



- Home
- Videos
- Blogs
- Community
- Magazine
- MIT News
- Newsletters
- Events
- Resources
- Subscribe
- Computing
- Web
- Communications
- Energy
- Materials
- Biomedicine
- Business

Search

# Ed Boyden's blog

Ed Boyden is an assistant professor in the MIT Media Lab. His lab broadly invents new tools to engineer brain circuits, in order to treat intractable disorders, augment cognition, and better understand the nature of existence.

<u>View Complete Bio</u> Email Me

## **Recent Posts**

- » Civilization as Experiment
- » Averting Disasters, Preventing Problems
- » Research as a Community-Building Activity
- » Inverting the Core
- » Training a Generation of Neuroengineers
- » How to Think
- » Synthetic Neurobiology
- » Engineering the Brain: The Panel
- » Open Philanthropy
- » In Pursuit of Human Augmentation

## Links

- » Ed Boyden
- » Synthetic Neurobiology

Other essays

» Engineering the Brain (March 2007) Monday, September 24, 2007

### **Open Philanthropy**

How you can steer the future of humanity.

How can you solve the problems that really matter to you? If you're like most people, among these problems are terrifying, often fatal diseases: Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, cancer, stroke. Other problems you care about concern understanding and improving our humanity--understanding our consciousness, empowering citizens in democracies, and preserving dying languages, just to name a tiny few. One obvious way to focus resources on a problem you care about is to become rich and create or steer an institute-placing bets on specific researchers and on specific research agendas. But if you're like most people, you're not a billionaire. That means you have to be entrepreneurial about your idea, raising the funds through visionary leadership and strategic planning--a full-time job if there ever was one. Many such organizations are creatively tackling or rewarding efforts to cure diseases like ALS, cancer, and multiple sclerosis. But wouldn't it be great if you could focus your own resources--the ones you have right now--to solve the problems that you most care about?

One possibility is that if there were an open market for philanthropy, which would connect dollars with ideas, then you might be able to find researchers working on the problems you care most about, evaluate the investigators and their approaches, and empower them directly with funding. As resources pool, naturally, the most widespread and severe problems would receive the largest sums of money, providing a sort of free market for research philanthropy. Furthermore, your pool's funding members could vote to restrict the way your pool's money is used, adding in requirements to help you optimize the process, as you see fit. For example, your pool might decide to award cash only to scientists who agree to openly share data and samples with other awardees.

Such a system would also mean that you could solve the problems you care about earlier in your life, allowing you to benefit from them directly. You wouldn't have to wait until you're old and wealthy to start funding research to solve the Big Questions. Consider the following example. About 60 million Americans currently fit the criteria for obesity, which puts them at risk for diabetes (and dozens of other medical conditions). If 5 percent of these people desired to pool their resources to study ways of tackling metabolic impairments, and thereby improve health, and each contributed just \$1.25 a month to researchers who focus exactly on the problems of most pressing



Subscribe to the Ed Boyden's blog RSS Feed
Advertisement

1 of 4 9/5/2009 4:31 PM

### **Recent Comments**

...: with devastating incidents like that... joshuau: I'm wondering whether people reading... bdas: I've become increasingly concerned

...: a great idea, but not very realistic...

...: Thanks for you thought, but my if... nancyblake: Is the basic unit a physical entity,... Ashar: Apparently you mentioned bloggers and... ....: Some people are better at this than... koohii: I agree that once we recognize how... CountZ3ro: Great! Let's go paranoid!:) ...: The Society for Amateur Scientists... ahkc: "Community-

# **Recent Tags**

» abstraction layers

based" science research

» autism

is...

- » brain
- » brain stimulation
- » brain-machine interface
- » cognition
- » cognitive behavioral therapy
- » college
- » contingencies
- » conversation
- » creativity
- » data mining
- » democracy
- » demographics
- » depression » digital camera
- » disaster
- » documentation
- » education
- » emotion
- » engineering
- » entrepreneurship
- » epilepsy
- » evaluation
- » free market
- » funding
- » hands-on learning
- » happiness
- » health
- » how to
- » human augmentation
- » human computation
- » institutes
- » Internet
- » lab class » language
- » learning
- » logarithmic

interest, that would be \$45 million a year. While that may not seem like much, note that the American Diabetes Association gave out about the same amount--\$46.4 million--in research dollars in 2006.

What would this pooling system look like? Perhaps online microlending site Kiva could offer some inspiration. This site allows anyone browsing online to become a microlender--observing business plans of aspiring entrepreneurs around the world, evaluating the plans and gauging the risk involved, and then making loans--to specific individuals in countries from Honduras to Tajikistan. The lenders can monitor their investments over time (hopefully they return a profit), and they can provide feedback on the entrepreneurs, which modulates future investors' opinions. Individuals can lend an entrepreneur part of what he or she requests, or even distribute resources over many entrepreneurs. Perhaps it's time to start experimenting with a similar system for the channeling of funding to researchers. Research projects could be announced to the world on such a website, and anyone could donate as they see fit. By opening up the process to the world, not only would a market for philanthropy and discovery emerge, but people would take a greater interest in solving the problems they care most about. In this way, we can all start to steer the future of humanity from our own chairs, fixing the impossible and figuring out the unknowable.

Cite as: Boyden, E. S. "Open Philanthropy." Ed Boyden's Blog. Technology Review. 9/24/07. (http://www.technologyreview.com/blog/boyden/21850/).

Tags: Internet, science, engineering, funding, institutes, microfinance, open, philanthropy, free market, open philanthropy



http://www.technologyreview.com/blog/boyden/21850/

### **Current Issue**



### The TR35

Technology Review presents its ninth annual list of leading young innovators.

- Subscribe Save 41%
- · Table of Contents
- MIT News
- » Gift Subscription
- » Digital
- Subscription
- » Reprints, Back
- » Subscribe
- » Table of Contents
- » MIT News

## More Technology News from **Forbes**

- 12 Must-See Viral Videos
- The Year's Most Pirated Movies
- 10 Budget-Friendly Laptops For Students
- Top 10 Back-To-School HDTVs
- The 10 Top Online Dating Sites

2 of 4 9/5/2009 4:31 PM

- » meaning
- » medicine
- » memory
- » metrics
- » microfinance
- » mistakes
- » MIT
- » mit media lab
- » neural control
- » neural prosthetics
- » neuroengineering
- » neurology
- » neurons
- » neuroscience
- » neurotechnology
- » open
- » open philanthropy
- » open science
- » outreach
- » parkinson's
- » participatory science
- » personal health
- » philanthropy
- » planning
- » preventative health
- » prevention
- » principles
- » problem-solving
- » project
- » project teaching
- » psychiatry
- » public
- » quality
- » research
- » retirees
- » schizophrenia
- » schools
- » science
- » simplicity » society
- » statistics » stress
- » stroke
- » students
- » synthesis
- » synthetic biology
- » synthetic neurobiology
- » tagging
- » teaching
- » technology review
- » think
- » thinking
- » time
- » time management
- » tr35
- » traumatic brain injury
- » undergraduates
- » universities

# **Top Stories Of The** Day

- » A New Language for Phone Networks
- » An Advert for In-Game Violence
- » Blog: First Hot Ice Computer Created

Advertisement

# **Comments**

### i don't understand

i don't understand how this is different from what the American Diabetes Association does. could you explain more?

Rate this comment:

(Reply)

### Re: i don't understand

The theoretical perfect exchange is where all ideas are known and all capital is identified. So by having a central or several central repositories there would be better odds that the "best" solution to any given problem would survive.

The current system where the one fund gives 46+ mil per year - you have one organization with a lot of power to dictate the direction of research - which has more disadvantages than advantages.

SOme things work well with centralized control and some work better when all inputs have a chance.

I hope that helps you understand.

Rate this comment:

(Reply)

0

### **Open Philanthropy**

I read with interest the concluding sentences: "By opening up the process to the world, not only would a market for philanthropy and discovery emerge, but people would take a greater interest in solving the problems they care most about. In this way, we can all start to steer the future of humanity from our own chairs, fixing the impossible and figuring out the unknowable".

I think philonthropy needs self-awareness rather than marketting. It cannot be approached through a system but can be achieved if each one looks into him or herself materially and psychologically.

Rate this comment:

(Reply)

# Costs and "Market Equilibriums"

I'd love to see such a system. It seems like putting it together would be a major philanthropic undertaking in itself... I'd be interested to see a dollar figure on that, actually.

I also wonder what sort of balances a "free market" philanthropy system would find on issues like what sorts of topics get funded, what type of researchers tend to get funded, what sorts of experimental/analytic approaches get funded, etc. I suspect it might be significantly different than the current government or foundation grant systems.

Finally, just to toss something out there, here's my underfunded research idea.

Rate this comment:

### **Close Comments**



bshanks 09/25/2007 Posts:3 Avg

Rating: \*\*\*



myfree 09/25/2007 Posts:1

kris.38 09/26/2007 Posts:2 Avg

Rating:



johnsonmx 09/26/2007 Posts:3

9/5/2009 4:31 PM 3 of 4









- About Us |
- Privacy
- <u>Terms of Use</u> |
- <u>Subscribe</u>
- Advertise
- <u>Customer Service</u>
- Sitemap |
- Contact Us |
- Feedback



© 2009 Technology Review. All Rights Reserved.

9/5/2009 4:31 PM 4 of 4