingra R, Dick TE, Herlitze S and Silver J (2008) Light-induced rescue of breathing after spinal cord injury. *Journal of Neuroscience* **28**(46), 11862–11870. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3378-08.2008>.
- Allègre G, Avriplier S and Albe-Fessard D (1994) Stimulation in the rat of a nerve fiber bundle by a short UV pulse from an excimer laser. *Neuroscience Letters* **180**(2), 261–264. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940\(94\)90534-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(94)90534-7).
- Andrasfalvy BK, Zemelman BV, Tang J and Vaziri A (2010) Two-photon single-cell optogenetic control of neuronal activity by sculpted light. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **107**(26), 11981–11986. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1006620107>.
- Arrenberg AB, Bene FD and Baier H (2009) Optical control of zebrafish behavior with halorhodopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**(42), 17968–17973. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906252106>.
- Arsonval AD (1891) La fibre musculaire est directement excitable par la lumière. *Comptes Rendus des Seances de la Societe de Biologie* **43**, 318–320.
- Baines RA, Uhler JP, Thompson A, Sweeney ST and Bate M (2001) Altered electrical properties in drosophila neurons developing without synaptic transmission. *Journal of Neuroscience* **21**, 1523–1531. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.21-05-01523.2001>.
- Banghart M, Borges K, Isacoff E, Trauner D and Kramer RH (2004) Light-activated ion channels for remote control of neuronal firing. *Nature Neuroscience* **7**, 1381–1386. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1356>.
- Bartels E, Wassermann NH and Erlanger BF (1971) Photochromic activators of the acetylcholine receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **68**, 1820–1823. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.68.8.1820>.
- Baumgarten CM, Dudley SC, Rogart RB and Fozzard HA (1995) Unitary conductance of Na<sup>+</sup> channel isoforms in cardiac and NB2a Neuroblastoma cells. *The American Journal of Physiology* **269**(6 Pt 1), C1356–C1363.
- Beckmann M and Hegemann P (1991) In vitro identification of rhodopsin in the Green alga *Chlamydomonas*. *Biochemistry* **30**(15), 3692–3697. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00229a014>.
- Bedbrook CN, Yang KK, Robinson JE, Mackey ED, Gradinaru V and Arnold FH (2019) Machine learning-guided Channelrhodopsin engineering enables minimally invasive optogenetics. *Nature Methods* **16**(11), 1176–1184. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0583-8>.
- Berndt A, Lee SY, Wietek J, Ramakrishnan C, Steinberg EE, Rashid AJ, Kim H, Park S, Santoro A, Frankland PW, Iyer SM, Pak S, Åhrlund-Richter S, Delp SL, Malenka RC, Josselyn SA, Carlén M, Hegemann P and Deisseroth K (2016) Structural foundations of optogenetics: Determinants of channelrhodopsin ion selectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**(4), 822–829. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1523341113>.
- Berndt A, Prigge M, Gradmann D and Hegemann P (2010) Two open states with progressive proton selectivities in the branched channelrhodopsin-2 photocycle. *Biophysical Journal* **98**(5), 753–761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2009.10.052>.
- Berndt A, Schoenberger P, Mattis J, Tye KM, Deisseroth K, Hegemann P and Oertner TG (2011) High-efficiency channelrhodopsins for fast neuronal stimulation at low light levels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108**(18), 7595–7600. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017210108>.
- Beutler LR, Corpuz TV, Ahn JS, Kosar S, Song W, Chen Y and Knight ZA (2020) Obesity causes selective and long-lasting desensitization of Agrp neurons to dietary fat. *eLife* **9**, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.55909>.
- Bi A, Cui J, Ma YP, Olshevskaya E, Pu M, Dizhoor AM and Pan ZH (2006) Ectopic expression of a microbial-type rhodopsin restores visual responses in mice with photoreceptor degeneration. *Neuron* **50**, 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.02.026>.

- Bidaye SS, Laturney M, Chang AK, Liu Y, Bockemühl T, Büschges A and Scott K (2020) Two brain pathways initiate distinct forward walking programs in drosophila. *Neuron* **108**, 469–485.e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.07.032>.
- Biel M, Wahl-Schott C, Michalakis S and Zong X (2009) Hyperpolarization-activated cation channels: From genes to function. *Physiological Reviews* **89** (3), 847–885. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00029.2008>.
- Bieszke JA, Braun EL, Bean LE, Kang S, Natvig DO and Borkovich KA (1999a) The Nop-1 gene of *Neurospora Crassa* encodes a seven transmembrane helix retinal-binding protein homologous to archaeal rhodopsins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **96**, 8034–8039. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.14.8034>.
- Bieszke JA, Spudich EN, Scott KL, Borkovich KA and Spudich JL (1999b) A eukaryotic protein, NOP-1, binds retinal to form an archaeal rhodopsin-like photochemically reactive pigment. *Biochemistry* **38**(43), 14138–14145. <https://doi.org/10.1021/BI9916170>.
- Bogomolni RA and Spudich JL (1982) Identification of a third rhodopsin-like pigment in phototactic *Halobacterium Halobium*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **79**, 6250–6254. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.20.6250>.
- Boulenguez P, Liabeuf S, Bos R, Bras H, Jean-Xavier C, Brocard C, Stil A, Darbon P, Cattaert D, Delpire E, Marsala M and Vinay L (2010) Down-regulation of the potassium-chloride cotransporter KCC2 contributes to spasticity after spinal cord injury. *Nature Medicine* **16**(3), 302–307. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2107>.
- Boyden ES (2011) A history of optogenetics: The development of tools for controlling brain circuits with light. *F1000 Biology Reports* **3**, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3410/B3-11>.
- Boyden ES, Zhang F, Bamberg E, Nagel G and Deisseroth K (2005) Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nature Neuroscience* **8**, 1263–1268. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1525>.
- Bregestovski P, Waseem T and Mukhtarov M (2009) Genetically encoded optical sensors for monitoring of intracellular chloride and chloride-selective channel activity. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience* **2**, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmo.02.015.2009>.
- Brouillette CG, McMichens RB, Stern LJ and Khorana HG (1989) Structure and thermal stability of monomeric bacteriorhodopsin in mixed phospholipid/detergent micelles. *Proteins* **5**(1), 38–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.340050106>.
- Burrone J, O'Byrne M and Murthy VN (2002) Multiple forms of synaptic plasticity triggered by selective suppression of activity in individual neurons. *Nature* **420**(6914), 414–418. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01242>.
- Caldwell JH, Herin GA, Nagel G, Bamberg E, Scheschonka A and Betz H (2008) Increases in intracellular calcium triggered by channelrhodopsin-2 potentiate the response of metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR7. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **283**(36), 24300–24307. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M802593200>.
- Callaway EM and Katz LC (1993) Photostimulation using caged glutamate reveals functional circuitry in living brain slices. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **90**, 7661–7665. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.16.7661>.
- Carrillo-Reid L, Han S, Yang W, Akrouh A and Yuste R (2019) Controlling visually guided behavior by holographic recalling of cortical ensembles. *Cell* **178**(2), 447.e5–457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.045>.
- Chaigneau E, Ronzitti E, Gajowa AM, Soler-Llavina JG, Tanese D, Brureau YBA, Papagiakoumou E, Zeng H and Emiliani V (2016) Two-photon holographic stimulation of ReaChR. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **10**, 234. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2016.00234>.
- Chalazinitis N (1964) Light energy conversion in neuronal membranes. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **3**, 539–559. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.1964.tb08173.x>.
- Chater TE, Henley JM, Brown JT and Randall AD (2010) Voltage- and temperature-dependent gating of heterologously expressed channelrhodopsin-2. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **193**(1), 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2010.07.033>.
- Chen IW, Ronzitti E, Lee BR, Daigle TL, Dalkara D, Zeng H, Emiliani V and Papagiakoumou E (2019) In vivo submillisecond two-photon optogenetics with temporally focused patterned light. *Journal of Neuroscience* **39**(18), 3484–3497. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1785-18.2018>.
- Cheng MY, Wang EH, Woodson WJ, Wang S, Sun G, Lee AG, Arac A, Fenno LE, Deisseroth K and Steinberg GK (2014) Optogenetic neuronal stimulation promotes functional recovery after stroke. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**(35), 12913–12918. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404109111>.
- Cho JR, Treweek JB, Robinson JE, Xiao C, Bremner LR, Greenbaum A and Gradinaru V (2017) Dorsal raphe dopamine neurons modulate arousal and promote wakefulness by salient stimuli. *Neuron* **94**, 1205–1219.e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.05.020>.
- Cho K, Yong DP, Yang A, Chen F, Chuong AS, Klapoetke NC and Boyden ES (2019) Multidimensional screening yields Channelrhodopsin variants having improved photocurrent and order-of-magnitude reductions in calcium and proton currents. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **294**, 3806. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.006996>.
- Chow BY, Han X, Dobry AS, Qian X, Chuong AS, Li M, Henninger MA, Belfort GM, Lin Y, Monahan PE and Boyden ES (2010) High-performance genetically targetable optical neural silencing by light-driven proton pumps. *Nature* **463**, 98–102. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08652>.
- Chung S, Weber F, Zhong P, Tan CL, Nguyen TN, Beier KT, Hörmann N, Chang WC, Zhang Z, Do JP, Yao S, Krashes MJ, Tasic B, Cetin A, Zeng H, Knight ZA, Luo L and Dan Y (2017) Identification of preoptic sleep neurons using retrograde labelling and gene profiling. *Nature* **545**, 477–481. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22350>.
- Chuong AS, Miri ML, Busskamp V, Matthews GAC, Acker LC, Sørensen AT, Young A, Klapoetke NC, Henninger MA, Kodandaramaiah SB, Ogawa M, Ramanlal SB, Bandler RC, Allen BD, Forest CR, Chow BY, Han X, Lin Y, Tye KM, Roska B, Cardin JA and Boyden ES (2014) Noninvasive optical inhibition with a red-shifted microbial rhodopsin. *Nature Neuroscience* **17** (8), 1123–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3752>.
- Cohen I, Navarro V, Clemenceau S, Baulac M and Miles R (2002) On the origin of interictal activity in human temporal lobe epilepsy in vitro. *Science* **298**(5597), 1418–1421. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1076510>.
- Crick FH (1979) Thinking about the brain. *Scientific American* **241**(3), 219–232. <https://doi.org/10.1038/SCIENTIFICAMERICAN0979-219>.
- Crick F (1999) The impact of molecular biology on neuroscience. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **354**, 2021–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1999.0541>.
- Danon A and Stoeckenius W (1974) Photophosphorylation in *Halobacterium Halobium*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **71**, 1234–1238. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.71.4.1234>.
- Deisseroth K and Hegemann P (2017) The form and function of channelrhodopsin. *Science* **357**(6356), eaan5544. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan5544>.
- Dencher NA and Heyn MP (1979) Bacteriorhodopsin monomers pump protons. *FEBS Letters* **108**(2), 307–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(79\)80552-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(79)80552-9).
- Dencher NA, Kohl KD and Heyn MP (1983) Photochemical cycle and light-dark adaptation of monomeric and aggregated bacteriorhodopsin in various lipid environments. *Biochemistry* **22**(6), 1323–1334. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00275a002>.
- Deutsch D, Pacheco DA, Encarnacion-Rivera L, Pereira T, Fathy R, Clemens J, Girardin C, Calhoun A, Ireland E, Burke A, Dorkenwald S, McKellar C, Macrina T, Lu R, Lee K, Kemnitz N, Ih D, Castro M, Halageri A, Jordan C, Silversmith W, Wu J, Seung HS and Murthy M (2020) The neural basis for a persistent internal state in drosophila females. *eLife* **9**, e59502. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.59502>.
- Dobosiewicz M, Liu Q and Bargmann CI (2019) Reliability of an interneuron response depends on an integrated sensory state. *eLife* **8**, e50566. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.50566>.
- Doering CJ, Hamid J, Simms B, McRory JE and Zamponi GW (2005) Cav1.4 encodes a calcium channel with low open probability and unitary conductance. *Biophysical Journal* **89**(5), 3042–3048. <https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.105.067124>.
- Duan X, Nagel G and Gao S (2019) Mutated channelrhodopsins with increased sodium and calcium permeability. *Applied Sciences* **9**(4), 664. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9040664>.
- Dunn RJ, Hackett NR, McCoy JM, Chao BH, Kimura K and Khorana HG (1987) Structure-function studies on bacteriorhodopsin. I. Expression of the

- bacterio-opsin gene in *Escherichia Coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **262**, 9246–9254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258\(18\)48073-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(18)48073-8).
- Dunn TW, Mu Y, Narayan S, Randlett O, Naumann EA, Yang C-T, Schier AF, Freeman J, Engert F and Ahrens MB (2016) Brain-wide mapping of neural activity controlling zebrafish exploratory locomotion. *eLife* **5**, e12741. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12741>.
- Ehrenguber MU, Doupnik CA, Xu Y, Garvey J, Jasek MC, Lester HA and Davidson N (1997) Activation of heteromeric G protein-gated inward rectifier K<sup>+</sup> channels overexpressed by adenovirus gene transfer inhibits the excitability of hippocampal neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **94**(13), 7070–7075. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.94.13.7070/ASSET/888C36BD-193C-4238-8B7E-751852155015/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/PQ1271190005.JPG>.
- Enami N, Yoshimura K, Murakami M, Okumura H, Ihara K and Kouyama T (2006) Crystal structures of archaerhodopsin-1 and -2: Common structural motif in archaeal light-driven proton pumps. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **358**(3), 675–685. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2006.02.032>.
- Ernst OP, Lodowski DT, Elstner M, Hegemann P, Brown LS and Kandori H (2014) Microbial and animal rhodopsins: Structures, functions, and molecular mechanisms. *Chemical Reviews* **114**(1), 126–163. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr4003769>.
- Essen LO, Siegert R, Lehmann WD and Oesterhelt D (1998) Lipid patches in membrane protein oligomers: Crystal structure of the bacteriorhodopsin-lipid complex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **95**(20), 11673–11678. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.20.11673>.
- Essen L-O (2002) Halorhodopsin: Light-driven ion pumping made simple? *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **12**(4), 516–522. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-440x\(02\)00356-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-440x(02)00356-1).
- Facciotti MT, Rouhani S, Burkard FT, Betancourt FM, Downing KH, Rose RB, McDermott G and Glaeser RM (2001) Structure of an early intermediate in the M-state phase of the bacteriorhodopsin photocycle. *Biophysical Journal* **81**(6), 3442–3455. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(01\)75976-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(01)75976-0).
- Falk T, Kilani RK, Yool AJ and Sherman SJ (2001) Viral vector-mediated expression of K<sup>+</sup> channels regulates electrical excitability in skeletal muscle. *Gene Therapy* **8**(18), 1372–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3301539>.
- Farber IC and Grinvald A (1983) Identification of presynaptic neurons by laser photostimulation. *Science* **222**, 1025–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6648515>.
- Feldbauer K, Zimmermann D, Pintschovius V, Spitz J, Bamann C and Bamberg E (2009) Channelrhodopsin-2 is a leaky proton pump. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**(30), 12317–12322. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0905852106>.
- Fernández-García S, Conde-Berriozabal S, García-García E, Gort-Paniello C, Bernal-Casas D, Barriga GG-D, López-Gil J, Muñoz-Moreno E, Soria G, Campa L, Artigas F, Rodríguez MJ, Alberch J and Masana M (2020) M2 cortex-dorsolateral striatum stimulation reverses motor symptoms and synaptic deficits in Huntington's disease. *eLife* **9**, e57017. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.57017>.
- Figueiredo M, Lane S, Stout RF, Liu B, Parpura V, Teschemacher AG and Kasparov S (2014) Comparative analysis of optogenetic actuators in cultured astrocytes. *Cell Calcium* **56**(3), 208–214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2014.07.007>.
- Fork RL (1971) Laser stimulation of nerve cells in *Aplysia*. *Science* **171**, 907–908. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.171.3974.907>.
- Foster KW, Saranak J, Patel N, Zarilli G, Okabe M, Kline T and Nakanishi K (1984) A rhodopsin is the functional photoreceptor for phototaxis in the unicellular eukaryote *Chlamydomonas*. *Nature* **311**, 756–759. <https://doi.org/10.1038/311756a0>.
- Fouad AD, Teng S, Mark JR, Liu A, Alvarez-Illera P, Ji H, Du A, Bhirgoo PD, Cornblath E, Guan SA and Fang-Yen C (2018) Distributed rhythm generators underlie *Caenorhabditis Elegans* forward locomotion. *eLife* **7**, e29913. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29913>.
- Gao S, Guan SA, Fouad AD, Meng J, Kawano T, Huang YC, Li Y, Alcaire S, Hung W, Lu Y, Qi YB, Jin Y, Alkema M, Fang-Yen C and Zhen M (2018) Excitatory motor neurons are local oscillators for backward locomotion. *eLife* **7**, e29915. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29915>.
- Geibel S, Friedrich T, Ormos P, Wood PG, Nagel G and Bamberg E (2001) The voltage-dependent proton pumping in bacteriorhodopsin is characterized by optoelectric behavior. *Biophysical Journal* **81**, 2059–2068. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(01\)75855-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(01)75855-9).
- Govorunova EG, Sineshchekov OA, Janz R, Liu X and Spudich JL (2015) Natural light-gated anion channels: A family of microbial rhodopsins for advanced optogenetics. *Science* **349**(6248), 647–650. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa7484>.
- Govorunova EG, Gou Y, Sineshchekov OA, Li H, Lu X, Wang Y, Brown LS, St-Pierre F, Xue M and Spudich JL (2022) Kalium channelrhodopsins are natural light-gated potassium channels that mediate optogenetic inhibition. *Nature Neuroscience* **25**(7), 967–974. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01094-6>.
- Govorunova EG, Sineshchekov OA, Li H, Janz R and Spudich JL (2013) Characterization of a highly efficient blue-shifted channelrhodopsin from the marine alga *platymonas subcordiformis*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **288**(41), 29911–29922. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.505495>.
- Govorunova EG, Sineshchekov OA, Li H and Spudich JL (2017a) Microbial rhodopsins: Diversity, mechanisms, and optogenetic applications. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **86**(1), 144233. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-101910-144233>.
- Govorunova EG, Sineshchekov OA, Rodarte EM, Janz R, Morelle O, Melkonian M, Gane K-SW and Spudich JL (2017b) The expanding family of natural anion channelrhodopsins reveals large variations in kinetics, conductance, and spectral sensitivity. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 43358. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43358>.
- Govorunova EG, Sineshchekov OA and Spudich JL (2023) Potassium-selective channelrhodopsins. *Biophysics and Physicobiology* **20**, e201011. <https://doi.org/10.2142/BIOPHYSICO.BPPB-V20.S011>.
- Gradinaru V, Thompson KR and Deisseroth K (2008) ENpHR: A *natronomonas* halorhodopsin enhanced for optogenetic applications. *Brain Cell Biology* **36**, 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11068-008-9027-6>.
- Gradinaru V, Zhang F, Ramakrishnan C, Mattis J, Prakash R, Diester I, Goshen I, Thompson KR and Deisseroth K (2010) Molecular and cellular approaches for diversifying and extending optogenetics. *Cell* **141**, 154–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.037>.
- Gradmann D, Berndt A, Schneider F and Hegemann P (2011) Rectification of the channelrhodopsin early conductance. *Biophysical Journal* **101**(5), 1057–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.07.040>.
- Grimm C, Silapetere A, Vogt A, Sierra YAB and Hegemann P (2018) Electrical properties, substrate specificity and optogenetic potential of the engineered light-driven sodium pump EKR2. *Scientific Reports* **8**(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27690-w>.
- Gritton HJ, Howe WM, Romano MF, DiFeliceantonio AG, Kramer MA, Saligrama V, Bucklin ME, Zemel D and Han X (2019) Unique contributions of parvalbumin and cholinergic interneurons in organizing striatal networks during movement. *Nature Neuroscience* **22**, 586–597. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0341-3>.
- Grzesiek S and Dencher NA (1988) Monomeric and aggregated bacteriorhodopsin: Single-turnover proton transport stoichiometry and photochemistry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **85**(24), 9509–9513. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.24.9509>.
- Guo F, Yu J, Jung HJ, Abruzzi KC, Luo W, Griffith LC and Rosbash M (2016) Circadian neuron feedback controls the *drosophila* sleep-activity profile. *Nature* **536**, 292–297. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19097>.
- Gushchin I, Shevchenko V, Polovinkin V, Kovalev K, Alekseev A, Round E, Borshchevskiy V, Balandin T, Popov A, Gensch T, Fahlke C, Bamann C, Willbold D, Büldt G, Bamberg E and Gordeliy V (2015) Crystal structure of a light-driven sodium pump. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **22**(5), 390–395. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3002>.
- Hagglund M, Dougherty KJ, Borgius L, Itoharu S, Iwasato T and Kiehn O (2013) Optogenetic dissection reveals multiple rhythmogenic modules underlying locomotion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**(28), 11589–11594. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1304365110>.
- Han X and Boyden ES (2007) Multiple-color optical activation, silencing, and desynchronization of neural activity, with single-spike temporal resolution. *PLoS One* **2**, e299. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000299>.
- Han X, Chow BY, Zhou H, Klapoetke NC, Chuong A, Rajimehr R, Yang A, Baratta MV, Winkle J, Desimone R and Boyden ES (2011) A high-light sensitivity optical neural silencer: Development and application to

- optogenetic control of non-human primate cortex. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 5, 18. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2011.00018>.
- Han X, Qian X, Bernstein JG, Zhou H-H, Franzesi GT, Stern P, Bronson RT, Graybiel AM, Desimone R and Boyden ES (2009) Millisecond-timescale optical control of neural dynamics in the nonhuman primate brain. *Neuron* 62(2), 191–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.011>.
- Harz H, Nonnengasser C and Hegemann P (1992) The photoreceptor current of the Green alga *Chlamydomonas*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 338(1283), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1992.0127>.
- Harz H and Hegemann P (1991) Rhodopsin-regulated calcium currents in *Chlamydomonas*. *Nature* 351, 489–491. <https://doi.org/10.1038/351489a0>.
- Hegemann P, Gärtner W and Uhl R (1991) All-trans retinal constitutes the functional chromophore in *Chlamydomonas* rhodopsin. *Biophysical Journal* 60, 1477–1489. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(91\)82183-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(91)82183-X).
- Heigele S, Sultan S, Toni N and Bischofberger J (2016) Bidirectional GABAergic control of action potential firing in newborn hippocampal granule cells. *Nature Neuroscience* 19(2), 263–270. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4218>.
- Herman AM, Huang L, Murphey DK, Garcia I and Arenkiel BR (2014) Cell type-specific and time-dependent light exposure contribute to silencing in neurons expressing channelrhodopsin-2. *eLife* 3, e01481. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.01481>.
- Hernandez-Nunez L, Belina J, Klein M, Si G, Claus L, Carlson JR and Aravinthan DTS (2015) Reverse-correlation analysis of navigation dynamics in drosophila larva using Optogenetics. *eLife* 4, e06225. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06225>.
- Hildebrandt V, Fendler K, Heberle J, Hoffmann A, Bamberg E and Büldt G (1993) Bacteriorhodopsin expressed in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* pumps protons through the plasma membrane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 90, 3578–3582. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.8.3578>.
- Hildebrandt V, Ramezani-Rad M, Swida U, Wrede P, Grzesiek S, Primke M and Büldt G (1989) Genetic transfer of the pigment bacteriorhodopsin into the eukaryote *Schizosaccharomyces Pombe*. *FEBS Letters* 243, 137–140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(89\)80115-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(89)80115-2).
- Hirase H, Nikolenko V, Goldberg JH and Yuste R (2002) Multiphoton stimulation of neurons. *Journal of Neurobiology* 51, 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.10056>.
- Hoff WD, Jung KH and Spudich JL (1997) Molecular mechanism of Photosignaling by archaeal sensory rhodopsins. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure* 26, 223–258. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.26.1.223>.
- Hoffmann A, Hildebrandt V, Heberle J and Büldt G (1994) Photoactive mitochondria: in vivo transfer of a light-driven proton pump into the inner mitochondrial membrane of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 91, 9367–9371. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.20.9367>.
- Hooks BM (2018) Dual channel photostimulation for independent excitation of two populations. *Current Protocols in Neuroscience* 85(1), e52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/CPNS.52>.
- Huberfeld G, Wittner L, Clemenceau S, Baulac M, Kaila K, Miles R and Rivera C (2007) Perturbed chloride homeostasis and GABAergic signaling in human temporal lobe epilepsy. *Journal of Neuroscience* 27(37), 9866–9873. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2761-07.2007>.
- Iaccarino HF, Singer AC, Martorell AJ, Rudenko A, Gao F, Gillingham TZ, Mathys H, Seo J, Kritskiy O, Abdurrob F, Adaikkan C, Canter RG, Rueda R, Brown EN, Boyden ES and Tsai LH (2016) Gamma frequency entrainment attenuates amyloid load and modifies microglia. *Nature* 540, 230–235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20587>.
- Ibañez-Tallon I, Wen H, Miwa JM, Xing J, Tekinay AB, Ono F, Brehm P and Heintz N (2004) Tethering naturally occurring peptide toxins for cell-autonomous modulation of ion channels and receptors in vivo. *Neuron* 43, 305–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.07.015>.
- Inoue K, Ono H, Abe-Yoshizumi R, Yoshizawa S, Ito H, Kogure K and Kandori H (2013) A light-driven sodium ion pump in marine bacteria. *Nature Communications* 4(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2689>.
- Ishizuka T, Kakuda M, Araki R and Yawo H (2006) Kinetic evaluation of photosensitivity in genetically engineered neurons expressing green algae light-gated channels. *Neuroscience Research* 54, 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2005.10.009>.
- Jang SR, Nelson JC, Bend EG, Rodríguez-Laureano L, Tueros FG, Cartagenaova L, Underwood K, Jorgensen EM and Colón-Ramos DA (2016) Glycolytic enzymes localize to synapses under energy stress to support synaptic function. *Neuron* 90, 278–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.03.011>.
- Jego S, Glasgow SD, Herrera CG, Ekstrand M, Reed SJ, Boyce R, Friedman J, Burdakov D and Adamantidis AR (2013) Optogenetic identification of a rapid eye movement sleep modulatory circuit in the hypothalamus. *Nature Neuroscience* 16, 1637–1643. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3522>.
- Johns DC, Marx R, Mains RE, O'Rourke B and Marbán E (1999) Inducible genetic suppression of neuronal excitability. *Journal of Neuroscience* 19, 1691–1697. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.19-05-01691.1999>.
- Jones SM and Ribera AB (1994) Overexpression of a potassium channel gene perturbs neural differentiation. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 2789–2799. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.14-05-02789.1994>.
- Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Židek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P and Hassabis D (2021) Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596(7873), 583–589. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2>.
- Kaang BK, Pfaffinger PJ, Seth GNG, Kandel ER and Furukawa Y (1992) Overexpression of an *Aplysia* shaker K<sup>+</sup> channel gene modifies the electrical properties and synaptic efficacy of identified *Aplysia* neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 89, 1133–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.3.1133>.
- Kaila K, Price TJ, Payne JA, Puskarjov M and Voipio J (2014) Cation-chloride cotransporters in neuronal development, plasticity and disease. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 15(10), 637–654. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3819>.
- Kaneko A, Inoue K, Kojima K, Kandori H, and Sudo Y (2017) Conversion of microbial rhodopsins: insights into functionally essential elements and rational protein engineering. *Biophysical reviews* 9, 861–876.
- Karnik SS, Nassal M, Doi T, Jay E, Sgaramella V and Khorana HG (1987) Structure-function studies on bacteriorhodopsin. II. Improved expression of the bacterio-opsin gene in *Escherichia Coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 262, P9255. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258\(18\)48074-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(18)48074-x).
- Kato HE, Inoue K, Abe-Yoshizumi R, Kato Y, Ono H, Konno M, Hososhima S, Ishizuka T, Hoque MR, Kunitomo H, Ito J, Yoshizawa S, Yamashita K, Takemoto M, Nishizawa T, Taniguchi R, Kogure K, Maturana AD, Iino Y, Yawo H, Ishitani R, Kandori H and Nureki O (2015) Structural basis for Na<sup>+</sup> transport mechanism by a light-driven Na<sup>+</sup> pump. *Nature* 521(7550), 48–53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14322>.
- Kato HE, Kim YS, Paggi JM, Evans KE, Allen WE, Richardson C, Inoue K, Ito S, Ramakrishnan C, Fenno LE, Yamashita K, Hilger D, Lee SY, Berndt A, Shen K, Kandori H, Dror RO, Kobilka BK and Deisseroth K (2018) Structural mechanisms of selectivity and gating in anion channelrhodopsins. *Nature* 561(7723), 349–371. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0504-5>.
- Kato HE, Zhang F, Yizhar O, Ramakrishnan C, Nishizawa T, Hirata K, Ito J, Aita Y, Tsukazaki T, Hayashi S, Hegemann P, Maturana AD, Ishitani R, Deisseroth K and Nureki O (2012) Crystal structure of the channelrhodopsin light-gated cation channel. *Nature* 482(7385), 369–374. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10870>.
- Kempadoo KA, Mosharov E, Choi SJ, Sulzer D and Kandel ER (2016) Dopamine release from the locus coeruleus and the dorsal hippocampus promotes spatial learning and memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(51), 14835. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616515114>.
- Ketzef M, Spigolon G, Johansson Y, Bonito-Oliva A, Fisone G and Silberberg G (2017) Dopamine depletion impairs bilateral sensory processing in the striatum in a pathway-dependent manner. *Neuron* 94(4), 855. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.05.004>.
- Kheirbek MA, Drew LJ, Burghardt NS, Costantini DO, Tannenholz L, Ahmari SE, Zeng H, Fenton AA and Henl R (2013) Differential control of learning and anxiety along the dorsoventral axis of the dentate gyrus. *Neuron* 77, 955. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.038>.

- Khirus S, Yamada J, Afzalov R, Voipio J, Khiroug L and Kaila K (2008) GABAergic depolarization of the axon initial segment in cortical principal neurons is caused by the Na-K-2Cl cotransporter NKCC1. *Journal of Neuroscience* **28**(18), 4635–4639. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0908-08.2008>.
- Khorana HG, Knox BE, Nasi E, Swanson R and Thompson DA (1988) Expression of a bovine rhodopsin gene in *Xenopus* oocytes: Demonstration of light-dependent ionic currents. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **85**, 7917. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.21.7917>.
- Kim H, Åhrlund-Richter S, Wang X, Deisseroth K and Carlén M (2016) Prefrontal Parvalbumin neurons in control of attention. *Cell* **164**, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.038>.
- Kim J, Lee S, Fang YY, Shin A, Park S, Hashikawa K, Bhat S, Kim D, Sohn JW, Lin D and Suh GSB (2019) Rapid, biphasic CRF neuronal responses encode positive and negative valence. *Nature Neuroscience* **22**, 576–585. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0342-2>.
- Kim K-D, Bae S, Capece T, Nedelkowska H, de Rubio RG, Smrcka AV, Jun C-D, Jung W, Park B, Kim TI and Kim M (2017a) Targeted calcium influx boosts cytotoxic T lymphocyte function in the tumour microenvironment. *Nature Communications* **8**, 15365. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15365>.
- Kim SS, Rouault H, Druckmann S and Jayaraman V (2017b) Ring attractor dynamics in the drosophila central brain. *Science* **356**, 849–853. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4835>.
- Kim YS, Kato HE, Yamashita K, Ito S, Inoue K, Ramakrishnan C, Fenno LE, Evans KE, Paggi JM, Dror RO, Kandori H, Kobilka BK and Deisseroth K (2018) Crystal structure of the natural anion-conducting Channelrhodopsin GtACR1. *Nature* **561**(7723), 343–348. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0511-6>.
- Kimura Y, Satou C, Fujioka S, Shoji W, Umeda K, Ishizuka T, Yawo H and Higashijima S-i (2013) Hindbrain V2a neurons in the excitation of spinal locomotor circuits during zebrafish swimming. *Current Biology* **23**(10), 843. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.03.066>.
- Kishi KE, Kim YS, Fukuda M, Inoue M, Kusakizako T, Wang PY, Ramakrishnan C, Byrne EFX, Thadhani E, Paggi JM, Matsui TE, Yamashita K, Nagata T, Konno M, Quirin S, Lo M, Benster T, Uemura T, Liu K, Shibata M, Nomura N, Iwata S, Nureki O, Dror RO, Inoue K, Deisseroth K and Kato HE (2022) Structural basis for channel conduction in the pump-like Channelrhodopsin ChRmine. *Cell* **185**(4), 672, e23–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.007>.
- Kitamura T, Ogawa SK, Roy DS, Okuyama T, Morrissey MD, Smith LM, Redondo RL and Tonegawa S (2017) Engrams and circuits crucial for systems consolidation of a memory. *Science* **356**, 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam6808>.
- Klapoetke NC, Murata Y, Kim SS, Pulver SR, Birdsey-Benson A, Cho YK, Morimoto TK, Chuong AS, Carpenter EJ, Tian Z, Wang J, Xie Y, Yan Z, Zhang Y, Chow BY, Surek B, Melkonian M, Jayaraman V, Constantine-Paton M, Wong GK and Boyden ES (2014) Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. *Nature Methods* **11**, 338–346. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2836>.
- Kleinlogel S, Feldbauer K, Dempski RE, Fotis H, Wood PG, Bamann C and Bamberg E (2011) Ultra light-sensitive and fast neuronal activation with the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable Channelrhodopsin CatCh. *Nature Neuroscience* **14**(4), 513–518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2776>.
- Knafo S, Fidelin K, Prendergast A, Tseng P-EB, Parrin A, Dickey C, Böhm UL, Figueiredo SN, Thouvenin O, Pascal-Mousellard H and Wyart C (2017) Mechanosensory neurons control the timing of spinal microcircuit selection during locomotion. *eLife* **6**, e25260. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.25260>.
- Kocabas A, Shen CH, Guo ZV and Ramanathan S (2012) Controlling interneuron activity in *Caenorhabditis Elegans* to evoke chemotactic behaviour. *Nature* **490**, 273. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11431>.
- Kohl J, Babayan BM, Rubinstein ND, Autry AE, Marin-Rodriguez B, Kapoor V, Miyamishi K, Zweifel LS, Luo L, Uchida N and Dulac C (2018) Functional circuit architecture underlying parental behaviour. *Nature* **556**, 326–331. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0027-0>.
- Kolbe M, Besir H, Essen LO and Oesterhelt D (2000) Structure of the light-driven chloride pump Halorhodopsin at 1.8 Å resolution. *Science* **288**(5470), 1390–1396. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5470.1390>.
- Kouyama T, Kanada S, Takeguchi Y, Narusawa A, Murakami M and Ihara K (2010) Crystal structure of the light-driven chloride pump Halorhodopsin from *Natronomonas Pharaonis*. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **396**(3), 564–579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2009.11.061>.
- Kouyama T, Kawaguchi H, Nakanishi T, Kubo H and Murakami M (2015) Crystal structures of the L1, L2, N, and O states of Pharaonis Halorhodopsin. *Biophysical Journal* **108**(11), 2680–2690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2015.04.027>.
- Krook-Magnuson E, Armstrong C, Oijala M and Soltesz I (2013) On-demand Optogenetic control of spontaneous seizures in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Nature Communications* **4**(1), 1376. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2376>.
- Kumar S, Black SJ, Hultman R, Szabo ST, Demaio KD, Du J, Katz BM, Feng G, Covington HE and Dzirasa K (2013) Cortical control of affective networks. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**, 1116. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0092-12.2013>.
- Lanyi JK and Weber HJ (1980) Spectrophotometric identification of the pigment associated with light-driven primary sodium translocation in Halobacterium Halobium. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **255**, 243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258\(19\)86290-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(19)86290-7).
- Lanyi JK and Luecke H (2001) 'Bacteriorhodopsin'. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X\(00\)00226-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X(00)00226-8).
- Laurent P, Soltesz Z, Nelson G, Chen C, Arellano-Carbajal F, Levy E and de Bono M (2015) Decoding a neural circuit controlling global animal state in *C. Elegans*. *eLife* **4**, e04241. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04241>.
- Lawson MA, Zacks DN, Derguini F, Nakanishi K and Spudich JL (1991) Retinal analog restoration of photophobic responses in a blind *Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii* mutant. Evidence for an archaeobacterial like chromophore in a eukaryotic rhodopsin. *Biophysical Journal* **60**, 1490. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(91\)82184-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(91)82184-1).
- Lechner HAE, Lein ES and Callaway EM (2002) A genetic method for selective and quickly reversible silencing of mammalian neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* **22**, 5287. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.22-13-05287.2002>.
- Lee SH, Kwan AC, Zhang S, Phoumthippavong V, Flannery JG, Masmanidis SC, Taniguchi H, Huang ZJ, Zhang F, Boyden ES, Deisseroth K and Dan Y (2012) Activation of specific interneurons improves V1 feature selectivity and visual perception. *Nature* **488**, 379–383. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11312>.
- Lester HA, Krouse ME, Nass MM, Wassermann NH and Erlanger BF (1980) A covalently bound photoisomerizable agonist: Comparison with reversibly bound agonists at electrophorus Electroporus Laques. *Journal of General Physiology* **75**, 207–232. <https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.75.2.207>.
- Li D, Karine H, Isacoff EY, Oheim M and Ropert N (2012) Optogenetic activation of LiGluR-expressing astrocytes evokes anion channel-mediated glutamate release. **4**, 855–873. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.219345>.
- Li H, Huang C-Y, Govorunova EG, Sineshchekov OA, Wang M, Zheng L and Spudich JL (2021) The crystal structure of bromide-bound GtACR1 reveals a pre-activated state in the transmembrane anion tunnel. *BioRxiv*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.31.424927>.
- Li H, Huang CY, Govorunova EG, Schafer CT, Sineshchekov OA, Wang M, Zheng L and Spudich JL (2019) Crystal structure of a natural light-gated anion Channelrhodopsin. *eLife* **8**, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.41741>.
- Li X, Gutierrez DV, Hanson MG, Han J, Mark MD, Chiel H, Hegemann P, Landmesser LT and Herlitze S (2005) Fast noninvasive activation and inhibition of neural and network activity by vertebrate rhodopsin and Green algae Channelrhodopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 17816. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0509030102>.
- Li Z, Liu J, Zheng M and Xu XZS (2014) Encoding of both analog- and digital-like behavioral outputs by one *C. Elegans* interneuron. *Cell* **159**, 751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.056>.
- Lima SQ and Miesenböck G (2005) Remote control of behavior through genetically targeted photostimulation of neurons. *Cell* **121**, 141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.02.004>.

- Lin D, Boyle MP, Dollar P, Hyosang Lee ESL, Perona P and Anderson DJ (2011) Functional identification of an aggression locus in the mouse hypothalamus. *Nature* **470**, 221. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09736>.
- Lin JY (2011) A user's guide to channelrhodopsin variants: Features, limitations and future developments. *Experimental Physiology* **96**(1), 19–25. <https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.2009.051961>.
- Lin JY, Lin MZ, Steinbach P and Tsien RY (2009) Characterization of engineered channelrhodopsin variants with improved properties and kinetics. *Biophysical Journal* **96**(5), 1803–1814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2008.11.034>.
- Lin MZ and Schnitzer MJ (2016) Genetically encoded indicators of neuronal activity. *Nature Neuroscience* **19**(9), 1142–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4359>.
- Lindley EV and MacDonald RE (1979) A second mechanism for sodium extrusion in *Halobacterium Halobium*: A light-driven sodium pump. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **88**, 491. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X\(79\)92075-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(79)92075-8).
- Liu Q and Tucker CL (2017) Engineering genetically-encoded tools for optogenetic control of protein activity. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **40**, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.05.001>.
- Ljunggren EE, Haupt S, Ausborn J, Ampatzis K and el Manira A (2014) Optogenetic activation of excitatory premotor interneurons is sufficient to generate coordinated locomotor activity in larval zebrafish. *Journal of Neuroscience* **34**(1), 134. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4087-13.2014>.
- Llorens-Bobadilla E, Chell JM, le Merre P, Wu Y, Zamboni M, Bergenstråhle J, Stenudd M, Sopova E, Lundberg J, Shupliakov O, Carlén M and Frisén J (2020) A latent lineage potential in resident neural stem cells enables spinal cord repair. *Science* **370**(6512), eabb8795. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb8795>.
- Luecke H, Richter HT and Lanyi JK (1998) Proton transfer pathways in bacteriorhodopsin at 2.3 angstrom resolution. *Science* **280**(5371), 1934–1937. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.280.5371.1934>.
- Luecke H, Schobert B, Richter HT, Cartailler JP and Lanyi JK (1999a) Structure of bacteriorhodopsin at 1.55 Å resolution. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **291**(4), 899–911. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.3027>.
- Luecke H, Schobert B, Richter HT, Cartailler JP and Lanyi JK (1999b) Structural changes in bacteriorhodopsin during ion transport at 2 angstrom resolution. *Science* **286**(5438), 255–260. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5438.255>.
- Luo L, Wen Q, Ren J, Hendricks M, Gershow M, Qin Y, Greenwood J, Soucy ER, Klein M, Smith-Parker HK, Calvo AC, Colón-Ramos DA, Samuel AD and Zhang Y (2014) Dynamic encoding of perception, memory, and movement in a *C. Elegans* chemotaxis circuit. *Neuron* **82**, 1115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.010>.
- Madisen L, Mao T, Koch H, Zhuo J-m, Berenyi A, Fujisawa S, Hsu Y-WA, Garcia AJ, Gu X, Zanella S, Kidney J, Gu H, Mao Y, Hooks BM, Boyden ES, Buzsáki G, Ramirez JM, Jones AR, Svoboda K, Han X, Turner EE and Zhang H (2012) A toolbox of cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-induced activation and silencing. *Nature Neuroscience* **15**(5), 793–802. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3078>.
- Mager T, Wood PG and Bamberg E (2017) Optogenetic control of ca<sup>2+</sup> and voltage-dependent large conductance (BK) potassium channels. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **429**(6), 911–921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.02.004>.
- Mahn M, Prigge M, Ron S, Levy R and Yizhar O (2016) Biophysical constraints of optogenetic inhibition at presynaptic terminals. *Nature Neuroscience* **19**(4), 554–556. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4266>.
- Malyshev AY, Roshchin MV, Smirnova GR, Dolgikh DA, Balaban PM and Ostrovsky MA (2017) Chloride conducting light activated channel GtACR2 can produce both cessation of firing and generation of action potentials in cortical neurons in response to light. *Neuroscience Letters* **640**, 76–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.01.026>.
- Man D, Wang W, Gazalah Sabeji LA, Post AF, Massana R, Spudich EN, Spudich JL and Béjà O (2003) Diversification and spectral tuning in marine Proteo rhodopsins. *EMBO Journal* **22**(8), 1725–1731. <https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg183>.
- Mardinly AR, Oldenburg IA, Pégard NC, Sridharan S, Lyall EH, Chesnov K, Brohawn SG, Waller L and Adesnik H (2018) Precise multimodal optical control of neural ensemble activity. *Nature Neuroscience* **21**(6), 881–893. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0139-8>.
- Marshall JH, Kim YS, Machado TA, Quirin S, Benson B, Kadmon J, Raja C, Chibukhchyan A, Ramakrishnan C, Inoue M, Shane JC, McKnight DJ, Yoshizawa S, Kato HE, Ganguli S and Deisseroth K (2019) Cortical layer-specific critical dynamics triggering perception. *Science* **365**(6453), eaaw5202. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw5202>.
- Martín-García E, Courtin J, Renault P, Fiancette JF, Wurtz H, Simonnet A, Lévêq F, Herry C and Deroche-Gamonet V (2014) Frequency of cocaine self-administration influences drug seeking in the rat: Optogenetic evidence for a role of the Prelimbic cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 2317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2014.66>.
- Mastro KJ, Zitelli KT, Willard AM, Leblanc KH, Kravitz AV and Gittis AH (2017) Cell-specific pallidal intervention induces long-lasting motor recovery in dopamine-depleted mice. *Nature Neuroscience* **20**, 815–823. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4559>.
- Matsuno-Yagi A and Mukohata Y (1977) Two possible roles of bacteriorhodopsin; a comparative study of strains of *Halobacterium Halobium* differing in pigmentation. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **78**, 237–243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X\(77\)91245-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(77)91245-1).
- Matsuno-Yagi A and Mukohata Y (1980) ATP synthesis linked to light-dependent proton uptake in a red mutant strain of *Halobacterium* lacking bacteriorhodopsin. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861\(80\)90284-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(80)90284-2).
- Mattis J, Tye KM, Ferenczi EA, Ramakrishnan C, O'Shea DJ, Prakash R, Gunaydin LA, Hyun M, Fenno LE, Gradinaru V, Yizhar O and Deisseroth K (2011) Principles for applying optogenetic tools derived from direct comparative analysis of microbial rhodopsins. *Nature Methods* **9**(2), 159–172. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1808>.
- Melyan Z, Tarrtelin EE, Bellingham J, Lucas RJ and Hankins MW (2005) Addition of human Melanopsin renders mammalian cells Photoresponsive. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03344>.
- Mittelmeier TM, Boyd JS, Lamb MR and Dieckmann CL (2011) Asymmetric properties of the *Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii* cytoskeleton direct rhodopsin photoreceptor localization. *Journal of Cell Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201009131>.
- Mous S, Gotthard G, Ehrenberg D, Sen S, Weinert T, Philip JMJ, James D, Nass K, Furrer A, Kekilli D, Ma P, Brünle S, Casadei CM, Martiel I, Dworkowski F, Gashi D, Skopintsev P, Wrani M, Knopp G, Panepucci E, Panneels V, Cirelli C, Ozerov D, Schertler GFX, Wang M, Milne C, Standfuss J, Schapiro I, Heberle J and Nögly P (2022) Dynamics and mechanism of a light-driven chloride pump. *Science* **375**(6583), 845–851. [https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.ABJ6663/SUPPL\\_FILE/SCIEN CE.ABJ6663\\_MDAR\\_REPRODUCIBILITY\\_CHECKLIST.PDF](https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.ABJ6663/SUPPL_FILE/SCIEN CE.ABJ6663_MDAR_REPRODUCIBILITY_CHECKLIST.PDF).
- Muders V, Kerruth S, Lörenz-Fonfria VA, Bamann C, Heberle J and Schlesinger R (2014) Resonance Raman and FTIR spectroscopic characterization of the closed and open states of Channelrhodopsin-1. *FEBS Letters* **588**(14), 2301–2306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2014.05.019>.
- Mukohata Y and Kaji Y (1981) Light-induced membrane-potential increase, ATP synthesis, and proton uptake in *Halobacterium Halobium* R1mR catalyzed by Halorhodopsin: Effects of N,N'-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, Triphenyltin chloride, and 3,5-Di-Tert-Butyl-4-Hydroxybenzylidenemalononitrile. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861\(81\)90067-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(81)90067-9).
- Müller M, Bamann C, Bamberg E and Kühlbrandt W (2011) Projection structure of Channelrhodopsin-2 at 6 Å resolution by electron crystallography. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **414**(1), 86–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2011.09.049>.
- Murugan M, Jang HJ, Park M, Miller EM, Cox J, Taliaferro JP, Parker NF, Bhawe V, Hur H, Liang Y, Nectow AR, Pillow JW and Witten IB (2017) Combined social and spatial coding in a descending projection from the prefrontal cortex. *Cell* **171**(7), 1663–1677.e16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.002>.
- Musso PY, Junca P, Jelen M, Feldman-Kiss D, Zhang H, Rachel CWC and Gordon MD (2019) Closed-loop Optogenetic activation of peripheral or central neurons modulates feeding in freely moving drosophila. *eLife*. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.45636>.

- Nadeau H, McKinney S, Anderson DJ and Lester HA (2000) Romk1 (Kirl.1) causes apoptosis and chronic silencing of hippocampal neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2000.84.2.1062>.
- Nagel G, Szellas T, Huhn W, Kateriya S, Adeishvili N, Berthold P, Ollig D, Hegemann P and Bamberg E (2003) Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-selective membrane channel. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**(24), 13940–13945. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1936192100>.
- Nagel G, Brauner M, Liewald JF, Adeishvili N, Bamberg E and Gottschalk A (2005) Light activation of channelrhodopsin-2 in excitable cells of *Caenorhabditis Elegans* triggers rapid behavioral responses. *Current Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.11.032>.
- Nagel G, Möckel B, Büldt G and Bamberg E (1995) Functional expression of bacteriorhodopsin in oocytes allows direct measurement of voltage dependence of light induced H<sup>+</sup> pumping. *FEBS Letters* **377**(2), 263–266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(95\)01356-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(95)01356-3).
- Nagel G, Ollig D, Fuhrmann M, Kateriya S, Musti AM, Bamberg E and Hegemann P (2002) Channelrhodopsin-1: A light-gated proton channel in green algae. *Science* **296**(5577), 2395–2398. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072068>.
- Nardou R, Lewis EM, Rothhaas R, Xu R, Yang A, Boyden E and Dölen G (2019) Oxytocin-dependent reopening of a social reward learning critical period with MDMA. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1075-9>.
- Nectow AR, Schneeberger M, Zhang H, Field BC, Renier N, Azevedo E, Patel B, Liang Y, Mitra S, Tessier-Lavigne M, Han MH and Friedman JM (2017) Identification of a brainstem circuit controlling feeding. *Cell*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.045>.
- Nelson SB and Valakh V (2015) Excitatory/inhibitory balance and circuit homeostasis in autism spectrum disorders. *Neuron*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.033>.
- Nieh EH, Matthews GA, Allsop SA, Presbrey KN, Leppla CA, Wichmann R, Neve R, Wildes CP and Tye KM (2015) Decoding neural circuits that control compulsive sucrose seeking. *Cell*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.003>.
- Nikolic K, Grossman N, Grubb MS, Burrone J, Toumazou C and Degenaar P (2009) Photocycles of channelrhodopsin-2. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **85**(1), 400–411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2008.00460.x>.
- Nitabach MN, Blau J and Holmes TC (2002) Electrical silencing of drosophila pacemaker neurons stops the free-running circadian clock. *Cell*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(02\)00737-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00737-7).
- Oda K, Vierock J, Oishi S, Rodriguez-Rozada S, Taniguchi R, Keituro Yamashita JSW, Nishizawa T, Hegemann P and Nureki O (2018) Crystal structure of the red light-activated channelrhodopsin Chrimson. *Nature Communications* **9**(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1978.tb12773.x>.
- Oesterhelt D and Stoekenius W (1973) Functions of a new photoreceptor membrane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.70.10.2853>.
- Oesterhelt D and Stoekenius W (1971) Rhodopsin-like protein from the purple membrane of Halobacterium Halobium. *Nature New Biology* **233** (39), 149–152. <https://doi.org/10.1038/newbio233149a0>.
- Oikonomou G, Altermatt M, Zhang R-W, Coughlin GM, Montz C, Gradinaru V and Prober DA (2019) The serotonergic raphe promote sleep in zebrafish and mice. *Neuron* **103**(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.038>.
- Okuno D, Asami M and Muneyuki E (1999) Chloride concentration dependency of the electrogenic activity of Halorhodopsin. *Biochemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi9826456>.
- Oliva A, Fernández-Ruiz A, Leroy F and Siegelbaum SA (2020) Hippocampal CA2 sharp-wave ripples reactivate and promote social memory. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2758-y>.
- Oron D, Eirini Papagiakoumou FA and Emiliani V (2012) Two-photon optogenetics. *Progress in Brain Research* **196**, 119–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59426-6.00007-0>.
- Otis JM, Vijay MKN, Matan AM, Voets ES, Mohorn EP, Kosyk O, McHenry JA, Robinson JE, Resendez SL, Rossi MA and Stuber GD (2017) Prefrontal cortex output circuits guide reward seeking through divergent Cue encoding. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21376>.
- Packer AM, Peterka DS, Hirtz JJ, Prakash R, Deisseroth K and Yuste R (2012) Two-photon optogenetics of dendritic spines and neural circuits. *Nature Methods* **9**(12), 1202–1205. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2249>.
- Packer AM, Russell LE, Henry WPD and Häusser M (2015) Simultaneous all-optical manipulation and recording of neural circuit activity with cellular resolution in vivo. *Nature Methods* **12**(2), 140–146. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3217>.
- Panda S, Nayak SK, Campo B, Walker JR, Hogenesch JB and Jegla T (2005) Illumination of the melanopsin signaling pathway. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105121>.
- Papagiakoumou E, Ronzitti E and Emiliani V (2020) Scanless two-photon excitation with temporal focusing. *Nature Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0795-y>.
- Paradis S, Sweeney ST and Davis GW (2001) Homeostatic control of presynaptic release is triggered by postsynaptic membrane depolarization. *Neuron*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(01\)00326-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00326-9).
- Patzelt H, Simon B, TerLaak A, Kessler B, Kühne R, Schmieder P, Oesterhelt D and Oschkinat H (2002) The structures of the active center in dark-adapted bacteriorhodopsin by solution-state NMR spectroscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **99**(15), 9765–9770. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.132253899>.
- Paz JT, Davidson TJ, Frechette ES, Delord B, Parada I, Peng K, Deisseroth K and Huguenard JR (2013) Closed-loop optogenetic control of thalamus as a tool for interrupting seizures after cortical injury. *Nature Neuroscience* **16**(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3269>.
- Pebay-Peyroula E, Rummel G, Rosenbusch JP and Landau EM (1997) X-ray structure of bacteriorhodopsin at 2.5 angstroms from microcrystals grown in lipidic cubic phases. *Science* **277**(5332), 1676–1681. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5332.1676>.
- Peckol EL, Zallen JA, Yarrow JC and Bargmann CI (1999) Sensory activity affects sensory axon development in *C. Elegans*. *Development*.
- Pégaré NC, Mardinly AR, Oldenburg IA, Sridharan S, Waller L and Adesnik H (2017) Three-dimensional Scanless holographic optogenetics with temporal focusing (3D-SHOT). *Nature Communications* **8**(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01031-3>.
- Peterka DS, Takahashi H and Yuste R (2011) Imaging voltage in neurons. *Neuron* **69**(1), 9–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.12.010>.
- Piatkevich KD, Murdock MH and Subach FV (2019) Advances in engineering and application of optogenetic indicators for neuroscience. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)* **9**(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9030562>.
- Piatkevich KD, Bensussen S, Tseng H, Shroff SN, Lopez-Huerta VG, Park D, Jung EE, Shemesh OA, Straub C, Gritton HJ, Romano MF, Costa E, Sabatini BL, Fu Z, Boyden ES and Han X (2019) Population imaging of neural activity in awake behaving mice. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1641-1>.
- Piatkevich KD, Jung EE, Straub C, Linghu C, Park D, Suk HJ, Hochbaum DR, Goodwin D, Pnevmatikakis E, Pak N, Kawashima T, Yang CT, Rhoades JL, Shemesh O, Asano S, Yoon YG, Freifeld L, Saulnier JL, Riegler C, Engert F, Hughes T, Drobizhev M, Szabo B, Ahrens MB, Flavell SW, Sabatini BL and Boyden ES (2018) A robotic multidimensional directed evolution approach applied to fluorescent voltage reporters article. *Nature Chemical Biology* **14** (4), 352–360. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-018-0004-9>.
- Picones A, Keung E and Timpe LC (2001) Unitary conductance variation in Kir2.1 and in cardiac inward rectifier potassium channels. *Biophysical Journal* **81**(4), 2035–2049. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(01\)75853-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(01)75853-5).
- Pirzgalska RM, Seixas E, Seidman JS, Link VM, Sánchez NM, Mahú I, Mendes R, Gres V, Kubasova N, Morris I, Arús BA, Larabee CM, Vasques M, Tortosa F, Sousa AL, Anandan S, Tranfield E, Hahn MK, Iannaccone M, Spann NJ, Glass CK and Domingos AI (2017) Sympathetic neuron-associated macrophages contribute to obesity by importing and metabolizing norepinephrine. *Nature Medicine* **23**(11), 1309–1318. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4422>.
- Prakash R, Yizhar O, Grewe B, Ramakrishnan C, Wang N, Goshen I, Packer AM, Peterka DS, Yuste R, Schnitzer MJ and Deisseroth K (2012) Two-photon optogenetic toolbox for fast inhibition, excitation and bistable modulation. *Nature Methods* **9**(12), 1171–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2256>.
- Price GD and Trussell LO (2006) Estimate of the chloride concentration in a central glutamatergic terminal: A gramicidin perforated-patch study on the calyx of held. *Journal of Neuroscience* **26**(44), 11432–11436. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1660-06.2006>.

- Price TJ, Cervero F, Gold MS, Hammond DL and Prescott SA (2009) Chloride regulation in the pain pathway. *Brain Research Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.12.015>.
- Prigge M, Schneider F, Tsunoda SP, Shilyansky C, Wietek J, Deisseroth K and Hegemann P (2012) Color-tuned channelrhodopsins for multiwavelength optogenetics. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287**(38), 31804–31812. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.391185>.
- Pugh JR and Jahr CE (2011) Axonal GABAA receptors increase cerebellar granule cell excitability and synaptic activity. *Journal of Neuroscience* **31**(2), 565–574. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4506-10.2011>.
- Qian Y, Cosio DMO, Piatkevich KD, Aufmkolk S, Su W-C, Celiker OT, Schohl A, Murdock MH, Aggarwal A, Chang YF, Wiseman PW, Ruthazer ES, Boyden ES and Campbell RE (2020) Improved genetically encoded near-infrared fluorescent calcium ion indicators for in vivo imaging. *PLoS Biology* **18**(11), e3000965. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000965>.
- Qian Y, Piatkevich KD, Larney BM, Abdelfattah AS, Mehta S, Murdock MH, Gottschalk S, Molina RS, Zhang W, Chen Y, Wu J, Drobizhev M, Hughes TE, Zhang J, Schreiner ER, Shoham S, Razansky D, Boyden ES and Campbell RE (2019) A genetically encoded near-infrared fluorescent calcium ion indicator. *Nature Methods* **16**(2), 171–174. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0294-6>.
- Qui X, Kumbalasingi T, Carlson SM, Wong KY, Krishna V, Provencio I and Berson DM (2005) Induction of photosensitivity by heterologous expression of Melanopsin. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03345>.
- Raimondo JV, Kay L, Ellender TJ and Akerman CJ (2012) Optogenetic silencing strategies differ in their effects on inhibitory synaptic transmission. *Nature Neuroscience* **15**(8), 1102–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3143>.
- Raimondo JV, Richards BA and Woodin MA (2017) Neuronal chloride and excitability – The big impact of small changes. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **43**, 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2016.11.012>.
- Redfern CH, Coward P, Degtyarev MY, Lee EK, Kwa AT, Hennighausen L, Bujard H, Fishman GI and Conklin BR (1999) Conditional expression and signaling of a specifically designed *G(i)*-coupled receptor in transgenic mice. *Nature Biotechnology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/6165>.
- Reed MD, Yim YS, Wimmer RD, Kim H, Ryu C, Welch GM, Andina M, King HO, Waisman A, Halassa MM, Huh JR and Choi GB (2019) IL-17a promotes sociability in mouse models of neurodevelopmental disorders. *Nature* **577**(7789), 249–253. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1843-6>.
- Rickgauer JP and Tank DW (2009) Two-photon excitation of Channelrhodopsin-2 at saturation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**(35), 15025–15030. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0907084106>.
- Ronzitti E, Conti R, Zampini V, Klapoetke NC, Tanese D, Papagiakoumou E, Boyden ES, Papagiakoumou E and Emiliani V (2016) Sub-millisecond optogenetic control of neuronal firing by two-photon holographic photoactivation of chronos, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1101/062182>.
- Root CM, Denny CA, Hen R and Axel R (2014) The participation of cortical amygdala in innate, odour-driven behaviour. *Nature* **515**(7526). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13897>.
- Rowlands CJ, Park D, Bruns OT, Piatkevich KD, Fukumura D, Jain RK, Bawendi MG, Boyden ES and So PTC (2016) Wide-field three-photon excitation in biological samples. *Light: Science & Applications* **6**(5), e16255. <https://doi.org/10.1038/lsa.2016.255>.
- Sahel JA, Boulanger-Scemama E, Pagot C, Arleo A, Galluppi F, Martel JN, Esposti SD, Delaux A, de Saint Aubert JB, de Montleau C, Gutman E, Audo I, Duebel J, Picaud S, Dalkara D, Blouin L, Tsiel M and Roska B (2021) Partial recovery of visual function in a blind patient after optogenetic therapy. *Nature Medicine* **27**(7), 1223–1229. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01351-4>.
- Salomé PA and Merchant SS (2019) A series of fortunate events: Introducing chlamydomonas as a reference organism. *The Plant Cell* **31**(8), 1682–1707. <https://doi.org/10.1105/TPC.18.00952>.
- Sasaki T, Kubo M, Kikukawa T, Kamiya M, Aizawa T, Kawano K, Kamo N and Demura M (2009) Halorhodopsin from *natronomonas pharaonis* forms a trimer even in the presence of a detergent, dodecyl- $\beta$ -D-maltese. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **85**(1), 130–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2008.00406.x>.
- Sato SS, Artoni P, Landi S, Parra R, Trovato F, Szczurkowska J, Luin S, Arosio D, Beltram F, Cancedda L, Kaila K and Ratto GM (2017) Simultaneous two-photon imaging of intracellular chloride concentration and PH in the brain in vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **114**, E8770. <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1702861114>.
- Schneider F, Gradmann D and Hegemann P (2013) Ion selectivity and competition in channelrhodopsins. *Biophysical Journal* **105**(1), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.05.042>.
- Schneider F, Grimm C and Hegemann P (2015) Biophysics of channelrhodopsin. *Annual Review of Biophysics* **44**, 167–186. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-060414-034014>.
- Schober B and Lanyi JK (1982) Halorhodopsin is a light-driven chloride pump. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **257**(17), 10306–10313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)34020-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)34020-1).
- Schoonheim PJ, Arrenberg AB, del Bene F and Baier H (2010) Optogenetic localization and genetic perturbation of saccade-generating neurons in zebrafish. *Journal of Neuroscience* **30**(20). <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5193-09.2010>.
- Schroll C, Riemensperger T, Bucher D, Ehmer J, Völler T, Erbguth K, Gerber B, Hendel T, Nagel G, Buchner E and Fiala A (2006) Light-induced activation of distinct modulatory neurons triggers appetitive or aversive learning in drosophila larvae. *Current Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.07.023>.
- Seeholzer LF, Seppo M, Stern DL and Ruta V (2018) Evolution of a central neural circuit underlies drosophila mate preferences. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0322-9>.
- Seki A, Miyauchi S, Hayashi S, Kikukawa T, Kubo M, Demura M, Ganapathy V and Kamo N (2007) Heterologous expression of Pharaonis Halorhodopsin in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes and electrophysiological characterization of its light-driven cl<sup>-</sup> pump activity. *Biophysical Journal* **92**(7), 2559–2569. <https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.093153>.
- Shemesh OA, Tanese D, Zampini V, Linghu C, Piatkevich K, Ronzitti E, Papagiakoumou E, Boyden ES and Emiliani V (2017) Temporally precise single-cell-resolution optogenetics. *Nature Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0018-8>.
- Shemesh O, Tanese D, Zampini V, Changyang L, Kyril P, Ronzitti E, Papagiakoumou E, Boyden ES and Emiliani V (2017) Temporally precise single-cell resolution optogenetics. *Nature Neuroscience*.
- Sherman D, Worrell JW, Cui Y and Feldman JL (2015) Optogenetic perturbation of PreBötzing complex inhibitory neurons modulates respiratory pattern. *Nature Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3938>.
- Shibata M, Inoue K, Ikeda K, Konno M, Singh M, Kataoka C, Abe-Yoshizumi R, Kandori H and Uchihashi T (2018) Oligomeric states of microbial rhodopsins determined by high-speed atomic force microscopy and circular dichroic spectroscopy. *Scientific Reports* **8**(1), 8262. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26606-y>.
- Shibata M, Yamashita H, Uchihashi T, Kandori H and Ando T (2010) High-speed atomic force microscopy shows dynamic molecular processes in photoactivated bacteriorhodopsin. *Nature Nanotechnology* **5**(3), 208–212. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.7>.
- Sineshchekov OA, Jung KH and Spudich JL (2002) Two rhodopsins mediate Phototaxis to low- and high-intensity light in *Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122443399>.
- Slimko EM, McKinney S, Anderson DJ, Davidson N and Lester HA (2002) Selective electrical silencing of mammalian neurons in vitro by the use of invertebrate ligand-gated chloride channels. *Journal of Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.22-17-07373.2002>.
- Song Y and Gunner MR (2014) Halorhodopsin pumps cl<sup>-</sup> and bacteriorhodopsin pumps protons by a common mechanism that uses conserved electrostatic interactions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**(46), 16377–16382. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411119111>.
- Spudich EN and Spudich JL (1982) Control of transmembrane ion flux to select halorhodopsin-deficient and other energy-transduction mutants of *Halobacterium Halobium*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.14.4308>.



- Spudich JL, Yang CS, Jung KH and Spudich EN (2000) Retinylidene proteins: Structures and functions from archaea to humans. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.16.1.365>.
- Spudich JL and Bogomolni RA (1984) Mechanism of colour discrimination by a bacterial sensory rhodopsin. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/312509a0>.
- Stagkourakis S, Smiley KO, Williams P, Kakadellis S, Ziegler K, Bakker J, Rosemary SEB, Harkany T, Grattan DR and Broberger C (2020) A neuro-hormonal circuit for paternal behavior controlled by a hypothalamic network oscillation. *Cell* 182(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.007>.
- Stehfest K and Hegemann P (2010) Evolution of the channelrhodopsin photocycle model. *ChemPhysChem*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.200900980>.
- Steinbeck JA, Choi SJ, Mrejeru A, Ganat Y, Deisseroth K, Sulzer D, Mosharov EV and Studer L (2015) Optogenetics enables functional analysis of human embryonic stem cell-derived grafts in a Parkinson's disease model. *Nature Biotechnology* 33(2). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3124>.
- Sutherland ML, Williams SH, Abedi R, Overbeek PA, Pfaffinger PJ and Noebels JL (1999) Overexpression of a shaker-type potassium channel in mammalian central nervous system dysregulates native potassium channel gene expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.5.2451>.
- Swift KM, Gross BA, Frazer MA, Bauer DS, Kyle JDC, Vazey EM, Aston-Jones G, Pickering AE, Sara SJ and Poe GR (2018) Abnormal locus coeruleus sleep activity alters sleep signatures of memory consolidation and impairs place cell stability and spatial memory. *Current Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.054>.
- Szabadics J, Varga C, Molnar G, Olah S, Barzo P, Tamas G and Szabadics (2006) Excitatory effect of GABAergic. *Science* 311: 233–235. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121325>.
- Takahashi T, Yoshihara K, Watanabe M, Kubota M, Johnson R, Derguini F and Nakanishi K (1991) Photoisomerization of retinal at 13-Ene is important for phototaxis of *Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii*: Simultaneous measurements of phototactic and photophobic responses. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X\(91\)91031-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(91)91031-7).
- Tang X, Kim J, Zhou L, Wengert E, Zhang L, Wu Z, Carromeu C, Muotri AR, Marchetto MC, Gage FH and Chen G (2016) KCC2 rescues functional deficits in human neurons derived from patients with Rett syndrome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113(3), 1524013113. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524013113>.
- Tao R, Li C, Newburn EN, Ye T, Lipska BK, Herman MM, Weinberger DR, Kleinman JE and Hyde TM (2012) Transcript-specific associations of SLC12A5 (KCC2) in human prefrontal cortex with development, schizophrenia, and affective disorders. *Journal of Neuroscience* 32(15), 5216–5222. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4626-11.2012>.
- Taylor NE, Van Dort CJ, Kenny JD, Pei J, Guidera JA, Vlasov KY, Lee JT, Boyden ES, Brown EN and Solt K (2016) Optogenetic activation of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area induces reanimation from general anesthesia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614340113>.
- Tobin DM, Madsen DM, Kahn-Kirby A, Peckol EL, Moulder G, Barstead R, Maricq AV and Bargmann CI (2002) Combinatorial expression of TRPV channel proteins defines their sensory functions and subcellular localization in *C. Elegans* neurons. *Neuron*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(02\)00757-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00757-2).
- Tonnesen J, Sorensen AT, Deisseroth K, Lundberg C and Kokaia M (2009) Optogenetic control of epileptiform activity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(29), 12162–12167. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901915106>.
- Trojanowski NF, Nelson MD, Flavell SW, Fang-Yen C and Raizen DM (2015) Distinct mechanisms underlie quiescence during two *Caenorhabditis Elegans* sleep-like states. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 35(43), 14571–14584. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1369-15.2015>.
- Turecek R and Trussell LO (2001) Presynaptic glycine receptors enhance transmitter release at a mammalian central synapse. *Nature* 411(2000), 587–590. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35079084>.
- Tuthill JC and Wilson RI (2016) Parallel transformation of tactile signals in central circuits of drosophila. *Cell*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.014>.
- Vierock J, Grimm C, Nitzan N and Hegemann P (2017) Molecular determinants of proton selectivity and gating in the red-light activated Channelrhodopsin Chrimson. *Scientific Reports* 7(1), 9928. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09600-8>.
- Vierock J, Peter E, Grimm C, Andrey Rozenberg IWC, Tillert L, Scalise AGC, Casini M, Augustin S, Tanese D, Forget BC, Peyronnet R, Schneider-Warme F, Emiliani V, Béjà O and Hegemann P (2022a) WiChR, a highly potassium-selective Channelrhodopsin for low-light one- and two-photon inhibition of excitable cells. *Science Advances* 8(49). [https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIADV.ADD7729/SUPPL\\_FILE/SCIADV.ADD7729\\_TABLE\\_S1.ZIP](https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIADV.ADD7729/SUPPL_FILE/SCIADV.ADD7729_TABLE_S1.ZIP).
- Vierock J, Peter E, Grimm C, Rozenberg A, Scalise AGC, Augustin S, Tanese D, Forget BC, Emiliani V, Béjà O and Hegemann P (2022b) WiChR, a highly potassium selective Channelrhodopsin for low-light two-photon neuronal inhibition. *BioRxiv*, July, 2022.07.02.498568. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.02.498568>.
- Vierock J, Rodriguez-Rozada S, Dieter A, Pieper F, Sims R, Tenedini F, Amelie CFB, Bendifallah I, Zhou F, Zeitzschel N, Ahlbeck J, Augustin S, Sauter K, Papagiakoumou E, Gottschalk A, Soba P, Emiliani V, Engel AK, Hegemann P and Wiegert JS (2021) BiPOLES is an optogenetic tool developed for bidirectional dual-color control of neurons. *Nature Communications* 12(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24759-5>.
- Vogelstein JT, Park Y, Ohyama T, Kerr RA, Truman JW, Priebe CE and Zlatic M (2014) Discovery of brainwide neural-behavioral maps via multiscale unsupervised structure learning. *Science* 344(6182). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1250298>.
- Volkov O, Kovalev K, Polovinkin V, Borshchevskiy V, Bamann C, Astashkin R, Marin E, Popov A, Balandin T, Willbold D, Büldt G, Bamberg E and Gordeliy V (2017) Structural insights into ion conduction by Channelrhodopsin 2. *Science* 358(6366). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8862>.
- Wahl AS, Büchler U, Brändli A, Brattoli B, Musall S, Kasper H, Ineichen BV, Helmchen F, Ommer B and Schwab ME (2017) Optogenetically stimulating intact rat corticospinal tract post-stroke restores motor control through regionalized functional circuit formation. *Nature Communications*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01090-6>.
- Wee CL, Nikitchenko M, Wang W-C, Luks-Morgan SJ, Song E, Gagnon JA, Randlett O, Bianco IH, Lacoste AMB, Glushenkova E, Barrios JP, Schier AF, Kunes S, Engert F and Douglass AD (2019) Zebrafish oxytocin neurons drive Nocifensive behavior via brainstem premotor targets. *Nature Neuroscience* 22(9). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0452-x>.
- Wells J, Kao C, Mariappan K, Jeffrey Albea EDJ, Konrad P and Mahadevan-Jansen A (2005) Optical stimulation of neural tissue in vivo. *Optics Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1364/ol.30.000504>.
- White BH, Osterwalder TP, Yoon KS, Joiner WJ, Whim MD, Kaczmarek LK and Keshishian H (2001) Targeted attenuation of electrical activity in drosophila using a genetically modified K<sup>+</sup> channel. *Neuron*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(01\)00415-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00415-9).
- Wietek J, Beltramo R, Scanziani M, Hegemann P, Oertner TG and Wiegert JS (2015) An improved chloride-conducting Channelrhodopsin for light-induced inhibition of neuronal activity in vivo. *Scientific Reports* 5(1), 14807. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14807>.
- Wietek J, Rodriguez-Rozada S, Tutas J, Tenedini F, Grimm C, Oertner TG, Soba P, Hegemann P and Wiegert JS (2017) Anion-conducting channelrhodopsins with tuned spectra and modified kinetics engineered for optogenetic manipulation of behavior. *Scientific Reports* 7(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14330-y>.
- Wolff S, Jan Gründemann BE, Tovote P, Krabbe S, Jacobson GA, Müller C, Herry C, Ehrlich I, Friedrich RW, Letzkus JJ and Lüthi A (2014) Amygdala interneuron subtypes control fear learning through disinhibition. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13258>.
- Xu W and Sudhof TC (2013) A neural circuit for memory specificity and generalization. *Science* 339(6125). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229534>.
- Yamashita H, Inoue K, Shibata M, Uchihashi T, Sasaki J, Kandori H and Ando T (2013) Role of trimer-trimer interaction of bacteriorhodopsin studied by optical spectroscopy and high-speed atomic force microscopy. *Journal of Structural Biology* 184(1), 2–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2013.02.011>.
- Yang Y, Cui Y, Sang K, Dong Y, Ni Z, Ma S and Hu H (2018) Ketamine blocks bursting in the lateral Habenula to rapidly relieve depression. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25509>.
- Yizhar O, Fenno LE, Prigge M, Schneider F, Davidson TJ, Ogshea DJ, Sohal VS, Goshen I, Finkelstein J, Paz JT, Stehfest K, Fudim R, Ramakrishnan C,

- Huguenard JR, Hegemann P and Deisseroth K (2011) Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction. *Nature* 477(7363), 171–178. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10360>.
- Yu CR, Power J, Barnea G, O'Donnell S, Brown HEV, Osborne J, Axel R and Gogos JA (2004) Spontaneous neural activity is required for the establishment and maintenance of the olfactory sensory map. *Neuron* 42(4), 553–566. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(04\)00224-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(04)00224-7).
- Yu C, Cassar IR, Sambangi J and Grill WM (2020) Frequency-specific optogenetic deep brain stimulation of subthalamic nucleus improves parkinsonian motor Behaviors. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 40(22). <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3071-19.2020>.
- Zemelman BV, Lee GA, Ng M and Miesenböck G (2002) Selective photostimulation of genetically ChARGed neurons. *Neuron*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(01\)00574-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00574-8).
- Zemelman BV, Nesnas N, Lee GA and Miesenböck G (2003) Photochemical gating of heterologous ion channels: Remote control over genetically designated populations of neurons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.242738899>.
- Zhang F, Wang LP, Brauner M, Liewald JF, Kay K, Watzke N, Wood PG, Bamberg E, Nagel G, Gottschalk A and Deisseroth K (2007) Multimodal fast optical interrogation of neural circuitry. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05744>.
- Zhang SJ, Ye J, Miao C, Tsao A, Cerniauskas I, Ledergerber D, Moser MB and Moser EI (2013) Optogenetic dissection of entorhinal-hippocampal functional connectivity. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232627>.
- Zhang W, Zhou Q, Chen W, Ni X, Zhao Z-D, Yang WZ, Gao C, Fu X, Zhang W and Zhou Q (2017) A hypothalamic circuit that controls body temperature. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(9), E1755–E1755. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701881114>.
- Zhang Y-P and Oertner TG (2007) Optical induction of synaptic plasticity using a light-sensitive channel. *Nature Methods* 4(2), 139–141. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth988>.
- Zheng Y, Brockie PJ, Mellem JE, Madsen DM and Maricq AV (1999) Neuronal control of locomotion in *C. Elegans* is modified by a dominant mutation in the GLR-1 ionotropic glutamate receptor. *Neuron*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80849-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80849-1).
- Zimmerman CA, Lin YC, Leib DE, Guo L, Huey EL, Daly GE, Chen Y and Knight ZA (2016) Thirst neurons anticipate the homeostatic consequences of eating and drinking. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18950>.