Glyoxal as an alternative fixative to formaldehyde in immunostaining and super-resolution microscopy


Abstract

Paraformaldehyde (PFA) is the most commonly used fixative for immunostaining of cells, but has been associated with various problems, ranging from loss of antigenicity to changes in morphology during fixation. We show here that the small dialdehyde glyoxal can successfully replace PFA. Despite being less toxic than PFA, and, as most aldehydes, likely usable as a fixative, glyoxal has not yet been systematically tried in modern fluorescence microscopy. Here, we tested and optimized glyoxal fixation and surprisingly found it to be more efficient than PFA-based protocols. Glyoxal acted faster than PFA, cross-linked proteins more effectively, and improved the preservation of cellular morphology. We validated glyoxal fixation in multiple laboratories against different PFA-based protocols and confirmed that it enabled better immunostainings for a majority of the targets. Our data therefore support that glyoxal can be a valuable alternative to PFA for immunostaining.
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Introduction

The 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution has been a standard fixative for immunostaining and fluorescence microscopy, for several decades. Nevertheless, the literature contains numerous reports that PFA causes morphological changes, loss of epitopes, or mislocalization of target proteins and that it fixes the samples slowly and incompletely (see, e.g., Melan, 1994; Tanaka et al, 2010; Schnell et al, 2012). Many other fixatives have been introduced to alleviate these problems. Among them, glutaraldehyde is probably the most commonly used, since it fixes the samples faster and more completely than PFA (Smith & Reese, 1980). Mixtures of PFA and glutaraldehyde result in accurate fixation and reduce the lateral mobility of molecules (Tanaka et al, 2010), presumably by increasing the level of protein cross-linking. However, this fixative mixture also reduces the...
efficiency of immunostainings, by blocking the antibody access to epitopes, or by causing particular epitopes to unfold (Farr & Nakane, 1981). Alcohol-based fixation, such as treatments with ice-cold methanol (Tanaka et al, 2010), results in stable fixation for a sub-population of cellular structures (such as microtubules), but leads to poor morphology preservation and to a loss of membranes and cytosolic proteins. Overall, the improvements in fixation induced by glutaraldehyde or methanol do not compensate for their shortcomings, thus in most cases leaving PFA as the current fixative of choice.

A superior alternative to PFA is needed, especially since artifacts that were negligible in conventional microscopy are now rendered visible by the recent progress in super-resolution microscopy (nanoscopy; Eggeling et al, 2015). To find a fixative that maintains high-quality immunostainings while alleviating PFA problems, we have tested several compounds. We searched for commercially available molecules, which could be readily used by the imaging community. These included different combinations of PFA and glutaraldehyde, picric acid (Hopwood, 1985), and di-imido-esters (Woodruff & Rasmussen, 1979), which, however, were not better than PFA in immunostaining experiments. We have also investigated different aldehydes. We avoided highly toxic compounds such as acrolein, which would not be easy to use in biology laboratories, and we also avoided large aldehydes (more than 4–5 carbon atoms), whose fixative properties are expected to mimic those of glutaraldehyde. The small dialdehyde glyoxal fits these two criteria, since it has a low toxicity (as already noted in the 1940s, Wicks & Suntzeff, 1943) and contains only two carbon atoms. Glyoxal is used, at low concentrations, in glycation and metabolism studies (Boucher et al, 2015), which ensures that it is commercially available. It can be used as a fixative and has even been once described, in 1963, to provide better morphology preservation to formaldehyde (Sabatini et al, 1963). It is almost unknown in fluorescence experiments. We were able to find one publication, from 1975 (Swaab et al, 1975), in which glyoxal was used in immunofluorescence on brain samples, albeit followed by sample freezing, and by procedures that are not compatible with modern, high-quality microscopy. We could also find a few publications on histological stains using glyoxal (e.g., Umlas & Tulecke, 2004; Paavilainen et al, 2010), which further encouraged us to test this compound.

We tested glyoxal thoroughly, in preparations ranging from cell-free cytosol to tissues, and by methods spanning from SDS-PAGE to electron microscopy and super-resolution fluorescence microscopy. We found that glyoxal penetrated cells far more rapidly than PFA and cross-linked proteins and nucleic acids more strongly, leading to a more accurate preservation of cellular morphology. Despite the stronger fixation, glyoxal did not cause a reduction of antibody binding to the samples. On the contrary, the resulting images were typically brighter than those obtained after PFA fixation. The initial optimization work was performed in one laboratory (Rizzoli, University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany), and the results were independently tested in 11 additional laboratories/teams: Boyden (MIT Media Lab and McGovern Institute, Massachusetts, USA), Duncan (Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK), Hell (Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Goettingen, Germany), Lauterbach (Max-Planck-Institute for Brain Research, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), Lehnart (University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany), Moser

Figure 1. Comparison of cell penetration by PFA and glyoxal.

A Speed of propidium iodide (PI) penetration into fibroblasts during 60 min of fixation with either 4% PFA or 3% glyoxal. N = 3 independent experiments. Glyoxal fixation enables PI to penetrate far more rapidly into the cells.

B Speed of FM 1-43 penetration in similar experiments. The arrowhead points to one example of ongoing endocytosis during PFA fixation. N = 3–4 independent experiments. The general pattern of FM 1-43 entry was similar to that of propidium iodide. Only the first 10 min are shown, to enable an optimal observation of the kinetics of the first stages of FM 1-43 entry. The results parallel those obtained with PI; faster penetration during glyoxal fixation.

Data information: Scale bar = 40 μm; **P < 0.01 (two-sided Student’s t-test)
(University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany), Outeiro (University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany), Rehling (University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany), Schwappach (University Medical Center Goettingen, Germany), Testa (KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden), and Zapiec (Max Planck Research Unit for Neurogenetics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). We conclude that the immunostainings performed after glyoxal fixation were superior for the majority of the samples and targets, with only a minority (~10%) of the targets being less well preserved and/or revealed.

Results

Glyoxal preserves the cellular morphology more accurately than PFA and fixes proteins and RNAs more strongly

To determine the optimal conditions of glyoxal fixation, we tested its action at different pH values (Appendix Table S1). We found that glyoxal requires an acidic pH, roughly between 4 and 5, despite one previous study that suggests that it may also fix samples at a neutral pH (Sabatini et al., 1963). In addition, we found that the morphology of the samples was much improved upon addition of a low-to-medium concentration of alcohol (ethanol, 10–20%), which may act as an accelerator in the fixation reactions. Removing the ethanol, or adjusting the pH above or below the 4–5 range, resulted in poor sample morphology (Appendix Table S1). pH values of 4 or 5 provided similar results for most of our experiments (results obtained at pH 5 are shown in all figures, unless noted otherwise) and provided better morphology preservation for cultured neurons than PFA. We tested PFA at various pH values (4, 5, and 7), with or without ethanol, at room temperature or at 37°C (Appendix Table S1), without finding a condition where the morphology of the PFA-fixed samples consistently bettered that of glyoxal-fixed samples.

We then proceeded to compare PFA and glyoxal fixation quantitatively. We first tested the speed with which these fixative solutions penetrate the cell membrane, by monitoring the fluorescence of propidium iodide, a fluorogenic probe that binds nucleic acids, and cannot enter living cells (Davey & Kell, 1996). Paraformaldehyde fixation allowed propidium iodide entry into cultured cells only after ~40 min, while glyoxal was substantially faster (Fig 1A). The same was observed using the membrane-impermeant styryl dye FM 1-43 (Betz et al., 1992): Glyoxal

![Figure 2. A comparison of morphological changes taking place during fixation with PFA or glyoxal.](image)

The changes were visualized by DIC images taken at 5-min intervals during fixation. The graph shows the correlation of each image to the first frame. N = 50 (PFA) and 54 (glyoxal) cellular regions analyzed, from three independent experiments (mean ± SEM). The higher correlation value indicates that glyoxal preserves the initial cell morphology with higher accuracy than PFA. Scale bar = 20 μm; **P < 0.01 (two-sided Student’s t-test).

![Figure 3. Comparison of protein and RNA fixation by PFA and glyoxal.](image)

A SDS–PAGE gel showing rat brain cytoplasm incubated for 60 min with different fixatives. The graph shows the summed intensity of the bands in each lane. Fixed proteins either no longer run into the gel or form only smears. To compare the efficiency of fixation, the bands that survive fixation were summed and were expressed as % of an unfixed control. The intensity of PFA-fixed samples was significantly higher than that of glyoxal-fixed samples (N = 5 independent experiments; one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey test). Glut = 0.2% glutaraldehyde.

B Staining of nucleic acids after fixation. The propidium iodide signal in fibroblasts was significantly higher for samples fixed with glyoxal pH 4 (N = 6–8). To test whether the fixed nucleic acids were still available for specific detection, we performed FISH for GAPDH in cultured neurons, using a standard protocol provided by the company Affymetrix. The fluorescence signal of the samples fixed with glyoxal (pH 4) was significantly higher than for PFA-fixed samples (N = 5–6; two-sided Student’s t-test).

Data information: The graphs show mean values, and error bars represent standard error of the mean. Scale bar = 10 μm; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
fixation enabled significant FM 1-43 penetration within 1–2 min (Fig 1B). The difference in membrane penetration is probably due to the ethanol present in the glyoxal fixative, since the addition of ethanol to the PFA solution enhances its penetration into cells in a similar fashion (Appendix Fig S1), albeit it did not improve immunostainings with PFA (Appendix Fig S1; we would like to point out that low pH values, 4 and 5, also failed to improve PFA immunostainings, as shown in the same Appendix figure). In the same experiments, FM 1-43 addition enabled us to visualize endocytotic events that took place during PFA fixation. Such events could be observed in every fixed cell (Fig 1B) and indicated that the cells were still active during PFA fixation, from the point of view of membrane trafficking. No such events could be detected during glyoxal fixation.

The hypothesis that cells were still partially active during PFA fixation, and less so in glyoxal fixation, was also confirmed by other experiments. First, we tested whether transferrin, which is readily endocytosed by a clathrin-mediated pathway, through the involvement of the transferrin receptor, is internalized during fixation. We applied fluorescently conjugated transferrin onto cells during fixation with glyoxal or PFA (Appendix Fig S2). We found that it was mainly fixed onto the plasma membrane by glyoxal, but that it was present both in the cells and on the membrane during PFA fixation (Appendix Fig S2). Second, we tested whether the acidic lumen of the lysosome was maintained after fixation, by applying the probe LysoTracker (Appendix Fig S3). Substantial LysoTracker labeling was observed after PFA fixation, but not after glyoxal fixation. Both of these experiments, therefore, indicate that glyoxal fixation stops cellular functions more efficiently than PFA.

The higher speed of membrane penetration seen with glyoxal was coupled to a better preservation of the general cell morphology, as observed by imaging cells during fixation (Fig 2). Paraformaldehyde fixation was associated with the formation of membrane blebs and vacuoles, with organelle movement, and with a general change in the cell morphology (Fig 2). Glyoxal fixation appeared to modify the cell morphology far less. This impression was confirmed by calculating the correlation coefficient between the initial cell images and images acquired at 5-min intervals during fixation (Fig 2). To obtain a similar view at the level of single organelles, we imaged the movement of endosomes labeled with fluorescently conjugated transferrin or cholera toxin. As for the general cell morphology,
glyoxal reduced the organelle movement more than PFA (Appendix Fig S4).

We also monitored the morphology of mitochondria, which are known to become fragile during fixation. We visualized mitochondria in living cells, by tagging them with a GFP-linked reporter (TOMM70, Appendix Fig S5), and imaged them again after fixation. Glyoxal preserved mitochondria at least as well as PFA. Moreover, ethanol addition to the PFA solutions worsened the preservation of mitochondria morphology, which suggests that ethanol does not improve PFA fixation, although it enhances its membrane penetration (Appendix Fig S5). To test this issue further, we analyzed the correlation between the pre- and post-fixation images for fluorescent protein chimeras of a mitochondria reporter (TOMM70), a Golgi apparatus reporter (GalNacT2), a plasma membrane reporter (SNAP25), a cytoskeleton reporter (tubulin), and a vesicular reporter (synaptophysin). The correlations were similar among the two fixatives for TOMM70, GalNacT2, tubulin, and SNAP25. However, the pre- and post-fixation correlations in glyoxal fixed samples were higher for synaptophysin (Appendix Fig S6), which marks the most mobile elements we investigated in this experiment (vesicles).

We then tested the protein cross-linking capacity of the different fixatives, by monitoring the proportion of the proteins that remained unfixed. We incubated brain cytosol samples with different fixatives for 60 min and followed this by running the samples on polyacrylamide gels (Fig 3A, Appendix Fig S7). Paraformaldehyde, with or without ethanol addition, left ~40% of the proteins unaffected (unfixed). Glyoxal (both pH 4 and 5) reduced this unfixed pool to ~20%. Shorter fixation times reduced

---

**Figure 5. Comparison of immunostaining NUP160 after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal.**

HeLa cells were stained for the nucleoporin complex protein NUP160 after fixation with either PFA, glyoxal pH 4 or glyoxal pH 5. Fluorescence intensities (fold over background) were compared and are shown in the graph. The quantification of fluorescence signals shows that glyoxal pH 4 fixation allows for significantly brighter stainings. N = 73–156 cells per condition analyzed (mean ± SEM). Scale bar = 10 μm. **p < 0.001 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

**Figure 6. Comparison of immunostained AtT20 cells after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal.**

AtT20 cells stained for the SNARE proteins syntaxin 1 and SNAP25 and the autophagy marker LC3B were compared with regard to the fluorescence intensity (fold over background) of the stainings. Quantification of the intensity shows that glyoxal fixation allows for significantly brighter stainings of the membrane SNARE proteins. LC3B staining is brighter in PFA-fixed cells. N = 9–20 cells per condition (mean ± SEM). Scale bar = 5 μm. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 (two-sided Student’s t-test).
Figure 7. Comparison of immunostained primary hippocampal neurons in STED resolution.

A Primary hippocampal neurons were stained for actin and ankyrin G. A comparison between PFA- and glyoxal-fixed samples shows that actin staining with phalloidin works as least as well in both, showing the prominent actin rings. Ankyrin G staining is brighter in PFA-fixed cells.

B Primary hippocampal neurons were stained for pan-Nav and Kv7.2. Both stainings seem to work at least as well for glyoxal-fixed neurons as for PFA-fixed neurons. Staining of K-channels shows a slightly more regular pattern in glyoxal-fixed neurons.

C Primary hippocampal neurons were stained for neurofilament L and beta II spectrin. While the spectrin staining seems to be equally well in both fixation conditions, neurofilament staining is brighter in glyoxal-fixed cells.

D Growth cones of hippocampal neurons were stained for actin and beta III-tubulin after either glyoxal or PFA + glutaraldehyde fixation. The latter is a standard fixation used for the co-labeling of tubulin and actin and is a stronger fixation than normal PFA fixation, which is incompatible with many organelle immunostainings (unlike glyoxal fixation). The filopodia and lamellipodia of the growth cones seem to be well stained for the samples fixed with glyoxal, whereas the samples fixed with PFA and glutaraldehyde seem to have lost some of the finer actin structures. Tubulin seems to be a bit better stained in samples fixed with PFA and glutaraldehyde.

Data information: Scale bars ~ 1 μm.
Figure 8. Comparison of immunostained sepia fin after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal.
Sepia fin was fixed with the respective fixative and stained for the neuropeptide FMRFamide. A clear change in morphology can be observed between samples fixed with PFA and samples fixed with glyoxal. The former appear broken and swollen, while the glyoxal-fixed ones appear complete. The effect is presumably due to the different speed of penetration into tissue and/or fixation. Scale bar = 5 μm.

Figure 9. Comparison of immunostained ventricular myocytes fixed 10 min with either PFA or glyoxal.
Freshly isolated murine ventricular myocytes were either fixed with 4% PFA or 3% glyoxal and immunostained for caveolin-3 or ryanodine receptor type 2. Quantification of the fluorescence intensity of the stainings shows significantly brighter stainings for glyoxal-fixed myocytes. The graph shows mean values, and error bars represent standard deviations. N = 10 (RyR2) and 12 (Cav3) myocytes per condition. Scale bar = 2 μm. ***P < 0.001 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

Figure 10. Comparison of immunostained mouse inner hair cells after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal.
Acutely dissected organs of Corti were fixed in the respective fixative and immunostained for inner hair cell proteins and synaptic proteins. The quantification of fluorescence intensity for each staining shows a significant increase in signal-to-noise ratio for three target proteins (CtBP2, calretinin, and Homer 1) fixed with glyoxal. None of the stained proteins shows a significant decrease in fluorescence after glyoxal fixation. Representative images show maximum intensity projections from z-stacks of inner hair cell ribbon synapses. N = 5 independent stainings from two animals per condition (PSD95, CtBP2, otoferlin, calretinin) and 10–15 images per condition (CaV1.3 and Homer 1) (mean ± SEM). Scale bar = 2 μm. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (two-sided Student’s t-test for CtBP2, otoferlin, calretinin and Homer1, Wilcoxon rank-sum test for PSD95 and CaV1.3).
the amount of fixed proteins for all fixation conditions (Appendix Fig S7). Glyoxal, both at pH 4 or at pH 5, fixed more proteins than PFA, PFA and ethanol or PFA at low pH, at all time points (Appendix Fig S7).

The stronger fixation by glyoxal also applied for RNA molecules, albeit only at pH 4, as observed by staining cells with propidium iodide after fixation (Fig 3B). To test whether the glyoxal-fixed RNA molecules could still be detected by specific labeling, we performed fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for a target that is often used as a standard in such experiments, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). As for the propidium iodide staining, the GAPDH signal intensity was significantly raised by glyoxal at pH 4 (Fig 3B).

To test whether similar effects apply also to lipids, we immunostained cultured cells for phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-P2 (PIP2). The intensity of the immunostaining was substantially higher after glyoxal fixation (Appendix Fig S8).

The stronger fixation induced by glyoxal could be a concern for experiments relying on enzymatic tags, such as the SNAP-tag (Xue et al, 2015). Strong fixation may damage the enzyme, which would result in limited labeling. To test this, we expressed proteins coupled to the SNAP-tag in cultured cells, fixed them with PFA or glyoxal, and then incubated them with a fluorophore that is bound by the SNAP-tag, which couples to it covalently. The intensity of glyoxal-fixed samples was significantly higher than that of PFA-fixed samples (Appendix Fig S9).

**Glyoxal provides higher-quality STED images in immunostaining than PFA**

Having verified that glyoxal is a faster and more effective fixative than PFA, we proceeded to investigate its efficiency in immunostaining. We expressed fluorescent protein chimeras of reporters for mitochondria, the Golgi apparatus, the plasma membrane, the cytoskeleton, and vesicles, fixed the cells with PFA or glyoxal, and immunostained them. The immunostaining intensity of all of these structures, defined by the fluorescent protein signals, was significantly higher after glyoxal fixation (Appendix Fig S10). To also test this without the expression of fluorescently tagged proteins, we immunostained cells that had been incubated with fluorescently labeled transferrin (Appendix Fig S11). The transferrin is in this case present in endosomes, which should co-localize with endosomal markers such as EEA1. The co-localization was substantially higher after glyoxal fixation (Appendix Fig S11).

We then focused on cultured neurons, which have been a standard preparation for nanoscopy (Willig et al, 2006; Xu et al, 2013), and found that the resulting images were often brighter (Fig 4). We

![Figure 11. Comparison of stained vimentin and α-synuclein in human neuroglioma cells after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal.](image)

Cells were fixed with PFA or glyoxal for 10 min and were stained for endogenous vimentin, or for expressed α-synuclein. A quantification of the staining intensities indicates that glyoxal fixation allows for significantly brighter stainings for alpha-synuclein, but that PFA was superior for endogenous vimentin (leftmost graph). The fluorescence intensity of vimentin expressed with an mOrange2 tag was also analyzed after fixation with PFA or with glyoxal; the latter allowed more mOrange2 fluorescence to be detected (rightmost graph). N = 29–81 cell regions per condition (mean ± SEM). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Scale bar: 10 μm.
analyzed the intensity of the STED images, in terms of signal over background (Fig 4), and determined that glyoxal indeed provided a higher signal for the large majority of the neuronal targets we investigated.

When investigated by STED microscopy, the many images of PFA-fixed cells appeared dominated by isolated, uniformly distributed spots, which presumably represent antibody clusters (Lang & Rizzoli, 2010; Opazo et al., 2012). The immunostaining signals appeared to be grouped in less uniform, more organelle-like structures after glyoxal fixation. To quantify this impression, an experienced user counted the number of organelle-like structures per μm², in a blind fashion, for 20 targets immunostained in neurons. This provided a quantitative (albeit user-driven) measurement of the accuracy of the stainings (Appendix Fig S12). This analysis suggested that the immunostainings performed after glyoxal fixation more readily allow the identification of organelles, for the majority of the targets. This impression was confirmed by several additional analyses (see Appendix Figs S13 and S14).

A possible cause for the appearance of isolated, uniformly distributed spots in the PFA-fixed samples is the loss of some of the unfixed soluble molecules after PFA fixation, through diffusion into the extracellular space. As indicated in Fig 3, 40% of the proteins remained unfixed and could therefore diffuse from the samples. To further test this hypothesis, we analyzed hippocampal neurons in electron microscopy, after fixation with PFA or glyoxal (Appendix Fig S15). The cytosol appeared clearer (less electron-dense) in the PFA-fixed samples. In contrast, the glyoxal-fixed samples had a more electron-dense cytosol, which rendered them similar, at least superficially, to samples prepared by high-pressure freezing (Appendix Fig S15).

We concluded, so far, that glyoxal appeared to be more efficient than PFA in several ways, such as speed and morphology preservation, which rendered it a better fixative for immunostaining and nanoscopy. Albeit we focused so far mostly on cell cultures, we also tested glyoxal in tissue preparations, where it enabled us to perform accurate immunostainings, in both Drosophila and mouse (Appendix Figs S16–S18). We did not observe any difficulties in the antibody penetration in such tissues, in contrast to fixation by, for example, glutaraldehyde (as discussed in the Introduction).

**Glyoxal provides higher-quality images in immunostaining for many different laboratories**

The glyoxal fixation procedure established above was then tested in 11 different laboratories, in four countries (Germany, Sweden, United Kingdom, and United States). We present the results in alphabetical order.

The Boyden laboratory (MIT Media Lab and McGovern Institute, Departments of Brain and Cognitive Science and Biological Engineering, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) tested nucleoporin 160 in conventional immunostainings of cell cultures and found that fixation with glyoxal at pH 4 resulted in brighter images than those obtained with PFA fixation. The samples exhibited similar morphology (Fig 5).

The Duncan laboratory (Edinburgh Super-Resolution Imaging Consortium, Institute of Biological Chemistry, Biophysics, and Bioengineering, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK.) also used conventional immunostainings of cultured cells (AT20 cells) and analyzed the SNARE proteins syntaxin 1 and SNAP25 and the autophagy marker LC3B. The immunostainings of the two SNARE proteins were brighter after glyoxal fixation (Fig 6), whereas LC3B staining was brighter after PFA fixation. The morphology of the cells appeared similar for the two fixatives.

The Hell laboratory (Department of NanoBiophotonics, Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany) used 3D STED microscopy to analyze the organization of several cytoskeletal proteins and of two membrane channels in axons and in growth cones of rat hippocampal cultured neurons (Fig 7). Actin was labeled using phalloidin, while all other proteins were labeled by immunostaining with previously published antibodies. Phalloidin stainings were similar after PFA or glyoxal fixation in axons (Fig 7A), but glyoxal revealed fine structures in growth cones that were not visible even after strong fixation with PFA and glutaraldehyde (Fig 7D). Neurofilaments were brighter after glyoxal fixation (Fig 7C), while another cytoskeletal element, ankyrin G, was brighter for PFA fixations (Fig 7A). The cytoskeletal protein βII-spectrin was

**Figure 12. Comparison of immunostainings for mitochondrial proteins after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal.**

Cells were stained with MitoTracker Orange prior to fixation with the respective fixative and immunostained for the mitochondrial proteins ATP5B, COA6, NDUFA9, and TIM23. Quantification of the staining intensity shows a significant increase of fluorescence (signal over background) for two markers (ATP5B and MitoTracker) after fixation with glyoxal, whereas for the remaining three proteins, immunostainings seem to be more efficient after fixation with PFA, albeit the differences are small. N = 18–128 cells per condition (mean ± SEM). Scale bar = 5 μm. ***P < 0.001 (two-sided Student’s t-test for ATP5B and NDUFA9, Wilcoxon rank-sum test for all other proteins).
equally well stained in PFA or glyoxal fixation (Fig 7C); the same was observed for voltage-gated sodium channels (Fig 7B). The stainings for voltage-gated potassium channels were somewhat more regular for glyoxal fixation (Fig 7B). Finally, the staining of tubulin was marginally less bright for glyoxal fixation when compared with a protocol optimized for tubulin stainings (fixation with PFA and glutaraldehyde), and dynamic microtubules in the growth cones were better preserved with that fixation (Fig 7D).

The Lauterbach team (Max-Planck-Institute for Brain Research, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) focused on sepia fin immunostainings, testing the organization of FMRFamide in axons. Paraformaldehyde fixation resulted in poorer morphology, with fragmented axons, while glyoxal fixation revealed axons that appeared physiologically normal (Fig 8).

The Lehnart laboratory (Heart Research Center, Department of Cardiology & Pulmonology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany) analyzed the trafficking and signal domain scaffolding protein caveolin-3 and the ryanodine receptor (of the sarcoplasmic reticulum) in ventricular myocytes isolated from mouse hearts, using STED microscopy. The immunostaining intensity of both of these proteins was significantly higher for glyoxal fixation (Fig 9). Of note, the morphology remained similar, as determined by 3D STED microscopy.

The Moser laboratory (Institute for Auditory Neuroscience and InnerEarLab, University Medical Center Göttingen; Auditory Neuroscience Group, Max-Planck-Institute for Experimental Medicine Göttingen, Germany) analyzed several proteins in the synapses formed between mouse cochlear inner hair cells and afferent spiral ganglion neurons (Fig 10). No substantial differences were found for the active zone protein PSD95, for the trafficking protein otoferlin, and for the presynaptic voltage-gated calcium channel (CaV1.3). The signal intensities were substantially higher after glyoxal fixation.
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Confocal images depicting bundles of axons belonging to olfactory sensory neurons on the path toward the olfactory bulb. Identities of the axons are in part correctly labeled (arrowheads; 100% of the 60 cells we analyzed). U2OS cells neurons the nucleus is devoid of signal, and the membrane appears to be well as the cytoplasm (100% of the 82 cells we analyzed), in glyoxal-fixed samples. While in PFA-fixed neurons, the antibody falsely stains the nucleus as different distribution of the protein between PFA-fixed and glyoxal-fixed samples. While in PFA-fixed neurons, the antibody falsely stains the nucleus as well as the cytoplasm (100% of the 82 cells we analyzed), in glyoxal-fixed neurons the nucleus is devoid of signal, and the membrane appears to be correctly labeled (arrowheads; 100% of the 60 cells we analyzed). U2OS cells were immunostained for mitochondria (Tom20) and ER (EGFP-KDEL). The fixation and/or staining of mitochondria seems to be comparable in glyoxal and in PFA-fixed cells. The staining of the ER shows an improved signal-to-noise ratio. The signal appears de-localized from the ER for multiple PFA-stained cells (25% of 36 analyzed cells, see arrows), while this is rare for the glyoxal-stained cells (3.4% of 58 analyzed cells). Scale bar = 10 μm.

Figure 14. Comparison of immunostained U2OS cells and primary hippocampal neurons after either PFA or glyoxal fixation.

Immunostaining of the Na/K ATPase in primary hippocampal neurons shows a different distribution of the protein between PFA-fixed and glyoxal-fixed samples. While in PFA-fixed neurons, the antibody falsely stains the nucleus as well as the cytoplasm (100% of the 82 cells we analyzed), in glyoxal-fixed neurons the nucleus is devoid of signal, and the membrane appears to be correctly labeled (arrowheads, 100% of the 60 cells we analyzed). U2OS cells were immunostained for mitochondria (Tom20) and ER (EGFP-KDEL). The fixation and/or staining of mitochondria seems to be comparable in glyoxal and in PFA-fixed cells. The staining of the ER shows an improved signal-to-noise ratio. The signal appears de-localized from the ER for multiple PFA-stained cells (25% of 36 analyzed cells, see arrows), while this is rare for the glyoxal-stained cells (3.4% of 58 analyzed cells). Scale bar = 10 μm.

Figure 15. Comparison of mouse tissue staining following either PFA or glyoxal fixation.

A, B Confoocal images showing staining of marker protein (OMP) and β3-tubulin along the dorsal aspect of the mouse olfactory epithelium. While sections from both types of fixative show OMP signal in the olfactory sensory neuron somata, their dendrites, and axons, the axon bundles (green arrow) located above the olfactory epithelium exemplify the clear signal-to-noise ratio benefits of glyoxal fixation versus that of the PFA-fixative. Immunostaining with the β3-tubulin antibody stains the dendrites and axons (blue arrowheads) in both PFA and glyoxal-fixed tissue, but strong staining of the cilia (blue arrows) can only be observed in the glyoxal-fixed sections (B).

C, D Confoocal images depicting bundles of axons belonging to olfactory sensory neurons on the path toward the olfactory bulb. Identities of the axons are in part defined by the neuropilin-1 (Nrp1) and neuropilin-2 (Nrp2) expression levels, visualized here with antibodies raised against the two proteins. While complementary expression of the two molecules can be seen in the PFA-fixed sections (C), the glyoxal-fixed sections (D) exhibit profoundly improved signal-to-noise ratios for Nrp-1 (red arrows), and in the case of Nrp-2, also the segmentation of the axon bundle into varying levels of Nrp-2 (green arrows).

E, F Confoocal images of olfactory sensory neuron axons coalescing into glomeruli where they synapse with dendrites of olfactory bulb neurons. The axons of olfactory sensory neurons can be readily visualized with OMP staining (green) in the superficial olfactory nerve layer and terminating in glomeruli located below (green arrows). While sections fixed with either PFA or glyoxal display adequate staining levels, the signal distribution of the PFA-fixed tissue appears more irregular (E), seemingly lacking the neurofilamentary morphology that appears preserved in the glyoxal-fixed sections (F). The glomeruli themselves are neuropil structures comprised primarily of olfactory sensory neurons forming synapses with dendrites of mitral/tufted cells as well as dendrites of periglomerular neurons. Immunostaining with vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2) allows visualization of these structures and is easily seen in the glyoxal-fixed section (F), while it appears the antigen was masked by PFA fixation as no signal above background can be seen in the PFA-fixed panel (red arrows in E). Note that a different polyclonal antibody for VGLUT2 from the same provider does provide signal with PFA, albeit weaker versus glyoxal (inset). Staining with β3-tubulin touts the benefits of glyoxal both due to the signal improvements in the case of the mild staining in the axons of the olfactory nerve layer that is only visible in the glyoxal-fixed tissue, but also in preserving tissue morphology as demonstrated by the dendritic processes inside glomeruli (blue arrows) and in the external plexiform layer located below the glomeruli.
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Figure 15.
confocal microscopy, a membrane marker (the Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase), a mitochondria marker (Tom20), and an endoplasmic reticulum marker (overexpressed EGFP coupled to a KDEL signal) in primary cultured neurons or in cultured cells (Fig 14). The Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase was revealed correctly as a membrane protein only after glyoxal fixation, while it was found mostly in the nucleus after PFA fixation. Tom20 stainings were similar for the two fixations. EGFP-KDEL stainings had a poorer morphology after PFA fixation, with this protein apparently having spilled over from the endoplasmic reticulum during fixation in a quarter of all analyzed cells.

Finally, the Zapiec group (Max Planck Research Unit for Neurogenetics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) analyzed different proteins in the mouse olfactory epithelium and bulb and found substantially stronger immunostainings after glyoxal fixation for the olfactory marker protein (OMP; Fig 15A and B), for neuropilin-1 and neuropilin-2 (Fig 15C and D), and for the vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (Fig 15E and F). The same was observed for β3-tubulin immunostainings (Fig 15A, B and E, F).

Discussion

We conclude that glyoxal fixation appears to be more efficient than PFA for many laboratories, in several countries. An

Figure 16. Overview of the results obtained from all immunostainings, in all of the laboratories testing glyoxal.

Various cellular targets, ranging from the nucleus to synapses of hippocampal neurons, were tested after fixation with either PFA or glyoxal by us and 11 additional laboratories. Overall, 51 targets were better stained after glyoxal fixation than after PFA fixation, 12 targets were stained worse, and 19 targets were equally well stained.
overview of the results, indicating the different cellular targets analyzed, is presented in Fig 16. Overall, 51 targets were better stained after glyoxal fixation, 12 targets were stained worse, and 19 targets were stained equally well, which implies that glyoxal fixation seems to be generally superior to PFA. The strongest difference is seen for membrane proteins and for proteins of the Golgi apparatus. The organelle for which glyoxal is least successful is the mitochondrion.

In principle, glyoxal could be combined with other fixatives, including glutaraldehyde, for an even stronger fixation. However, the behavior of aldehyde fixatives is exceedingly complex, leading to many side reactions (Migneault et al., 2004), which renders such an experiment difficult to reproduce. In a few trials, glutaraldehyde addition to glyoxal solutions actually caused poor morphology preservation, indicating that this may not be an optimal solution. Post-fixation with glutaraldehyde, for stronger and longer-lasting sample preservation, is nevertheless possible, as we observed in the electron microscopy experiments (Appendix Fig S15; see also the respective Materials and Methods section).

Since glyoxal is substantially less harmful by inhalation than PFA (Wicks & Suntzeff, 1943), we suggest that it should replace PFA for many applications. Comparative testing will still be needed for every antibody before settling on a fixation procedure. Nonetheless, we found that glyoxal typically provides immunostainings of better quality than PFA. In the few cases in which PFA provided brighter images, the glyoxal images were nevertheless still usable, revealing structures that appeared biologically accurate, with the clear exception of the lysosome marker LAMP1 and of the mitochondrial ATP synthase (Fig 13). The opposite situation, however, was far more often encountered, especially for the membrane proteins such as the Na+/K+-ATPase (Fig 14), the SNAREs SNAP25 and syntaxin 1 (Fig 6), or multiple proteins of the mouse olfactory epithelium (Fig 15).

While an extensive discussion of why this may be the case is beyond the purpose of this work and would require an in-depth analysis of the fixation chemistry of both PFA and glyoxal, it is probable that the appearance of uniformly distributed small spots in PFA-fixed samples (Fig 4 and Appendix Discussion) is due to insufficient cross-linking of proteins. The appearance of such spots has been a concern since the initial applications of super-resolution microscopy (see, e.g., Lang & Rizzoli, 2010), which mostly revealed structures of ~70–100 nm in size. The fact that PFA only fixes about 60% of the proteins (Fig 3) implies that a large fraction of the proteins is still mobile, can change its distribution during immunostaining, and may be even lost from the samples. We assume that the faster and stronger fixation induced by glyoxal (Figs 1 and 2) plays a central role in improving the quality of the immunostainings, by maintaining the proteins in their organelle locations.

We conclude that this feature, the stronger and more accurate fixation, makes glyoxal a good candidate for the fixative of choice in immunostainings. In our opinion, glyoxal should still be preferred even for targets for which the two fixatives work equally well, because PFA presents substantially more health hazards than glyoxal during normal, routine laboratory work (Wicks & Suntzeff, 1943).

Materials and Methods

Paraformaldehyde (PFA) and glyoxal preparation

For all experiments, a 4% w/v paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich #P6148) solution and a 3% v/v glyoxal (Sigma-Aldrich #128465) solution were used. Paraformaldehyde was dissolved in PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.4). The glyoxal solution was prepared according to the following protocol:

- For ~4 ml glyoxal solution mix:
  - 2.835 ml ddH2O
  - 0.789 ml ethanol (absolute, for analysis)
  - 0.313 ml glyoxal (40% stock solution from Sigma-Aldrich, #128465)
  - 0.03 ml acetic acid

Vortex the solution and bring the pH to 4 or 5 by adding drops of 1 M NaOH until respective pH is reached. Check pH with pH indicator paper. The solution should be kept cool and used within a few days, otherwise glyoxal might precipitate. If the stock solution shows precipitation, glyoxal can be redissolved by heating the solution to ~50°C (see also information provided by Sigma-Aldrich).

Results obtained with glyoxal at pH 5 are shown in all figures, unless noted otherwise (Appendix Fig S2 shows data obtained from glyoxal pH 4). For several control experiments (as noted in the figure legends), the same amount of ethanol was added to the PFA solution.

The fixatives for the SDS-PAGE experiments (Fig 3A, Appendix Fig S7) were prepared so that the final amount of PFA and glyoxal (mixed with the cytosol samples) were 4% and 3%, respectively. As a control for the SDS–PAGE experiments, 0.2% glutaraldehyde (AppliChem #A3166) was added to a 4% PFA solution, as noted in the respective figure legend.

Propidium iodide and FM 1-43 imaging

Measuring cell penetration by the fixative (Fig 1A and B; Appendix Fig S1) was done using the dyes propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich #P4170) and FM 1-43 (Biotium #70020). COS-7 fibroblast cells (obtained from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ—German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Culture), plated on poly-L-lysine (PLL)-coated coverslips and cultured under standard conditions, were washed briefly in pre-warmed COS-7 cell Ringer (130 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 5 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 48 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). Afterward, the respective fixatives were added to the cells, containing either propidium iodide (5 μM) or FM 1-43 (1.5 μM). The cells were imaged for 60 min or 10 min, respectively, using an inverted epifluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti-E), as described in the Imaging section, below.

To determine the intensity of the propidium iodide stainings (Fig 3B), COS-7 cells were fixed in the appropriate fixative for 30 min on ice and for another 30 min at room temperature, followed by 20 min of quenching in 100 mM NH4Cl and 100 mM glycine. After washing in PBS for 5 min, the cells were incubated in 5 μM propidium iodide in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. After a 15-min wash-off in PBS, the cells were imaged using the same microscope as in the previous paragraph.
For the optimization of glyoxal fixation (Appendix Table S1), cultured primary hippocampal neurons were fixed for 10 min on ice and another 30 min at room temperature in the respective fixatives, followed by 10-min quenching in 100 mM NH₄Cl. The neurons were washed two times briefly in PBS and imaged in a 1.5 μM FM 1-43 solution using an Olympus IX71 inverted epifluorescence microscope described below in the imaging section.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization**

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (Fig 3B) was performed using the QuantiGene® ViewRNAISH Cell Assay kit (Affymetrix #QVC0001), according to the protocol provided by Affymetrix. In short, cultured rat hippocampal neurons were fixed in one of the tested fixatives for 10 min on ice and for another 20 min at room temperature. After a washing step, the cells were incubated in the provided detergent solution, followed by probe hybridization for 3 h at 40°C (using standard probes for GAPDH, provided with the kit by the manufacturer). Afterwards, the samples were washed in the provided wash buffer, and signal amplification was done by incubating the samples in pre-amplifier and amplifier solution for 30 min each at 40°C. Label hybridization was done as well for 30 min at 40°C using Cy5 as dye. After washing in wash buffer and PBS, the samples were embedded in Mowiol and imaged using an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti-E epifluorescence microscope.

**Transferrin, LysoTracker®, and cholera toxin uptake assay**

Live imaging of transferrin (coupled to Alexa Fluor 594, Thermo Fisher #T133433) and cholera toxin subunit B (coupled to Alexa Fluor 555, Thermo Fisher #C34776) uptake during fixation (Appendix Fig S4) was done in COS-7 and HeLa (obtained from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ—German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Culture) cells. The cells, plated on PLL-coated coverslips, were incubated in 25 μg/ml transferrin or 1 μg/ml cholera toxin at 37°C for 10 min. Afterward, the cells were washed in pre-warmed COS-7 cell Ringer and were imaged. A concentrated solution of each fixative was added to the Ringer so that the final concentration of fixative was 4% for PFA and 3% for glyoxal. The cells were imaged during the first 10 min of fixation using the inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti-E epifluorescence microscope.

The imaging of transferrin and LysoTracker uptake at different time points during fixation (Appendix Figs S2 and S3) was done in HeLa and COS-7 cells. The cells were incubated in the respective fixative for 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20 min at 37°C prior to the addition of 25 μg/ml transferrin Alexa594 or 50 nM LysoTracker Red DND-99 (Thermo Fisher #L7528). Each sample was incubated in the fixative and transferrin/LysoTracker for 20 more min. The cells were then washed with PBS and embedded in Mowiol. The samples were imaged with a confocal TCS SP5 microscope (Leica).

**Lipofectamine transfection of COS-7 cells, HeLa cells, and BHK cells**

For the imaging of preservation of various GFP-tagged proteins and structures (Appendix Figs S5 and S6), COS-7 fibroblasts or HeLa cells were transfected with a TOMM70 construct from *S. cerevisiae*, which was amplified by PCR and cloned into a pEGFP-N1 plasmid (Clontech), as well as an EGFP-N1-α-tubulin construct, a nEGFP-N1-SNAP25 construct, a mCherry-pcS2-GalNacT2 (which was a kind gift from Elena Taverna, MPI of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Wieland Huttner group) construct, and a mOrange2-N1-synaptophosphorlin construct. The chimeric mOr2-SypHy indicator was created by substituting the superecliptic GFP from the original SypHy (Granseth et al, 2006) construct (purchased from Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA) with the pH-sensitive mOrange2 fluorescent protein (purchased from Addgene). One hour prior to transfection, the cells were incubated in antibiotic-free medium. Lipofectamine® 2000 (Thermo Fisher #11668) and the DNA (0.5 or 1 μg per 18-mm cover slip) were incubated in OptiMEM (Thermo Fisher #31985047) for 20 min and were subsequently added to the cells. The medium was changed back to normal culturing medium (DMEM containing fetal calf serum, glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin) the next day, and cells were imaged using an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti-E epifluorescence microscope. The cells were imaged in COS-7 cell Ringer before fixation and were imaged again after incubation in the different fixatives for 60 min.

For transfection with the GFP-tagged target protein VAMP2 (Appendix Fig S10), the following construct was used: pEGFP-N1-VAMP2 (backbone plasmid was purchased from Addgene). 2.5 h prior to transfection, the cells (BHK fibroblasts) were incubated in antibiotic-free medium. 1 μg of DNA per 18-mm cover slip and Lipofectamine® 2000 were incubated for 20 min in OptiMEM and afterward added to the medium. Cells were incubated in the mixture overnight and were immunostained the following day after transfection.

For SNAP-tag labeling (Appendix Fig S9), HeLa cells were transfected with the following constructs: cytoplasmatic SNAP-tag (pSNAPf, purchased from New England Biolabs), α-synuclein-SNAP-tag, VAMP2-SNAP-tag, and transferrin receptor-SNAP-tag. The SNAP-tag fused to either the N- or the C-terminal of VAMP2 was created by PCR amplification of VAMP2 (Vreja et al, 2015) and insertion into the SNAP-tag plasmid by Gibson assembly (Gibson et al, 2009). The transferrin receptor (Opazo et al, 2012) and α-synuclein (Lázaro et al, 2014) were amplified by PCR and inserted into the SNAP-tag plasmid by Gibson assembly. 1 μg of DNA per coverslip was incubated for 20 min with Lipofectamine® 2000, and 100 μg of the mixture in OptiMEM was added to each coverslip. Cells were incubated overnight, and labeling was done the following day, as described in the next section.

**SNAP-tag labeling**

Transfected HeLa cells were washed briefly in medium and then fixed with either PFA or glyoxal pH 5 for 30 min on ice and another 30 min at room temperature. The cells were labeled with 0.3 μM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (New England BioLabs #S9105S) for 30 min and afterward washed with PBS for 10 min. TMR fluorescence was imaged at the Olympus IX71 inverted epifluorescence microscope.

**Immunocytochemistry of cultured primary hippocampal neurons**

Rat primary hippocampal neuron cultures (Fig 4 and Appendix Figs S12–S14) were prepared as described before (Opazo et al, 2010; Beaudoin et al, 2012) and were cultured either under standard conditions, or in Banker arrangements, locally separated from the...
The pH of glyoxal solution used for fixation of neuronal samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staining</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α/β-SNAP</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tubulin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calreticulin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clathrin LC</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC70</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofilament L</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD95</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntaxin 1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntaxin 16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptophyrin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptotagmin 7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAMP2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Antibodies used for the immunostaining of neuronal proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target protein</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/β-SNAP</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Reinhard Jahn</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tubulin</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#302203)</td>
<td>1:4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich (A1978)</td>
<td>1:300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Enzo Lifescience (#SAP7407)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calreticulin</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling (#12238)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clathrin LC</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>SySy (#113011)</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC70</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Santa Cruz (#Sc-7298)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofilament L</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#117002)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#123002)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD95</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Neuromap (#75-028 (K28/43))</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Rab5</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Reinhard Jahn</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab7</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling (#9967)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP23</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#111202)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP25</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>SySy (#111002)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP29</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#111302)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntaxin 1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>SySy (#110011)</td>
<td>1:300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntaxin 16</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#110162)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Synaptophyrin</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Reinhard Jahn (G96)</td>
<td>1:1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptotagmin 7</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>SySy (#105173)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAMP2</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>SySy (#104211)</td>
<td>1:1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich (#503195)</td>
<td>1:150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Atto647N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Rockland (#611-156-003)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Atto647N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicated antibodies (*) were kind gifts of Prof. Dr. Reinhard Jahn, Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany.

diluted 1:100 for 60 min. After washing in blocking/permeabilization solution for 15 min, the cells were incubated with the secondary antibodies for 60 min. A donkey anti-rabbit antibody coupled to Atto647N (Rockland, diluted 1:500) was used. Subsequent washing in high-salt PBS and normal PBS was followed by embedding in Mowiol, and the cells were imaged at the confocal TCS SP5 microscope (Leica).

Immunostaining of overexpressed GFP-tagged proteins (Appendix Fig S10; see transfection described earlier) was done like described above. Following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-TOMM20 (Sigma-Aldrich #WH0009804M1), diluted 1:200, rabbit anti-α-tubulin (Synaptic Systems #302203), diluted 1:1,000,
mouse anti-VAMP2 (Synaptic Systems #104211), diluted 1:200, mouse anti-TGN38 (BD Bioscience #610898), diluted 1:100, mouse anti-SNAP25 (Synaptic Systems #111011), diluted 1:500.

Immunostaining of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) was done as described above (Appendix Fig S8). The primary antibody mouse anti-PIP2 (Abcam #ab11039), diluted 1:50, was used. As secondary antibody, a donkey anti-mouse coupled to Cy2 was used in the dilution 1:100. The cells were imaged with the Olympus IX71 inverted epifluorescence microscope.

Immunohistochemistry of Drosophila 3rd-instar larvae neuromuscular junctions

Drosophila melanogaster 3rd-instar larvae (Appendix Fig S16) were dissected in standard Drosophila medium as described before (Jan & Jan, 1976). The larvae were fixed for 30 min on ice, and for another 30 min at room temperature, followed by 30 min of quenching in 100 mM NH4Cl. Permeabilization and blocking were performed for 30 min in PBS containing 2.5% BSA and 0.5% Triton X-100. Incubation in primary antibodies was done for 60 min at room temperature. The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-synaptotagmin 1 (3H2 2D7), diluted 1:50, mouse anti-synapsin (3C11), diluted 1:20, mouse anti-syntaxin (8C3), diluted 1:50, mouse anti-SAP47 (nc46), diluted 1:100, and mouse anti-bruchipilot (nc82), diluted 1:50. All antibodies were purchased from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at the University of Iowa (DSHB). After 30 min of washing in the blocking solution (0.5% Triton X-100), the samples were incubated in a Cy3-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody (1:100, Dianova #715-165-150) for 60 min at room temperature. Subsequently, larvae were washed in high-salt PBS and PBS and embedded in Mowiol. The samples were then imaged using an Olympus inverted epifluorescence microscope.

Immunohistochemistry of mouse inner hair cells

Organs of Corti (Appendix Fig S17) were dissected from P14 to P18 wild-type mice in ice-cold HBSS (5.36 mM KCl, 141.7 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, 34 mM l-glutamine, 6.9 mM l-glucose, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM MgSO4, pH 7.4). The inner hair cells were stimulated by incubating the tissue for 3 min in HBSS with high potassium (65.36 mM KCl) at 37°C. Afterward, the organs were fixed for 30 min on ice and for another 30 min at room temperature. The subsequent quenching was performed for 30 min in 100 mM NH4Cl and 100 mM glycine. The organs were then permeabilized and blocked for 30 min with PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 2.5% BSA. The primary antibodies mouse anti-otoferlin (Abcam #ab53233), diluted 1:350, and rabbit anti-ribeye (Synaptic Systems #192003), diluted 1:1500, were applied for 60 min. After 30 min of washing, the organs were incubated in secondary antibodies for 60 min. Atto647-labeled goat anti-mouse (1:250, Sigma-Aldrich #50185) and the Cy2-labeled goat anti-rabbit (1:100, Dianova #111-225-144) secondary antibodies were used. Washing in high-salt PBS and PBS was followed by embedding in melamine, as described previously (Revelo et al., 2014). Organs were then cut into 200-nm thin sections using a Leica EM UC6 ultramicrotome. The sections were embedded in Mowiol and were imaged using a STED TCS SP5 microscope (Leica). Immunohistochemistry of mouse levator auris longus neuromuscular junctions

The levator auris longus muscle (Appendix Fig S18) was dissected from adult mice in ice-cold mouse Ringer (5 mM KCl, 154 mM NaCl, 5 mM HEPES, 11 mM l-glucose, 1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM CaCl2, pH 7.3). Prior to fixation, the acetylcholine receptors were stained by incubating the muscles in a 1:150 dilution of tetramethylrhodamine-labeled bungarotoxin (Sigma-Aldrich #T0195) for 15 min. After washing the tissue for 15 min in mouse Ringer, it was fixed for 30 min on ice and another 30 min at room temperature. Quenching was performed in 100 mM NH4Cl and 100 mM glycine. The tissue was then permeabilized and blocked by incubating in PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 2.5% BSA for 30 min. Primary antibodies were applied for 60 min. The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-bassoon (Enzo Lifescience #SAP7F407), diluted 1:100, and rabbit anti-picrocitol (Synaptic Systems #142003), diluted 1:150. After 30 min of washing, secondary antibodies were applied for 60 min (Atto647-labeled goat anti-mouse, Sigma-Aldrich #50185, diluted 1:150, and Cy2-labeled goat anti-rabbit, Dianova #111-225-144, diluted 1:100). After 20 more min of washing in the blocking solution, 30 min in high-salt PBS, and 20 min in PBS, the samples were embedded in 2,2'-thiodiethanol as described previously (Revelo & Rizzoli, 2015; TDE, Sigma-Aldrich #166782). The neuromuscular junctions were imaged using a STED TCS SP5 microscope (Leica).

Imaging with an inverted epifluorescence Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope

Experiments from Figs 1, 2 and 3B, Appendix Figs S4–S6 were imaged using the Nikon inverted epifluorescence microscope. The microscope was equipped with an HBO 100-W lamp and an IXON X3897 Andor Camera. For all samples, a 60X Plan apochromat oil immersion objective (NA 1.4) was used (from Nikon). The filter sets and time course (if applicable) used for imaging are shown in Table 3. Images were obtained using the image acquisition software NiS-Elements AR (Nikon).

Imaging with a STED/confocal TCS SP5 microscope (Leica)

The immunostained rat hippocampal neurons (Fig 4, Appendix Figs S12–S14), mouse inner hair cells (Appendix Fig S17), and neuromuscular junctions (Appendix Fig S18), as well as the transferrin and LysoTracker uptake (Appendix Figs S2, S3 and S11) and the immunostained GFP-tagged proteins (Appendix Fig S10) were imaged using a pulsed STED microscope, built on the basis of the TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Leica). The microscope was equipped with a pulsed diode laser (18 mW, 80 MHz, 640 nm emission, PicoQuant) for excitation of the STED dye, and with a pulsed infrared titanium: sapphire (Ti:Sa) tunable laser (1W, 80 MHz, 720–1,000 nm, Mai Tai Broadband; Spectra-Physics) for depletion set at a wavelength of 750 nm. For confocal imaging, an Argon laser (488 nm) and HeNe laser lines (543, 594, 633 nm) were used for excitation. Detection was achieved by ultra-sensitive avalanche photodiodes and high sensitivity, low noise PMTs (Leica). All samples were imaged using a 100× HCX PL APO oil immersion STED objective (NA 1.4). Images were acquired using the Leica LAS AF imaging software, with a pixel
size of 20 × 20 nm, 30 × 30 nm or 60 × 60 nm and a scanning speed of 1,000 Hz.

**Imaging with an inverted epifluorescence Olympus IX 71 microscope**

The *Drosophila* larvae neuromuscular junctions (Appendix Fig S16), the transfected and immunostained BHK (obtained from the Max-Planck-Institute for biophysical chemistry Göttingen, Reinhard Jahn) cells (VAMP2 expression in Appendix Fig S10), the FM 1-43 stained neurons (Appendix Table S1), the COS-7 cells, stained for PIP2 (Appendix Fig S8), and the SNAP-tag labeled HeLa cells (Appendix Fig S9) were imaged using an Olympus IX 71 epifluorescence microscope, equipped with a 100 W mercury lamp and a F-View II CCD camera (Soft Imaging Systems GmbH). The *Drosophila* NMJs and PIP2 stained COS cells were imaged using a 100× TIRFM oil immersion objective (NA 1.45), from Olympus. The BHK cells and the SNAP-tag-labeled HeLa cells were imaged using the 40× UPlan FLN air objective (NA 0.75) from Olympus. The hippocampal neurons were imaged using a 60× UPlanApo oil immersion objective (NA 1.35) from Olympus. Filter sets used for imaging can be found in Table 4. Image acquisition was performed using the Olympus Cell® software.

**SDS–PAGE of fixed rat brain cytoplasm**

Rat brain cytosol (Fig 3A and Appendix Fig S7) was prepared by homogenization of adult rat brains using a Teflon glass homogenizer in 320 mM sucrose, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 (adjusted with NaOH). This was followed by a two-step centrifugation, first in an SS34 rotor (Sorvall) for 12 minutes at 14,400 × g, to pellet large tissue fragments, and then in a TLA100.3 rotor (Beckman) for 60 min at 264,000 × g to pellet all remaining cellular fragments. All centrifugation steps were performed at 4°C. The fixatives were prepared so that the final amount of fixative in the solution with the cytosol was 4% PFA (ph 7, pH 4, and 5) and 3% glyoxal. The samples were fixed for 15, 30, 45, or 60 min at room temperature (or 10 min at 37°C for one of the PFA fixation controls). As control samples, cytosol was also fixed with PFA plus 0.2% glutaraldehyde and PFA plus 20% ethanol. After fixation, samples were prepared for running on SDS–polyacrylamide gels by adding 2× Laemmli sample buffer (Laemmli, 1970) and heating for 5 min to 95°C. 10% polyacrylamide gels were prepared as described previously (Brunelle & Green, 2014). 25 μl of each sample and a non-fixed brain cytosol sample was run on the gels. The gels were stained in Coomassie brilliant blue overnight and were destained for 2–3 h in 50% methanol, 40% H2O, 10% acetic acid the following day. The stained gels were scanned and analyzed.

**Electron microscopy**

For electron microscopy of chemically fixed cells (Appendix Fig S15), primary hippocampal neurons were fixed for 20 min on ice and for another 20 min at room temperature, followed by quenching for 30 min in 100 mM NH4Cl and 100 mM glycine. The neurons were then postfixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 60 min at room temperature. Another 20 min of quenching in NH4Cl and glycine were followed by 60 min of incubation in 1% osmium tetroxide. Afterward, the neurons were washed in filtered PBS for 15 min and were dehydrated with a series of ethanol dilutions. Subsequently, the cells were embedded in Epon resin by first incubating them for 3 h in a 1:1 mixture of ethanol and resin and then incubating in pure resin for 48 h at 60°C. The samples were cut into 80- to 100-nm sections using a LeicaEM UC6 ultramicrotome and were mounted on copper 50-mesh grids (Plano GmbH #G2500C) or Formvar-coated copper slot grids (Plano GmbH #G2500C). The thin sections were labeled with 1% uranyl acetate for 10 min and were afterward washed for several minutes in ddH2O. The samples were imaged using a JEOL JEM1011 electron microscope (JEOL GmbH), with a magnification of 10,000×.

For electron microscopy of high-pressure frozen samples (Appendix Fig S15), primary hippocampal neurons were frozen using a Leica HPM100 high-pressure freezer, using PBS with 20% polyvinylpyrrolidone as filler solution. The samples were freezestabilized as described before (McDonald & Webb, 2011). Post-fixation was done in a mixture of 1% glutaraldehyde, 1% OsO4, and 1% H2O (modified after Jiménez et al, 2006) prior to embedding in Epon.

---

**Table 3. Filter sets and time courses used for the Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure panel</th>
<th>Excitation filter</th>
<th>Emission filter</th>
<th>Dichroic mirror</th>
<th>Time course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Cy3: 545/25 nm</td>
<td>605/70 nm</td>
<td>565 nm</td>
<td>60 min, every 5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>EGFP: 470/40 nm</td>
<td>525/50 nm</td>
<td>495 nm</td>
<td>10 min, every 30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>60 min, every 5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>Cy3: 545/25</td>
<td>605/70 nm</td>
<td>565 nm</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Cy5: 620/60 nm</td>
<td>700/75 nm</td>
<td>660 nm</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix Fig S5</td>
<td>EGFP: 470/40 nm</td>
<td>525/50 nm</td>
<td>495 nm</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix Fig S6 (additional GFP proteins)</td>
<td>EGFP: 470/40 nm</td>
<td>525/50 nm</td>
<td>495 nm</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix Fig S4</td>
<td>Cy3: 545/25 nm (cholera toxin)</td>
<td>605/70 nm (cholera toxin)</td>
<td>565 nm (cholera toxin)</td>
<td>10 min, every 60 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Texas Red: 562/40 nm (transferrin) 624/40 nm (transferrin) 593 nm (transferrin)
via an Epon dilution series (McDonald & Webb, 2011). The samples were cut into ultrathin sections (60 nm), stained in 1% uranyl acetate, and imaged with a Zeiss transmission electron microscope.

Data analysis

All data analyses were performed automatically or semi-automatically using MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc.), with exception of the analysis from Appendix Fig S12. Analyses in Figs 1A and B, and 3B, and in Appendix Figs S1, S2, S3, S8, S9, and S16 were performed using custom-written MATLAB routines that measure the average fluorescence intensity in manually selected regions. For Fig 1A and B, and Appendix Figs S1 and S16, the regions were selected manually. For Fig 3B, a MATLAB routine was used to separate cells from each other, using the watershed transform, and to thus determine the cellular regions of interest.

The fluorescence signals of the GFP and of the immunostainings in Appendix Fig S10 were measured by a MATLAB automatic routine that first identified the GFP signals, by applying a threshold to remove background signals, and then measured the intensity of the immunostainings in the GFP-positive regions of interest. For all analyses of the signal intensity in terms of “signal over background”, signal- and background-containing regions of interest were manually determined, before dividing the average intensity in the former by the average intensity in the latter.

The analysis of the DIC images in Fig 2 was performed using a MATLAB routine that calculated the correlation coefficients of circular regions of interest (~500 nm in diameter), selected manually in the first image, to every other image taken throughout the 60 min of imaging. A similar analysis was performed for the fluorescent images from Appendix Fig S4, using circular regions of interest centered on particular organelles, selected by the user. Again, the same analysis was performed for the GFP images, before and after fixation, from Appendix Fig S6, and for the images of transferrin-labeled and immunostained cells (Appendix Fig S11). The SDS–PAGE gels in Fig 3A and Appendix Fig S7 were analyzed by measuring the overall band intensity that is left after fixation compared to the non-fixed sample. The entire length of the lanes was measured, and the intensity was summed over all bands. To avoid the smear induced by fixed molecules, which is especially evident in glutaraldehyde fixation, the signal along the lanes was first subjected to a high-pass filter.

The efficiency of preserving mitochondria during fixation (Appendix Fig S5) was analyzed by measuring the lengths of mitochondria before and after fixation. Regions of interest containing mitochondria were manually selected, and the mitochondria were detected by a thresholding procedure. The mitochondria length was then determined automatically.

For the analysis of the electron microscopy images (Appendix Fig S15), synaptic vesicles were selected manually, and line scans were applied to each vesicle.

For the analysis of the immunostained proteins in hippocampal neurons (Appendix Figs S12–S14), structures that appeared to be of organellar organization were identified and counted manually. This analysis was done blinded, randomizing both the order and the nature of the images. The number of objects was counted per immunostained μm², in order to take into account the different amounts of neuronal structures per image.

To analyze the structure of the observed objects, 100 typical objects were selected by an experienced observer, again in a blind fashion. The objects were clicked on, to select the center of the area. Square regions of interest, of several μm in width, were automatically generated, centered on the selected objects, and were preserved for further analysis. After all objects were selected, the regions of interest were overlaid, and each was rotated in turn (in 5° increments, using both the real image and a mirrored image), until the best possible alignment to the other regions of interest was obtained. Only the area within 1 μm from the region of interest center was used in measuring the alignments, to restrict the alignment analysis to the selected object, and not to other objects that may have been present in the regions of interest. The strength of the alignment was verified by calculating the Pearson’s correlation coefficient at every angle. Once a best fit was found (with the maximal Pearson’s coefficient), all images were summed, and the average object was thus obtained (shown in Appendix Figs S13 and S14). Line scans, obtained by drawing horizontal lines through the individual typical objects (after rotation), are shown in the graphs in these figures (in the form of mean ± SEM of all 100 line scans through the 100 typical objects).

Statistics

Typically measurements were performed over multiple cells and experiments. For experiments studying multiple cells, such as neuronal immunostainings, we typically used at least 10 individual neurons in each analysis. For experiments involving single cells (such as time series obtained on one cell), we performed at least three independent experiments. For biochemical experiments, multiple experiments were performed (2–7). The sample numbers were increased if substantial variation was noted in the initial experiments. All graphs depicted here were generated using Sigma Plot (Systat Software, Inc). All bar graphs show mean values, and all error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM), calculated in Sigma Plot (except for the quantification of cardiomyocyte stainings in Fig 9, which represents mean values with standard deviation values). For statistical analyses in Fig 3A and Appendix Fig S16 (multiple comparisons), an one-way ANOVA with a post hoc Tukey test was performed. For all other statistical analyses, the two-sided Student’s t-test (unpaired) or Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied to the data using the in-built function in Excel or using MATLAB. For Fig 1 and Appendix Figs S4 and S5, the number of independent experiments tested (N) was below 5. The t-test was chosen, assuming that the results come from a normal distribution. The justification for this assumption is that the variation between experiments is solely driven by experimenter (pipetting) errors, which are considered to be normally distributed. For larger data sets, we used the Jarque–Bera test to verify the normal distribution of the data points. If the Jarque–Bera tests indicated normal distributions, we used t-tests for verifying differences between the samples. If one or both of the distributions were different from the normal distribution, according to the Jarque–Bera tests, we used a two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum test to verify differences between the samples.

For display purposes, images were adjusted in brightness and contrast using ImageJ (Wayne Rasband, US National Institutes of Health). If intensities were compared, image adjustments in brightness and contrast were equally applied to all conditions.
Animals

P14 to P18 and adult wild-type mice (Mus musculus) from the substrain C57Bl/6J were obtained from the University Medical Center Göttingen. Newborn wild-type Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus) for the preparation of primary hippocampal neuron cultures were obtained from the University Medical Center Göttingen as well. Drosophila melanogaster of the Canton S strain were maintained in the laboratory, using conventional methods.

All animals were handled according to the specifications of the University of Göttingen and of the local authority, the State of Lower Saxony (Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz, LAVES, Braunschweig, Germany).

Methods of collaborating labs can be found in the Appendix.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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Appendix Figure S1 Addition of ethanol increases the speed of membrane penetration, but neither ethanol nor low pH improves immunostainings.

A The images show the entry of FM 1-43 into fibroblasts during the first 10 min of fixation. In addition to fixation with PFA and glyoxal pH 5 (as shown in Fig. 1b), we tested fixation with glyoxal pH 4, and with PFA + ethanol. In the graphs either individual data points or mean values with SEM are plotted. N = 2 independent experiments for glyoxal pH 4 and for PFA + ethanol. Scale bar = 20 µm.

B For testing the influence of EtOH and low pH on fixation with PFA and immunostaining, primary hippocampal neurons were fixed either with conventional PFA buffers (no ethanol, pH 7), with PFA containing the same amount of EtOH as our glyoxal-based fixatives, or with PFA at low pH. Immunostainings for calreticulin, homer 1 and syntaxin 1 show that neither the addition of EtOH, nor the low pH increase the quality of immunostainings. The quantification of fluorescence intensity even shows a reduced signal for samples fixed with PFA at low pH. N = 9 – 15 cell regions per condition (calreticulin, syntaxin 1), focusing on large areas that contained the widely diffused signal for these two proteins. For homer 1 we analyzed smaller regions containing synapses; 68 – 80 cell regions per condition. Scale bar = 5 µm. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S2 Fixation of Alexa Fluor 594-coupled transferrin, applied during fixation.

COS-7 cells were fixed at 37 °C for 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20 min, before transferrin-Alexa Fluor 594 was added, and the cells were incubated in the fixative and transferrin for another 20 min. The fluorescence intensity was measured in the cytosol of the cells and on the plasma membrane. The graph shows the fluorescence intensity at the membrane, from which the fluorescence intensity in the cytosol was subtracted. This analysis reveals that transferrin is fixed on the plasma membrane by glyoxal, whereas PFA-fixed cells show transferrin both on the plasma membrane and in the cytosol. N = 8-24 cellular ROIs per condition. Scale bar = 5 µm.
Appendix Figure S3 Maintenance of the acidic lumen of lysosomes after fixation with PFA or glyoxal for different durations.

HeLa cells were fixed at 37 °C for 5, 10 and 15 min before LysoTracker was added, and the cells were incubated in the fixative and LysoTracker for another 20 min. The measured LysoTracker intensity reveals the maintenance of the acidic lumen of lysosomes in PFA-fixed cells, whereas in glyoxal-fixed cells the acidic compartments are not detected. N = 9-21 cells per condition. Scale bar = 5 µm.
Appendix Figure S4 Transferrin and cholera toxin uptake during fixation.

COS-7 and HeLa cells were incubated with transferrin-Alexa Fluor594 or cholera toxin subunit B-Alexa Fluor555 for 10 min at 37 °C, and were imaged during the first few min after the addition of the fixative, during which time it is likely that active cellular movements still take place. Representative images are shown from the transferrin uptake experiment. The graphs show the correlation to the first frame of each image taken throughout the first minutes of fixation. The high correlation coefficients show that both fixatives allow little endosome movement, though glyoxal seems to act slightly faster than PFA. N = 49-325 cellular regions from 3 - 4 independent experiments. Scale bar = 5 µm. **p < 0.01
Appendix Figure S5 Preservation of mitochondria after 60 min of fixation.

Fibroblasts were transfected with the mitochondrial marker TOMM70, linked to GFP, and were imaged before and after fixation. The graph indicates the decrease in mitochondria size, caused by fragmentation during fixation (visible in the zoom-ins at the bottom of the panels). The mitochondria preservation was not significantly different between PFA and glyoxal, but was significantly different between glyoxal and PFA with added ethanol. This suggests that the ethanol addition to PFA does not increase its fixation accuracy. N = 3 experiments. Scale bar = 20 µm. *p < 0.05.
Appendix Figure S6 Preservation of cellular structures by PFA and glyoxal fixation.

Various GFP-tagged proteins were expressed in COS-7 and HeLa cells. The preservation of structures/organelles was assessed by measuring the correlation between the GFP signals prior to, and after 60 min of fixation with either PFA or glyoxal. The graph shows the correlation of the GFP signals pre and post fixation. N = 13-51 cellular ROIs per condition. Scale bar = 10 µm. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S7 Comparison of glyoxal fixation with various alternatives of PFA fixation.

A Full polyacrylamide gel from figure 3A. In addition to fixation for 60 minutes with PFA, PFA and glutaraldehyde, glyoxal pH 4, and glyoxal pH 5, which were shown in figure 3, samples were also fixed for 15, 30 and 45 minutes (gels with fixation duration of 30 and 45 min are not shown here). We also fixed samples with PFA + ethanol. The graph shows the remaining intensities of all bands, for all tested time points (either individual data points or mean values with SEM are plotted). This indicates that fixation for shorter durations results in lower fixation strength. Additionally, fixation with PFA + ethanol does not increase the efficiency of fixation, in comparison with PFA alone. N = between 2 and 7 experiments per condition.

B Testing the efficiency of PFA fixation under different alternative conditions (pH 4, pH 5 or at 37°C) in the SDS PAGE based approach (see also figure 3). A quantification of total band intensity left after fixation shows that neither low pH, nor higher temperature increase fixation efficiency significantly, when compared to PFA pH 7 fixation. Glyoxal fixation, which was tested in parallel to these experiments in the same gels, reduced the total band intensity significantly, when compared to these PFA conditions, as in all other SDS PAGE experiments we tested. N = 4 gels in 4 independent experiments. * p < 0.05
Appendix Figure S8 Fixation of lipids by PFA and glyoxal.

PFA and glyoxal-fixed COS-7 cells were immunostained for Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂), and the fluorescence intensity was measured. Cells that were fixed with glyoxal exhibited significantly brighter stainings than PFA-fixed cells. N = 18-35 cells. Scale bar = 5 µm. **p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S9 Preservation of enzymatic activity after PFA and glyoxal fixation.

HeLa cells were transfected with the enzymatic labeling tag SNAP-tag, either alone or linked to three different cellular proteins. After fixation the maintenance of the enzymatic activity was tested by applying a fluorophore that is covalently linked to the tag by its own enzymatic reaction. All tested SNAP-tag variants show significantly higher labeling for glyoxal-fixed cells compared to PFA-fixed cells. Non-transfected cells show no substantial fluorescence. N = 10-14 images analyzed per condition (each containing multiple cells). Scale bar = 2 μm. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S10 Immunostaining efficiency after PFA and glyoxal fixation.

COS-7, HeLa or BHK cells overexpressing different GFP-tagged proteins were fixed and immunostained, and the fluorescence intensity of the antibody staining was measured. Immunostaining intensities for all targets were significantly higher in glyoxal-fixed cells than in PFA-fixed cells. N = 5-330 cellular ROIs per condition. Scale bar = 2 µm. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S11 Preservation and antibody recognition of cellular targets fixed by PFA and glyoxal.

HeLa cells that took up fluorescently-labeled transferrin were immunostained for the endosomal marker EEA1. The correlation of transferrin, which should be taken up into endosomes, to the immunostained EEA1 is significantly higher for glyoxal-fixed cells than for PFA-fixed cells. N = 15 (PFA) and 29 (glyoxal) ROIs analyzed. Scale bar = 2 µm. **p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S12 Super-resolution imaging of 20 immunostained proteins in cultured neurons after fixation with PFA or glyoxal.

Typical images of stained hippocampal neurons are shown, after glyoxal or PFA fixation. The bar graphs indicate the number of organelle-like structures that an experienced user could detect, per stained surface area (black bars = PFA, grey bars = glyoxal). Significantly more objects were identified in glyoxal than in PFA fixed samples for the following proteins: α-tubulin, neurofilament L, synaptophysin, syntaxin 1, SNAP23, SNAP29, α/β SNAP, NSF, HSC70, clathrin light chain, PSD95.
rab5 and calreticulin; N = 7-15. For β-actin, significantly more structures were found in PFA-fixed cells, since the actin filaments appear more fragmented after this treatment, which increases the number of observed strands (see analysis in Appendix Figure S13). Scale bar = 2.5 µm (for β-actin and α-tubulin) and 1 µm (for the rest). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01. All experiments were analyzed in a blind fashion (see Methods for details).

In detail, we analyzed several types of proteins. We started with immunostainings for β-actin, α-tubulin, and neurofilament L, which are expected to form linear (filamentous) structures. Significantly more microtubules and neurofilaments could be detected after glyoxal fixation. In contrast, the number of actin filaments was significantly higher after PFA fixation, due to their fragmentation (analyzed in Appendix Fig. S13). We then analyzed two markers of synaptic vesicles, VAMP2 and synaptophysin. The vesicles form clusters in synaptic boutons. The same number of boutons could be observed in VAMP2 immunostainings, for both glyoxal and PFA fixation. For synaptophysin, however, significantly more boutons could be identified after glyoxal fixation. We next focused on five SNARE proteins, which are present in the synaptic plasma membrane (syntaxin 1, SNAP25), in other sites of the neuronal plasma membrane (SNAP23, SNAP29), or in endosomes (syntaxin 16). SNARE proteins form domains in the membranes (see for example (Bethani et al., 2007; Lang & Rizzoli, 2010)). We observed far more domains of syntaxin 1 after glyoxal fixation. Similar, albeit less pronounced, effects were observed for SNAP23 and SNAP29. No significant differences were observed for syntaxin 16 and SNAP25. Several soluble and/or organelle-attached proteins also provided more detailed (less uniform) images after glyoxal fixation, in which significantly more organelle-like structures could be detected (α/β-SNAP, NSF, Hsc70, clathrin, Rab5, and Rab7). The number of observed organelle-like objects were lower in PFA samples for several targets that are anchored to the membrane or to other cellular structures, including syntaxin 1, as mentioned above, and also the ER marker calreticulin and the active zone protein PSD95. Some such targets were detected equally well after both PFA or glyoxal fixation, including synaptotagmin 7, which is associated to vesicles, or the active zone component Bassoon.
Appendix Figure S13 Ten pairs of average objects (structures) from neurons immunostained after PFA or glyoxal fixation.

To further analyze the difference between the glyoxal- and PFA-fixed samples, we created average objects, or average target structures, by overlaying 100 typical objects for every staining from
The objects were all centered, and were rotated to create a maximal alignment. This procedure is used in super-resolution microscopy to reveal aspects of the average object that may be missed by the visual investigation of only single objects (see for example Löschberger et al., 2012; Revelo et al., 2014; Wilhelm et al., 2014). The typical objects were aligned and averaged, and the resulting averages are shown in the images in Appendix Fig. S12 and S14, in the same order as in the two columns of Appendix Fig. S12. 10 target proteins are included in each of these two figures. Vertical or horizontal line scans indicate the length of the objects, with the exception of neurofilament L, and synaptophysin, for which the thickness of the objects is indicated. The arrowheads (black = PFA, white = glyoxal) and the numbers indicate the size of the objects, in the form of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the linescans (in µm). For some graphs the FWHM could not be measured, since there was no prominent single peak present, meaning that the objects consisted of continuous structures. This is noted on the respective graphs, as in the case of syntaxin 1, which is an abundant and widely distributed protein, which is expected to be present in large neuronal areas. The error bars show the SEMs of the line scans (n = 100 objects). Scale bar = 1 µm.

A simple overall conclusion is that the average object after PFA fixation is represented by a spot of ~70 to 120 nm in diameter for 14 of the proteins: syntaxin 1, SNAP25, SNAP23, SNAP29, syntaxin 16, α/β-SNAP, NSF, HSC70, synaptotagmin 7, clathrin, PSD95, Rab5, Rab7, and calreticulin. Such small objects overlap in size with spots created by clusters composed of one primary antibody and the secondary antibodies that bind it (in our hands, at the resolution of the STED microscope used here (Opazo et al., 2010); 2-3 secondary antibodies bind each primary in our experiments (Opazo et al., 2012)). For all of these proteins larger average objects were found after glyoxal fixation, which are too large to be merely single antibody spots. For the remaining 6 target proteins (β-actin, α-tubulin, neurofilament L, VAMP2, synaptophysin, and Bassoon), PFA revealed objects that were similar to those observed in the glyoxal-fixed samples. Actin filaments and microtubuli, however, were substantially shorter after PFA fixation, which implies that they became fragmented during fixation. Neurofilaments were far thinner after PFA fixation than after glyoxal fixation. Synaptic boutons were revealed by VAMP2 or synaptophysin antibodies after both PFA and glyoxal fixation, as mentioned in the previous section, but they were shorter and/or thinner after PFA fixation, suggesting that fewer epitopes were revealed. Finally, active zones were recognizable in the staining for the marker Bassoon, for both PFA and glyoxal, but they appeared larger after glyoxal fixation (Appendix Fig. S14).
Appendix Figure S14 Ten additional pairs of averaged objects from neurons immunostained after PFA or glyoxal fixation (continuation of Appendix Figure S13). The analysis was performed exactly as in Appendix Figure S13. Arrowheads (black = PFA, white = glyoxal) and numbers indicate the FWHM of the linescans (in µm), as in Appendix Figure S13. Scale bar = 1 µm.
Appendix Figure S15 Electron microscopy of PFA- or glyoxal-fixed hippocampal neurons.

Representative images of synaptic boutons fixed with PFA or glyoxal, in cultured hippocampal neurons, are shown. For comparison, a representative image of cultured hippocampal neurons fixed via high-pressure freezing (HPF) and freeze-substitution is shown in the right panel. The graphs indicate average line scans performed through synaptic vesicles of the fixed neurons (see cartoon), ± SEM (note that the SEMs are almost as small as the graph dots for the chemical fixed samples). The intensity was normalized to the background intensity of the images, outside of the vesicles. The line scan has a minimum in the center, corresponding to the center of the synaptic vesicle lumen, which is devoid of proteins. The vesicle membrane is clearly visible, and is significantly more electron-dense than the baseline outside the vesicles in the PFA-fixed samples, suggesting that the cytosol contains fewer proteins than the membrane of the vesicles in this condition. This effect is far less pronounced in glyoxal-fixed samples and the HPF-fixed sample, indicating that more cytosolic proteins are retained around the vesicles. This effect also explains the general lack of contrast in the glyoxal-fixed sample, in which vesicles are more difficult to detect. Superficially, images of glyoxal-fixed boutons resemble boutons fixed by high-pressure freezing more than they resemble PFA-fixed boutons: the demarcation of the vesicles is more difficult, due to the large amount of cytosolic proteins that are present around and between the vesicles. Scale bar = 200 nm. N = 1100-4900 vesicles, from 2-4 independent experiments for each chemical fixation procedure and 782 vesicles for the HPF-fixation.
Appendix Figure S16 Glyoxal fixation in tissue from *Drosophila* larvae.

Fluorescence images of *Drosophila* neuromuscular junctions, immunostained for various neuronal proteins, are shown. The graphs indicate the average fluorescence intensity, corrected for background. Glyoxal pH 4 and pH 5 fixations provide significantly higher fluorescence signals, in comparison to PFA, for bruchpilot and syntaxin 1. Glyoxal pH 5 provides a significantly higher signal for SAP47. PFA fixation gives a significantly higher signal for synaptotagmin 1 (Syt1), in comparison to the glyoxal fixation. N = between 15 and 20 images analyzed per protein and fixation condition, in 2 independent experiments. Scale bar = 5 µm. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Appendix Figure S17 Glyoxal fixation in mammalian tissue: mouse inner hair cells.

Inner hair cells of the auditory system (Revelo et al, 2014) were immunostained for the calcium sensor protein otoferlin (Atto647N, red), and for the ribbon synapse protein ribeye (Cy2, green). The overall morphology and antigenicity is preserved in glyoxal-fixed samples, at least as well as in PFA-fixed samples. Scale bar = 2 µm.
Appendix Figure S18 Glyoxal fixation in mammalian tissue: mouse neuromuscular junctions.

Synapses of the *levator auris longus* muscle were immunostained for bassoon (Cy2, green) and piccolo (Atto647N, red). Additionally, acetylcholine receptors were stained with tetramethylrhodamine-labeled bungarotoxin (blue). As in Supplementary Fig. 10, the overall morphology and antigenicity is preserved in glyoxal-fixed samples. Scale bar = 5 µm.
**Appendix Table 1: Qualitative analysis of different fixation conditions.** Hippocampal neurons were fixed for 1 hour with the fixatives indicated in the first column, and were then imaged in presence of 1.5 μM FM 1-43, which reveals all membranes. Scale bar, 5 μm. + and – indicate the quality of the fixation regarding possible fragmentation and swelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixative</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4% PFA + 0.2% Glut</td>
<td>No fragmentation, but some swelling (note large round objects)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td><img src="image1.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% Glyoxal + 20% Ethanol, pH 3</td>
<td>Some fragmentation (note the small objects arranged in line), no swelling</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td><img src="image2.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% Glyoxal + 20% Ethanol, pH 4</td>
<td>No fragmentation, no swelling</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td><img src="image3.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyoxal + Ethanol, pH</td>
<td>Condition Description</td>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No fragmentation, but some swelling (especially visible in the image center)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, 20%</td>
<td>No fragmentation, very limited swelling</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No fragmentation, but very strong swelling</td>
<td>+/--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No fragmentation, but very strong swelling</td>
<td>+/--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA, pH 4</td>
<td>Some fragmentation, some swelling</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA + 20 % Ethanol, pH 4</td>
<td>Some fragmentation, limited swelling</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA, pH 5</td>
<td>Some fragmentation, some swelling</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA + 20 % Ethanol, pH 5</td>
<td>Limited fragmentation, strong swelling</td>
<td>+/---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Methods of collaborating laboratories**

**Ed Boyden**

**Immunocytoschemistry of HeLa cells (Figure 5)**

HeLa Cells were fixed with either 4% PFA of glyoxal for 10 min at room temperature. Afterwards, cells were quenched for 5 min in PBS and 100 mM glycine, following 10 min washing in PBS. Subsequently, the cells were incubated in the primary antibody (NUP160; abcam ab74147) for one hour at room temperature. The cells have been washed 20 min in PBS prior to the incubation in Atto647F coupled secondary antibody (Sigma Aldrich) for one hour at room temperature. Both antibody incubations have been done in blocking buffer (5% normal donkey serum, 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS). After further washing in PBS for 20 min the cells were imaged with a spinning disk confocal microscope.
Rory Duncan

Immunocytochemistry of AtT20 cells (Figure 6)

For Syntaxin1, SNAP25 and LC3B immunostaining, AtT20 cells were cultured in duplicate on PDL-coated coverslips and fixed with 4% PFA or glyoxal pH 5 by 60 minute incubation at room temperature. Autophagy was induced in cells intended for LC3B staining by 60 minute treatment with 160 nM rapamycin immediately prior to fixation. Following fixation, cells were quenched with 50 mM NH₄Cl for 20 min and permeabilized by 4 minute incubation in PBS supplemented with 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100. Epiplasmic blocking was subsequently achieved by 15 minute incubation in blocking buffer (0.5% (w/v) fish skin gelatin in PBS). Samples were immunolabeled for three hours at room temperature with the primary antibodies anti-Syx1 [HPC-1], anti-SNAP25 [SMI 81] or LC3B diluted in blocking buffer as detailed in Appendix Table 2. Cells were then washed for 30 mins in blocking buffer and incubated for 60 minutes in blocking buffer supplemented with 1:1000 Alexa 488 conjugated anti-rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG as appropriate. Samples were mounted with Mowiol after a further 30 min wash step. Samples were imaged on a Leica SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope using a HCX PL Apo 100X oil immersion objective lens. The pinhole was set to 1 Airy unit and images were acquired with a 1024x1024 pixel layout using a 0.04 µm pixel size.

Appendix Table 2: Primary and secondary antibodies used for the immunostaining of AtT20 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>Host species</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syx1 [HPC-1]</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Abcam ab3265</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP25 [SMI 81]</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Abcam ab24737</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC3B</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Novus Biologicals NB600-1384</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary antibody</th>
<th>Host species</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor 488)</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific (10082502)</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse IgG (Alexa Fluor 488)</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific (10328172)</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elisa D’Este/Stefan Hell

Neuronal cell culture and immunocytochemistry (Figure 7)

Cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared from Wistar rats of mixed sex at postnatal day P0–P1, in accordance with Animal Welfare Law of the Federal Republic of Germany (Tierschutzgesetz der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, TierSchG) and the Regulation about animals used in experiments (1st August 2013, Tierschutzversuchsverordnung). For the procedure of sacrificing rodents for subsequent preparation of any tissue, all regulations give in §4 TierSchG are followed. Since sacrificing of animals is not an experiment on animals according to §7 Abs. 2 Satz 3 TierSchG, no specific authorization or notification is required. Cells were plated on coverslips coated with 100 µg/ml polyornithine (Sigma-Aldrich #P3655) and 1 µg/ml laminin (BD Bioscience #354232). Neuronal cultures were maintained in Neurobasal medium (Gibco #21103049) supplemented with 2% B27 serum-free supplement (Gibco #17504044), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco #25030) and penicillin/streptomycin (100 units/ml and 100 µg/ml, respectively, BiochromAG A2213). On the day after plating, 5 µM cytosine β-D-arabinofuranoside (Sigma #C1768) was added to the cultures.

For immunostainings, cells were washed in PBS and fixed for 20-25 min at room temperature with glyoxal pH 5 (except for staining of neurofilament and spectrin pH 4 was used) or PFA or PFA with 0.2 % glutaraldehyde in PHEM buffer (60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂; pH 6.9). Cells were quenched with ammonium chloride and glycine (100 mM each) for 5 min, were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for another 5 min, and blocked in PBS supplemented with 1%
BSA for 30 min. Both primary and secondary antibody incubations were performed in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Phalloidin incubation was performed together with secondary antibodies. Samples were mounted in Mowiol supplemented with DABCO.

Reagents and antibodies
The antibodies and reagents used are: anti-betaIII tubulin (Tuj1) (Biolegend, 1:400 dilution), anti-betaII spectrin (BD Biosciences, 1:200 dilution); anti-Ankyrin G (Santa Cruz, 1:50); anti-pan-Nav (Synaptic Systems, 1:400); anti-Kv7.2 (Synaptic Systems, 1:200); phalloidin-STAR635 (Abberior). Sheep anti-mouse (Dianova) and goat anti-rabbit (Dianova) were labeled with STAR635P or START580 (Abberior).

Imaging was performed on a two-color Abberior STED 775 QUAD scanning microscope (Abberior Instruments GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) equipped with 561 nm and 640 nm pulsed excitation lasers, a pulsed 775 nm STED laser, and a 100x oil immersion objective lens (NA 1.4).

Marcel Lauterbach

Immunohistochemistry of Sepia officinalis skin samples (Figure 8)
The experiment was conducted on adult male Sepia officinalis with a mantle length of 10 cm. Animals were reared in a closed seawater system with salinity of 33‰ at 20°C. To harvest skin samples, animals were anesthetized in an isotonic solution prepared by mixing a 7.5% (w/v) MgCl₂ 6H₂O in deionized water solution with an equal volume of seawater. Animals were then decapitated and samples of dorsal skin and fin were excised and fixed overnight at 4°C in either 3% glyoxal pH 5 or 4% PFA. The following procedures were the same for both fixation techniques and performed in parallel. After cryoprotection in 30% (w/v) sucrose in PBS for 2 days at 4°C the tissue was embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. (Sakura Finetec Europe B. V., Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) and sectioned at 100 µm thickness.

Prior to permeabilization in acetone for 15 min at -20°C, the sections were washed twice for 10 min in PBS. Samples were blocked for 2 hours at room temperature in a freshly prepared solution containing 2% Normal Goat Serum, 1% Bovine Serum Albumin, 0.2% Triton X-100, 0.1% cold water fish gelatin, 0.3M glycine and 0.05% thimerosal. The samples were incubated for 18 hours at 4°C with the primary antibody diluted in blocking solution. The antibody used was a rabbit polyclonal antibody against FMRFamide (1:500, ImmunoStar Inc, Hudson, Wisconsin, USA, Cat. No. 20091, RRID: AB_572232). After 6 x 10 min washing in PBS containing 0.2% Tween 20 (PBST) the samples were incubated with the secondary antibody (Alexa 488 anti-rabbit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA, Cat. No. A-11008). The sections were washed 6 x 15 min in PBST and mounted in Fluorescence Mounting Medium (Dako, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). Confocal fluorescence images were recorded at an LSM 880 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with a 63x NA 1.2 Oil immersion objective.

Stephan E. Lehnart

Isolation and immunocytochemistry of murine ventricular myocytes (Figure 9)
Ventricular myocytes (VM) of 12 week old C57Bl/6N mice were isolated according to previously published protocols (Wagner et al, 2012, Wagner et al, 2014). In short, hearts were attached to a modified Langendorff perfusion system and digested by perfusion through the aorta using collagenase type II (Worthington).

Freshly isolated VM were immediately plated on laminin-coated coverslips. After 30 min of plating, VM were either fixed with 4% PFA, pH 7.4 or with 3% glyoxal, pH 5 for 10 min at room temperature. After fixation, VM were washed with blocking buffer (0.2% Triton X-100, 10% bovine calf serum in PBS) once. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and VM were incubated with the appropriate antibody dilution (Appendix Table 3) over night at 4°C. Afterwards, VM were washed with blocking buffer three times, secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and VM were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody dilution (Appendix Table 3) over night at 4°C. After three washing
steps with PBS, pH 7.4, VM were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (molecular probes via Thermo Fisher).

Immunostained murine VM were imaged using a TCS SP8 STED microscope (Leica) and a 100x oil objective (1.4 NA). Abberior STAR 635P was exited at a wavelength of 635 nm and fluorescence was detected between 650 nm and 700 nm. STED imaging was performed with a 775 nm depletion laser and gating between 0.5 ns and 6 ns. All images were acquired with a pixel size of 23 nm x 23 nm and a scanning speed of 600 Hz (pixel dwell time 0.4 µs).

Appendix Table 3: Antibodies used for immunostaining of murine VM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>Host species</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>caveolin-3</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Abcam (#ab2912)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ryanodine receptor type 2</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher (#Ma3-916)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary antibody</th>
<th>Host species</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anti-rabbit Abberior STAR635P</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Abberior (#2-0012-007-2)</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-mouse Abberior STAR635P</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Abberior (#2-0002-007-5)</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tobias Moser

Immunohistochemistry of auditory inner hair cells (Figure 10)
Acutely dissected organs of Corti from hearing p14-p16 mice were fixed (either 10 min in ice-cold fixative or 1 h on ice) using either 4% PFA or glyoxal at pH 5.0, and were then permeabilized and blocked with goat serum dilution buffer (GSDB) containing 16% normal goat serum, 450 mM NaCl, 0.3% Triton X-100 and 20 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. Subsequently, the following primary antibodies were applied in GSDB overnight at 4°C: mouse monoclonal anti-otoferlin (Abcam; ab#53233), rabbit polyclonal anti-calretinin (Swant; #7697), mouse monoclonal CtBP2 (BD Bioscience; 612044), CaV1.3 (Alomone; ACC-005), Homer1 (Synaptic Systems; 160 002) and mouse monoclonal anti-PSD95 (clone 7E3-1B8; Sigma Aldrich; P246). After extensive washing in PBS, goat anti-mouse Abberior STAR580 and goat anti-rabbit Abberior STAR635p fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (Abberior 2-0002-005-1 and Abberior 2-0012-007-2, respectively) were used for visualization. Finally, immunolabeled organs of Corti were washed repeatedly in PBS prior to mounting with Mowiol. In all cases, the dissections, fixations and immunostaining procedures were performed in parallel and specimens were subsequently imaged during the same imaging session.

Image acquisition was performed in confocal mode on an Abberior Instruments Expert Line STED microscope (based on an Olympus IX83 inverted microscope) running Inspector software, with excitation lasers at 561 and 640 nm and a 1.4 NA UPlanSApo 100x oil immersion objective. Image stacks were acquired with xy pixel sizes of 60 x 60 nm and a z-step size of 200 nm. The image stacks were summed to generate 2D projection images, before analyzing the total staining intensities.

Tiago Outeiro

Cell culture and Transfection
Human neuroglioma cells (H4) were cultured in Opti-MEM I with Glutamax (Life Technologies- Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum Gold (PAA, Colbe, Germany) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (PAN, Aidenbach, Germany), at 37 °C, with 5% CO2. 24h prior to transfection, H4 cells were plated in 12-well plates (Costar, Corning, New York, USA). On the subsequent day, the cells were transfected with the SynT and Synphilin-1 constructs, to induce the formation of aSyn assemblies, or with the Vimentin-O2 construct, using the calcium phosphate method. Briefly, a mix of DNA, H2O and 2.5M calcium chloride was added dropwise to 2× BES-buffered saline solution (50 mM BES, 280 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM Na2HPO4.xH2O, pH 6.98). The cells were incubated with plasmid-calcium-phosphate coprecipitates for 17h, after which the media were exchanged, and the cells were allowed to recover for 24h before fixation.
Immunocytochemistry (Figure 11)
Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde or with 3% glyoxal (pH 5) at room temperature (RT) for 10 minutes. The cells were afterwards permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (SigmaAldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells transfected with Vimentin-O2 were stained with DAPI and imaged. For the SynT+ Synphilin-1 model, cells were blocked in 1.5% normal goat serum (PAA, Coldbe, Germany)/1xPBS (1.37 M NaCl, 27 mM KCl, 101.4 mM Na2HPO47.H2O, 16.7 mM KH2PO4), and were then incubated with primary antibody: Syn1 (1:1000, BD Transduction Laboratory, New Jersey, USA) or Vimentin (1:1000, SigmaAldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 3h, and with secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti rabbit IgG, (Life Technologies- Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA)) for 30min at RT. Cells were finally stained with DAPI (Life Technologies- Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) (1:5000 in DPBS) for 5 min, and were maintained in 1xPBS for imaging.

Imaging with an inverted epifluorescence Olympus IX 71 microscope
All samples were imaged using an Olympus IX 71 epifluorescence microscope, equipped with a 100 W mercury lamp and a F-View II CCD camera (Soft Imaging Systems GmbH). A 60X UPlanApo oil immersion objective (NA 1.35) from Olympus was used. Excitation and emission filter with 562/585 nm was used and image acquisition was performed using the Olympus Cell^P software.

Peter Rehling

Immunocytochemistry of U2OS and HeLa cells (Figure 12)
Cells were grown on coverslips and MitoTracker™ Orange CMTMRos (Thermofisher, M7510) was applied for 20 minutes at 37°C. Cells were washed briefly in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (AppliChem A3813, 0500) or 3% glyoxal for 20 min at 37°C. Cells were washed with PBS, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton-X100 (Roth, 3051.3) for 20 min, washed again and blocked in blocking buffer (1% bovine serum albumin in PBS) for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, cells were incubated in home-made primary rabbit antibodies anti-ATP5B, anti-TIM23, anti-COA6 and anti-NDUFA9, diluted 1:200 in PBS for 60 minutes. Following washing in PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG, ThermoFisher Scientific, A-11001) for 30 min. Final washing was followed by mounting in histology mounting medium containing DAPI (Fluoroshield™; Sigma-Aldrich, F6057). Images were taken with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope using a 100X HCX PL APO oil immersion STED objective (NA 1.4). Images were acquired using the Leica LAS AF imaging software, with a pixel size of 60 x 60 nm and a scanning speed of 1000 Hz.

Blanche Schwappach

Immunocytochemistry of HeLa P4 cells (Figure 13)
HeLa P4 cells (Charneau et al., 1994), were obtained from the NIH AIDS Reagent Program and were grown in DMEM (Gibco #41966-029) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (Biochrom #S0615) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco #25030-024) under 5% CO2 at 37°C. They were tested for contamination by mycoplasma on a regular basis.
For the glucocorticoid receptor stimulation (employed for the glucocorticoid receptor stainings) cells were treated with the corresponding volume of solvent (absolute ethanol) or a stock resulting in a final concentration of 100 nM of dexamethasone (Sigma #D4902) in DMEM for 60 min at 37°C. For immunofluorescence, cells were fixed with 4% (w/v) PFA (PanReac AppliChem #A3813) in PBS or 3% w/v glyoxal pH 5 solution, for 15 min (cells stained for SGTA and EEA1) or 60 min and then permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100/0.05% SDS in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were blocked with 10% FBS in PBS for 30 min and incubated with primary antibodies (see Appendix Table 4) diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Incubation with Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) was performed for 60 min at room temperature. The samples were mounted with Mowiol-
DAPI for the confocal microscope or incubated with DAPI (1 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich #D9542) in PBS for 10 min for the screening microscope.

Appendix Table 4: primary antibodies used for immunocytochemistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>primary antibody</th>
<th>host species</th>
<th>company</th>
<th>dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAG6</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>custom-made</td>
<td>1:300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPL1</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling; 3858</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPB</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>abcam; ab14730</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calnexin</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>BD transduction; 610547</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caveolin-1</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling; 3267</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>BD transduction; 610456</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerin</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>SC; sc-15378</td>
<td>1:150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATA-6</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Cell Signaling; 5851</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM130</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>BD transduction; 610823</td>
<td>1:300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>SC; sc-8992</td>
<td>1:250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS28</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>BD transduction; 611184</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsc70</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>StressMarq; SMC-151</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMP1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>DHSB; H4A3</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC3B</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>abcam; ab48394</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleoporin p62</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>BD transduction; 610497</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec61ß</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>custom-made; Berhard Dobberstein, Heidelberg</td>
<td>1:300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGTA</td>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>custom-made</td>
<td>1:400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stx5</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>SySy; 110053</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>secondary antibody</th>
<th>host species</th>
<th>company</th>
<th>dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anti-Rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor 488)</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Invitrogen, A11034</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Mouse IgG (Alexa Fluor 647)</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Invitrogen, A21235</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Chicken IgY (Alexa Fluor 647)</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Invitrogen, A21449</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Imaging with a LSM 510-META confocal microscope (Zeiss)

HeLa P4 cells were analysed using an Axiovert 200M fluorescence microscope with a 63× Plan-Neofluar 1.3 NA water-corrected objective and appropriate filter settings. Images were taken using a LSM 510-META confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss). For confocal imaging a UV laser (405 nm), a tunable Argon laser (488 nm) and HeNe laser lines (633 nm) were used for excitation.

Ilaria Testa

Immunohistochemistry of U2OS cells and primary hippocampal neurons (Figure 14)

U2OS cells were cultured in DMEM, supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum, and seeded on 18mm coverslips.

Primary hippocampal cultures were prepared from E18 Sprague Dawley rat embryos and cultured on poly-ornithine coated 18mm coverslips, under normal conditions. The animal experiments were approved by the Institutional animal care and use committee of the Karolinska Institutet.

For the imaging of endoplasmic reticulum, U2OS cells were transfected with an EGFP-KDEL plasmid using Lipofectamine LTX with Plus reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific), following the instruction manual from the company. 24h after transfection the cells were fixed with either PFA 4% or glyoxal 3% solution (pH5), following the protocol described above (30min on ice + 30min at RT). Afterwards, the cells were quenched with 100 mM NH₄Cl for 20 min; permeabilization and blocking was done for 15
min in 2.5 % BSA and 0.1 % Triton X-100 in PBS. The cells were then incubated for 60 min with the primary antibodies: Rabbit polyclonal against GFP (1:100, Abcam, ab6556) or Tom20 Antibody (1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-11415). After washing in blocking solution for 15 min, the cells were incubated with the secondary antibody for 60 min: Star Red (1:200, Abberior). Subsequent washing in phosphate buffer saline was followed by embedding in Mowiol.

Primary hippocampal neurons after 2 days of culture were fixed with either PFA 4% or glyoxal 3% solution (pH5), following the protocol described above (60 min at RT), and were subsequently quenched for 30 min in 100 mM NH₄Cl. Permeabilization was achieved by incubating the neurons for 15 min in blocking solution, containing 2.5 % BSA and 0.1 % Triton X-100 in PBS. The samples were then incubated with the Sodium / Potassium ATPase alpha-3 Antibody (ThermoFisherScientific, MA3-915) for 60 min at RT. After washing 15 min in blocking solution, Star Red secondary antibody (Abberior) was applied for 60 min, at room temperature. Subsequent washing in high salt PBS (500 mM NaCl) and PBS was followed by embedding in Mowiol.

**Imaging with a custom STED/confocal**
The confocal images of KDEL, Tom20 and Sodium / Potassium ATPase alpha-3 were recorded with a custom built STED/confocal microscope equipped with a 100X/1.4 oil objective, using a 640 nm laser line to excite STAR RED and a 775 nm laser to deplete the signal.

**Bolek Zapiec**

**Mice**
Mouse experiments were performed in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act, European Communities Council Directive 2010/63/EU, and the institutional ethical and animal welfare guidelines of the Max Planck Research Unit for Neurogenetics. Approval came from the Regierungspräsidium Darmstadt and the Veterinäramt of the City of Frankfurt. C57BL6/J female littermates at 3 weeks were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazin sodium chloride (NaCl) solution (210 mg/kg ketamine, 10 mg/kg xylazin). Mice were perfused with 12 ml 0.9% NaCl solution, and then with either 24 ml 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) or 24 ml 3% pH 4 glyoxal fixative solution, both freshly made just prior to use. The olfactory mucosa and olfactory bulbs were dissected and post-fixed overnight at 4˚C before washing in PBS 3 times for 10 minutes, decalcifying in 450 mM EDTA in PBS at 4˚C overnight, incubating in 15% sucrose in PBS at 4˚C for 4 h, in 30% sucrose in PBS at 4˚C overnight, and embedding in OCT medium (TissueTek).

**Immunohistochemistry (Figure 15)**
Frozen blocks of mouse olfactory tissue were sectioned at 12 µm thickness and collected on SuperFrost Ultra Plus slides (ThermoFisher). The slides were washed 3 times for 5 min with PBS at RT, then they were blocked in 10% NDS in 0.2% Triton X-100 PBS (PBST) at RT for 2 h. The blocking solution was tipped off the slides, and the sections were incubated in a primary antibody solution containing 3% NDS in PBST overnight at 4˚C. Slides were then washed 3 times in PBST for 10 min at RT and incubated in a secondary antibody solution containing 3% NDS in PBS. The slides were subsequently washed 3 times in PBS for 10 min each and stained with DAPI at 1:10,000 in PBS for 10 minutes before being washed 3 times for 5 minutes in PBS. The primary antibodies (1:500) were goat anti-OMP (Wako, 544-10001-WAKO), mouse monoclonal anti-β3-tubulin (Sigma T8660), rabbit monoclonal anti-neuropilin-1 (Abcam, AB81321), goat anti-neuropilin-2 (R&D Systems, AF567), guinea pig anti-VGLUT2 (Synaptic Systems, 135 404), and rabbit anti-VGLUT2 (Synaptic Systems, 135 403). The secondary antibodies (1:500) were donkey anti-goat Alexa488 (ThermoFisher, A11055), donkey anti-rabbit Alexa546 (ThermoFisher, A10040), and donkey anti-mouse Cy5 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 715-175-150). The slides were then imaged on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope.
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